


ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS IN SAFE

Case No. 454  Date of filing: 4 Oct '90

** AWARD - Type of Award _____
 - Date of Award _____
 _____ pages in English _____ pages in Farsi

** DECISION - Date of Decision 4 Oct '90
3 pages in English 3 pages in Farsi

** CONCURRING OPINION of _____
 - Date _____
 _____ pages in English _____ pages in Farsi

** SEPARATE OPINION of _____
 - Date _____
 _____ pages in English _____ pages in Farsi


** DISSENTING OPINION of _____
 - Date _____
 _____ pages in English _____ pages in Farsi

** OTHER; Nature of document: _____

 - Date _____
 _____ pages in English _____ pages in Farsi

IRAN-UNITED STATES CLAIMS TRIBUNAL

دیوان دآوری دعاوی ایران - ایالات متحدہ



CASE NO. 454

CHAMBER THREE

DECISION NO. DEC 94-454-3

JONATHAN AINSWORTH,
Claimant,

and

THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN,
TCHACOSH COMPANY,
SIPOREX INDUSTRIAL AND
MANUFACTURING WORKS, LTD., and
GASHTAWAR COMPANY,
Respondents.

IRAN-UNITED STATES CLAIMS TRIBUNAL	دیوان دآوری دعاوی ایران - ایالات متحدہ
FILED	ثبت شد
DATE	4 OCT 1990
	تاریخ ۱۳۶۹ / ۷ / ۱۲

DECISION

1. On 28 March 1988 the Claimant in Case No. 454, Jonathan Ainsworth, submitted to the Tribunal a document entitled "Appeal" (the "Appeal"). In this document the Claimant "[a]ddress[es] the issues posed by the Tribunal," which according to him "contradict the Tribunal's earlier decision formulated in the Rexnord decision."

2. Its title and contents support the assumption that the Claimant submitted the Appeal in reliance on Article 36 of the Tribunal Rules. This Article provides, inter alia, for the correction of "errors in computation, any clerical or typographical errors, or any errors of similar nature" in an award. The English version of Jonathan Ainsworth and The Islamic Republic of Iran, et al., Award No. 350-454-3 (29 Feb. 1988), reprinted in 18 Iran-U.S. C.T.R. 92, to which the Appeal apparently relates, was served upon the Agent of the Government of the United States on 1 March 1988. The Tribunal notes that the Appeal was filed on 28 March 1988, within the 30-day period required by Article 36 of the Tribunal Rules. See Hood Corporation and The Islamic Republic of Iran, et al., Decision No. DEC 34-100-3, pp. 1-2 (1 Mar. 1985), reprinted in 8 Iran-U.S. C.T.R. 53, 54.

3. The Claimant's Appeal constitutes an attempt to reargue certain aspects of the Case on which the Claimant disagrees with the Tribunal's conclusions in the Award. There is no basis in the Tribunal Rules or elsewhere for review of an award on such grounds. See Paul Donin de Rosiere, et al. and The Islamic Republic of Iran, et al., Decision No. DEC 57-498-1, para. 4 (10 Feb. 1987), reprinted in 14 Iran-U.S. C.T.R. 100, 101. The Tribunal therefore denies the Appeal.

4. For the foregoing reasons,

THE TRIBUNAL DECIDES AS FOLLOWS:

The Appeal filed on 28 March 1988 by Jonathan Ainsworth, relating to Jonathan Ainsworth and The

Islamic Republic of Iran, et al., Award No. 350-454-3
(29 Feb. 1988), reprinted in 18 Iran-U.S. C.T.R. 92,
is denied.

Dated, The Hague,
4 October 1990




Gaetano Arangio-Ruiz
Chairman
Chamber Three

In the Name of God



Richard C. Allison



Mohsen Aghahosseini