BEFORE THE INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THE SETTLEMENT OF INVESTMENT DISPUTES - -x In the Matter of Arbitration : Between: RAILROAD DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, : Claimant, : : Case ARB/07/23 and : • THE REPUBLIC OF GUATEMALA, : Respondent. : : - - -x Volume 2 HEARING ON MERITS Friday, December 9, 2011 1818 H Street, N.W. MC Building Conference Room 4-800 Washington, D.C. The hearing in the above-entitled matter came on, pursuant to notice, at 9:05 a.m. before: DR. ANDRÉS RIGO SUREDA, President PROF. JAMES CRAWFORD, SC, Arbitrator HON. STUART E. EIZENSTAT, Arbitrator

```
259
                                                                                                                                                  261
      Also Present:
                                                                              APPEARANCES: (Continued)
            MS. NATALI SEQUEIRA
                                                                                   On behalf of the Respondent:
            Secretary to the Tribunal
                                                                                          MR. LARRY ROBLES
            MR. ALEX BERENGAUT,
                                                                                            Attorney General
                                                                                          MR. ESTUARDO SAÚL OLIVA
MS. SILVIA CABRERA ESTRADA
Attorney General's Office
            Assistant to the Tribunal
      Court Reporters:
            MR. DAVID A. KASDAN
                                                                                          MR. ANÍBAL SAMOYOA SALAZAR
            MS. DAWN LARSON
                                                                                             Deputy Secretary General of the Presidency
               Registered Diplomate Reporter (RDR)
Certified Realtime Reporter (CRR)
                                                                                          MR. LUIS VELÁSQUEZ QUIROA
MR. MYNOR RENÉ CASTILLO
MR. ROMEO LÓPEZ
Ministry of Economy
            B&B Reporters
            529 14th Street, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003
(202) 544-1903
                                                                                          MR. FERNANDO de la CERDA
MR. JOSÉ LAMBOUR,
            SR. VIRGILIO DANTE RINALDI, S.H.
            D.R. Esteno
Colombres 566
                                                                                             Embassy of Guatemala, Washington, D.C.
                                                                                          MR. DAVID M. ORTA
MR. WHITNEY DEBEVOISE
MR. DANIEL SALINAS-SERRANO
            Buenos Aires 1218ABE
            Argentina
(5411) 4957-0083
                                                                                          MR. DANIEL SALINAS-SERKANO
MS. MARGARITA R. SÁNCHEZ
MS. GISELLE K. FUENTES
MS. DAWN Y. YAMANE HEWETT
MR. MALLORY B. SILBERMAN
MR. JOSÉ ANTONIO RIVAS
MR. JOSÉ BERNARD PALLAIS H.
     Interpreters:
           MS. SILVIA COLLA
           MR. DANIEL GIGLIO
                                                                                          MS.
                                                                                                CAMILA VALENZUELA
                                                                                          MR. HANS HARTELL
           MR. CHARLES ROBERTS
                                                                                          MR. KELBY BALLENA
                                                                                          MS. AMY ENDICOTT
MR. PEDRO SOTO
                                                                                          MS. NICOLE ANN AARONSON
                                                                                          Arnold & Porter, LLP
555 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 942-5000
                                                                    260
                                                                                                                                                  262
APPEARANCES :
                                                                              APPEARANCES: (Continued)
       On behalf of the Claimant:
                                                                                     On behalf of the Respondent:
            MR. C. ALLEN FOSTER
MR. KEVIN E. STERN
                                                                                          MR. CÉSAR PAYÉS
                                                                                             FEGUA Legal Advisor
            MS. RUTH ESPEY-ROMERO
MS. REGINA VARGO
                                                                                          MR. PATRICK J. O'CONNOR
            MR. P. NICHOLAS CALDWELL
                                                                                          The Miami Center
            MS. PRECIOUS MURCHISON
MR. ADRIAN F. SNEAD
                                                                                          201 S. Biscayne Blvd.
Suite 800
                                                                                          Miami, FL 33131
(305) 577-3443
            Greenberg Traurig, LLP
            2101 L Street, N.W.
Suite 1000
            Washington, D.C. 20006
            (202) 331-3100
            MR. JUAN PABLO CARRASCO de GROOTE
MS. VERONICA SOFIA GONZALEZ
            MS. LISA ALDANA
            Diaz-Durán y Asociados Central-Law
15 Avenida 18-28, Zona 13
            Guatemala City, Guatemala C.A.
       Representing Railroad Development Corporation
       and Ferrovías Guatemala:
            MR. ROBERT PIETRANDREA
            MR. ANDREW BILLER
            MR. PABLO ALONZO
            MR. AUGUSTIN M. POSNER
      Also Present:
            MR. MARIO ESTUARDO JOSÉ FUENTES SÁNCHEZ
            DR. EDUARDO A. MAYORA
```

263	265
APPEARANCES: (Continued)	CONTENTS
CAFTA Non-Disputing Parties:	WITNESSES: PAG
On behalf of the Republic of El Salvador:	JUAN ESTEBAN BERGER
MR. ENILSON SOLANO Embassy of the Republic of El Salvador	Direct examination by Mr. Orta20Cross-examination by Mr. Foster2'Questions from the Tribunal3'
MR. LUIS PARADA MR. TOMÁS SOLÍS	CARLOS FRANCO
MR. ERIN ARGUETA Dewey & LeBouef, LLP	Direct examination by Mr. Stern 33
1101 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-4213 (202) 346-8198	Cross-examination by Mr. Orta33Questions from the Tribunal33Further cross-exam. by Mr. Orta33
On behalf of the United States of America:	HECTOR VALENZUELA
MR. JEFFREY D. KOVAR Assistant Legal Adviser MR. LISA J. GROSH Deputy Assistant Legal Adviser	Direct examination by Mr. Stern3Cross-examination by Mr. Salinas-Serrano4Questions from the Tribunal4
MR. NEALE BERGMAN MR. DAVID BIGGE	MABEL HERNÀNDEZ
MS. ALICIA L. CATE MS. LISA GROSH MR. PATRICK PEARSALL	Direct examination by Mr. Stern 4 Cross-examination by Mr. Salinas-Serrano 44
MS. KARIN KIZER MR. GARY SAMPLINER	RICARDO SPIEGELER
MR. JEREMY SHARPE Attorney-Advisers, Office of International Claims and Investment Disputes	Direct examination by Mr. Stern44Cross-examination by Mr. Debevoise44Redirect examination by Mr. Stern44Questions from the Triunal44
Office of the Legal Adviser U.S. Department of State Suite 203, South Building 2430 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-2800 (202) 776-8443	Recross-examination by Mr. Debevoise 4
264 APPEARANCES: (Continued) On behalf of the U.S. Trade Representative: MS. KIMBERLEY CLAMAN Senior Director	266 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 PRESIDENT RIGO: Good morning. May I have 3 your attention. I think we should start the day, and 4 if you can produce a witness. 5 MR. ORTA: Yes, Mr. Chairman. We will bring 6 in Mr. Juan Esteban Berger. 7 JUAN ESTEBAN BERGER, CLAIMANT'S WITNESS, CALLED 8 PRESIDENT RIGO: Good morning, Mr. Berger.
	9 Again, good morning, Mr. Berger. Could you please
	10 read the statement, the Witness Statement that you
	11 have before you?
	12 THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare on my hono:
	13 and conscience that I shall tell the truth, the whole
	14 truth and nothing but the truth.
	15 PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you very much.
	16 Respondent has now the floor.
	17 MR. ORTA: Thank you very much.
	18 DIRECT EXAMINATION
	19 BY MR. ORTA:
	20 Q. We're going to be conducting a very brief
	20 Q. We regoing to be conducting a very biler 21 direct examination of you, and depending on your
	21 comfort level, you may want to put the earphones on,
	anortara

	267		269
09:08:06 1	or if you are comfortable enough hearing in English,	09:11:25 1	
2	whatever your decision, but I'm going to conduct the	2	Mr. Campollo, either manifest or indirect, I would
3	examination in English.	2	have left the table, and I would have said I am not
4	A. To be as precise as possible, I would like to	4	interested in supporting you in this issue. At no
5	address myself in Spanish. Okay.		time did I hear that Mr. Campollo said directly or
		5	
6	Q. Okay. So there are earphones that you have	6	indirectly that he wanted to take away the concession
	before you, and there is an interpreter who will be		or any other right that Ferrocarriles de Guatemala
8	interpreting everything that I say into Spanish so	8	had.
9	that you can hear in your native language the	9	Q. Thank you, sir. Did you ever hear Mr. Pinto,
10	question. So if you could put those on, we can get	10	Mr. Hector Pintodo you know who that is?
11	started.	11	A. Yes, I did meet him.
12	A. Good.	12	Q. Did Mr. Pinto ever tell you that he had an
13	Q. Very well. Mr. Berger, could you just very	13	interest in taking away RDC's concession?
14	briefly introduce yourself to the Tribunal and tell	14	A. I never heard any of that. Mr. Pinto knew
15	them a little bit about your background?	15	the way I was, and it would have been an
16	A. My name is Juan Esteban Berger Widmann. I am	16	unproportionate act on his part to have come to me and
17	a Guatemalan citizen. I am a notary and a lawyer in	17	said I want to take away the concession. I think this
18	Guatemala, and I exercise the profession of lawyering.	18	is actually egregious. I am a very straight shooter,
19	And I'm here to serve in these proceedings and answer	19	like my father, and if that had been the intention of
20	the questions that the Parties may pose in this case.	20	Mr. Pinto, I would have never availed myself for that.
21	Q. Thank you, sir. I understand you have	21	I tried to help, as I tried to help in that case.
22	limited time with us this morning. We appreciate your	22	Q. Thank you, sir.
	268		270
09:09:25 1	making yourself available to come and testify before	09:13:13 1	MR. ORTA: I have no further questions. Now
2	the Tribunal. I'm going to cut right to the chase	2	the attorney for RDC may have some questions for you.
3	with some questions at the heart of some of the issues	3	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Foster.
4	in this case.	4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	A. Excuse me if I'm not looking at you. I'm	5	BY MR. FOSTER:
6	listening to you in Spanish, so I'm not looking at you	6	Q. Good morning, Mr. Berger. My name is Allen
7	directly, so forgive me for that.	7	Foster, and I represent Railroad Development
8	Q. The Claimant in this case, Railroad	8	Corporation. I'll be asking you a few questions this
9	Development Corporation, has made some allegations	9	morning.
10	that a Mr. Ramón Campollo was intending to take away	10	A. Good morning, Mr. Foster. I am here at your
11	their concession, and that in some way he collaborated	11	disposal. I apologize if I am not looking at you
12	with the Government, perhaps through you, in order to	12	directly, but I am listening to the translation. I'm
13	effectuate that end. Can you please, in your own	13	trying not to listen in English.
14	words, respond to the Tribunal in relation to that	14	Q. No problem.
15	allegation that they have made in this case?	15	Are you aware that Mr. Ramón Campollo has
16	A. With pleasure. I am making reference to the	16	submitted two sworn statements in this arbitration?
10	allegations made by the people from RDC and with a lot	10	A. Yes.
18	of authority, I will state that this is false. It is	18	Q. And you've read those statements?
1 10	= ¹	10	A. I read a statement by Mr. Campollo. I don't
10		. 17	A. I TEAR & PLATENETIC DA LIT COMPATION I MANUEL
19	false that Mr. Campollo had used me to reach the		
20	President of the Republic to take away the concession	20	remember if I read a Second Statement by Mr. Campollo.
20 21	President of the Republic to take away the concession of railway service. First, I would never avail myself	20 21	remember if I read a Second Statement by Mr. Campollo. Q. When was the last time you spoke to
20	President of the Republic to take away the concession	20	remember if I read a Second Statement by Mr. Campollo.

	271		273
09:15:29 1	A. About Ferrovías?	09:19:01 1	5 11 1 1 51
2	Q. About anything.	2	the estate, this cultural estate, to turn it into a
3	A. I met with him about a month and a half ago.	3	real estate project and was, as I said in my
4	He was the counterpart of a financing that an	4	Statement, universities were there, like Cengicaña e
5	institution that he represented in Guatemala gave a	5	Intecap, et cetera.
6	credit to.	б	Q. And you knew that Mr. Pinto was the general
7	Q. Did you have any conversation with him about	7	manager of Ciudad del Sur for Mr. Campollo, didn't
8	this case or about Ferrovías?	8	you?
9	A. After that meeting, I asked him whether he	9	A. Excuse me. I was introduced to him as a
10	knew anything about the case. And he simply said,	10	personhe was introduced to me as a person who was
11	Look, that's a problem, and I will probably have to	11	involved with a project. I was never given
12	travel to Washington to defend my namedefend my good	12	information that he was the general manager of
13	name.	13	anything, nor was he the general manager of Ciudad del
14	Q. Did you have any other substantive	14	Sur.
15	conversation about the case with Mr. Campollo?	15	Q. Now, you're also aware that Mr. Fuxet has
16	A. None. No other substantial conversation.	16	submitted a sworn statement in this arbitration;
17	This was an incidental conversation in the hallway and	17	correct?
18	without any other details.	18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And prior to that time, when is the last time	19	Q. And you've reviewed Mr. Fuxet's statement;
20	you spoke to Mr. Campollo about Ferrovías?	20	correct?
21	A. In April, 2005.	21	A. Correct.
22	Q. And what was the substance of that	22	Q. Is there anything in Mr. Fuxet's statement
	070		
	272		274
09:17:05 1	conversation?	09:20:21 1	
09:17:05 1 2		09:20:21 1	
	conversation?		that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?
2	conversation? A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was		that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect? MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm just going to
2 3	conversation? A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC.	2	that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect? MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm just going to object to the nature of that question. He's asking him to verify whether each and every aspect of that
2 3 4	conversation?A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told	2 3 4 5	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect? MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm just going to object to the nature of that question. He's asking him to verify whether each and every aspect of that declaration is correct, and I think he should be given an opportunity to read it here and respond to the</pre>
2 3 4 5	conversation? A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I	2 3 4 5	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect? MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm just going to object to the nature of that question. He's asking him to verify whether each and every aspect of that declaration is correct, and I think he should be giver an opportunity to read it here and respond to the</pre>
2 3 4 5	conversation?A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told	2 3 4 5	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect? MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm just going to object to the nature of that question. He's asking him to verify whether each and every aspect of that declaration is correct, and I think he should be given an opportunity to read it here and respond to the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	conversation? A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is	2 3 4 5	that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect? MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm just going to object to the nature of that question. He's asking him to verify whether each and every aspect of that declaration is correct, and I think he should be given an opportunity to read it here and respond to the question. Otherwise I think it's not a fair question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conversation? A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect? MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm just going to object to the nature of that question. He's asking him to verify whether each and every aspect of that declaration is correct, and I think he should be given an opportunity to read it here and respond to the question. Otherwise I think it's not a fair question. MR. FOSTER: I'll change the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 conversation? A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that I intimated that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that I intimated that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo, because I met him through him in the Ciudad del Sur 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that I intimated that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo, because I met him through him in the Ciudad del Sur project, but at no meeting that I attended, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that I intimated that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo, because I met him through him in the Ciudad del Sur project, but at no meeting that I attended, Mr. Campollo gave instructions, precise instructions, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 conversation? A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that I intimated that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo, because I met him through him in the Ciudad del Sur project, but at no meeting that I attended, Mr. Campollo gave instructions, precise instructions, to Mr. Pinto to do A, B or C, et cetera. This was a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that I intimated that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo, because I met him through him in the Ciudad del Sur project, but at no meeting that I attended, Mr. Campollo gave instructions, precise instructions, to Mr. Pinto to do A, B or C, et cetera. This was a deduction, as I stated in my First Statement, but I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that I intimated that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo, because I met him through him in the Ciudad del Sur project, but at no meeting that I attended, Mr. Campollo gave instructions, precise instructions, to Mr. Pinto to do A, B or C, et cetera. This was a deduction, as I stated in my First Statement, but I don't have any personal knowledge of that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that I intimated that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo, because I met him through him in the Ciudad del Sur project, but at no meeting that I attended, Mr. Campollo gave instructions, precise instructions, to Mr. Pinto to do A, B or C, et cetera. This was a deduction, as I stated in my First Statement, but I don't have any personal knowledge of that. Q. You knew that Mr. Campollo owned the Ciudad 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that I intimated that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo, because I met him through him in the Ciudad del Sur project, but at no meeting that I attended, Mr. Campollo gave instructions, precise instructions, to Mr. Pinto to do A, B or C, et cetera. This was a deduction, as I stated in my First Statement, but I don't have any personal knowledge of that. Q. You knew that Mr. Campollo owned the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Basically I found out that, indeed, it was adduced that Mr. Pinto had threatened people from RDC. I have no personal knowledge of this, and I felt free to call Mr. Campollo, and I commented this to him. I found out about that situation, and I, therefore, told him, "Look, I don't know what's going on, but this is not what I expected from Mr. Pinto, if that, indeed, happened, if that was the case." Q. You knew that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo; correct? A. No. In my First Statement, I indicated that I intimated that Mr. Pinto represented Mr. Campollo, because I met him through him in the Ciudad del Sur project, but at no meeting that I attended, Mr. Campollo gave instructions, precise instructions, to Mr. Pinto to do A, B or C, et cetera. This was a deduction, as I stated in my First Statement, but I don't have any personal knowledge of that. Q. You knew that Mr. Campollo owned the Ciudad 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>that you believe is inaccurate or incorrect?</pre>

	275		277
09:21:24 1	specific points in Mr. Fuxet's statement, it would be	09:24:33 1	resident of the city of Guatemala, Guatemala, and I am
2	helpful.	2	competent in all
3	MR. FOSTER: I'm just going to let him read	3	MR. FOSTER: Skip the question. Skip the
4	Mr. Fuxet's statement.	4	question. Let's do something else.
5	THE WITNESS: In connection with your	5	PRESIDENT RIGO: Okay.
6	question, indeed, I do know of Mr. Fuxet's statements,	6	BY MR. FOSTER:
7	but I cannot state precisely things, because I wasn't	7	Q. You consider Mr. Fuxet to be a good friend of
8	present in the meetings that he's making reference to.	8	yours, don't you?
9	He talks about a number of events related to	9	A. Correct.
10	circumstances and things, and I wasn't there. So this	10	 Q. And you've had business dealings with him;
10	is not of my personal knowledge.	10	correct?
	••••••		
12	BY MR. FOSTER:	12	A. I had the opportunity of working with him in
13	Q. Why don't you review Mr. Fuxet's Statement	13	a real estate project. I worked together with him.
14	real quickly? It's not very long.	14	It was an interesting project. We did very well.
15	A. Do I refer to a specific section of this	15	Unfortunately, since this wasn't our core, we left
16	Statement?	16	that and we came back to our thing. This was back in
17	Q. Please, just look at the whole Statement.	17	'05, '06, if I remember correctly.
18	MR. ORTA: We just request that he be given	18	Q. I'd like to direct your attention to a
19	his original Statement in Spanish.	19	December, 2004, meeting in Miami between Ramón
20	BY MR. FOSTER:	20	Campollo and Ferrovías. You were invited to that
21	Q. Looking at this Statement now, do you see	21	meeting by Mr. Campollo, according to your statement;
22	anything in it that is incorrect or incomplete? I'm	22	correct?
	276		278
09:23:05 1	not asking you to recall things about meetings that	09:25:47 1	A. That is correct.
2	you weren't present. I'm just asking you to look at	2	Q. You were acting as Mr. Campollo's lawyer at
3	it and see if there's anything in it that you, from	3	that meeting, weren't you?
4	your own personal knowledge, recognize as being	4	A. I have never been a legal adviser of
5	incorrect.	5	Mr. Campollo, neither historically nor professionally.
6	MR. ORTA: I guess you're asking him to read	6	At that meeting, I was invited by Mr. Campollo, and I
7	the entire Statement first before answering?	7	stated that in my Statement. I was there because I
8	MR. FOSTER: That's the reason I gave him the	8	have background in the Ferrovías case.
9	Statement.	9	Q. You didn't tell the Ferrovías representatives
10	THE WITNESS: There is some interference with	10	at that meeting that you were not there as
11	the mike with my cell phone.	11	Mr. Campollo's lawyer, did you?
12	BY MR. FOSTER:	12	A. At that meeting, I was very specific, and I
13	Q. Now, if you'll look at Mr. Fuxet's Statement	13	told them the capacity in which I was acting, as I
14	and read through it however much you need to to tell	13	stated in my Witness Statement. Now, saying or not
	me whether or not you see anything in it that you		saying that I was a lawyer of Mr. Campollo, I think
15		15	
16	believe to be incorrect.	16	that maybe outside the scope of what was said. I was
17	A. I'm going to read the whole Statement, and	17	very specific. I said that I was acting in my own
18	then if I find one of those aspects, I will give you	18	behalf as Juan Esteban Berger Widmann as an
19	my views on it.	19	individual, as a person, and I wasn't representing
20	Q. Thank you.	20	anybody. I had a historical interest that linked me
21	A. My name is Luis Pedro Fuxet-Ciani. I'm of	21	to everything that has to do with railroads.
22			
	legal age, a citizen of the Republic of Guatemala,	22	Q. Are you telling us today that you
	legal age, a citizen of the Republic of Guatemala,	22	Q. Are you telling us today that you

	279		281
09:27:15 1	specifically said to the Ferrovías representatives	09:30:05 1	correct?
2	that you were not there as a lawyer for anybody, that	2	A. I disagree with that. First, because Ciudad
3	you were there because of your interests in the	3	del Sur had already existed, as I said, and as I sai
4	railroad?	4	in my Statement, there werethere was a group of
5	A. Once again, no comment was made that I was a	5	highly prestigious architects in Guatemala who drew
6	lawyer for Mr. Campollo, and no comment was made that	6	a pilot plan, and it already, for many years has bee
° 7	I was there as a lawyer. What was said is that I was	7	the site of universities, high school institutions,
, 8	there in my own capacity, individually, as Juan	, 8	Intecap and so on. Ciudad del Sur, as such, did not
9	Esteban Berger, representing Juan Esteban Berger	9	depend directly on the railway. The railway going
10	Widmann, and I wasn't representing any other		through Mr. Campollo's properties was simply one mon
		10	• • • • • • •
11	individual or third party or authority or any member	11	possibility.
12	of the team of Mr. Campollo. I had never worked	12	Q. I didn't ask you whether it was essential
13	directly or indirectly with him and his team. Once	13	not. I just asked you: Wouldn't Mr. Campollo's su
14	again, oftentimes I've been the other party working	14	mill and his Ciudad del Sur project benefit from
15	for the bank that I represent.	15	having the South Coast of the railway reopened?
16	Q. Mr. Campollo told you that he was interested	16	A. So, basically, the question is: Were they
17	in meeting with Ferrovías in connection with his	17	going to benefit from the rail line? Is that the
18	Ciudad del Sur real estate project; correct?	18	question?
19	A. Correct.	19	Q. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Now, I think you've told us before, but let	20	A. If at some point in time there were a rail
21	me see if I understand you, that his Ciudad del Sur	21	line going through those properties and it could
22	project involved transforming agricultural land owned	22	provide some service, obviously, I think there would
44	I J J J J		[
22	1 5 5 5		r
22	280		282
	280	09:31:35 1	· · · · ·
09:28:42 1	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill		282 be some benefit.
)9:28:42 1 2	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial,	09:31:35 1	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you.
09:28:42 1 2 3	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct?	09:31:35 1 2 3	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct.	09:31:35 1	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004,
09:28:42 1 2 3	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right	09:31:35 1 2 3	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur	09:31:35 1 2 3	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct?	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct.	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct?	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper
09:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. Could you please repeat the question? The	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct?	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to provide support in the owner's usufruct concession,
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. Could you please repeat the question? The	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to provide support in the owner's usufruct concession,
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. Could you please repeat the question? The translation, because I was listening to English only.	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to provide support in the owner's usufruct concession, which in 1997 was granted by the country to Ferroví</pre>
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. Could you please repeat the question? The translation, because I was listening to English only. Sorry about that.	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to provide support in the owner's usufruct concession, which in 1997 was granted by the country to Ferroví</pre>
09:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. Could you please repeat the question? The translation, because I was listening to English only. Sorry about that. Q. Certainly. No problem. The railway right of way also ran very close	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovias in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to provide support in the owner's usufruct concession, which in 1997 was granted by the country to Ferrovi And that I was familiar with various aspects of tha project.</pre>
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. Could you please repeat the question? The translation, because I was listening to English only. Sorry about that. Q. Certainly. No problem. The railway right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill in Santa	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to provide support in the owner's usufruct concession, which in 1997 was granted by the country to Ferroví And that I was familiar with various aspects of tha project. Q. What was your connection with preparing th </pre>
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. Could you please repeat the question? The translation, because I was listening to English only. Sorry about that. Q. Certainly. No problem. The railway right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill in Santa Lucía; correct?</pre>	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovias in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to provide support in the owner's usufruct concession, which in 1997 was granted by the country to Ferrovi And that I was familiar with various aspects of tha project. Q. What was your connection with preparing th bidding conditions for the usufruct that Ferrovias</pre>
)9:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. Could you please repeat the question? The translation, because I was listening to English only. Sorry about that. Q. Certainly. No problem. The railway right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill in Santa Lucía; correct? A. That is correct.</pre>	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to provide support in the owner's usufruct concession, which in 1997 was granted by the country to Ferroví And that I was familiar with various aspects of tha project. Q. What was your connection with preparing th bidding conditions for the usufruct that Ferrovías ultimately got?</pre>
09:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. Could you please repeat the question? The translation, because I was listening to English only. Sorry about that. Q. Certainly. No problem. The railway right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill in Santa Lucía; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And so both Mr. Campollo's sugar mill and his	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to provide support in the owner's usufruct concession, which in 1997 was granted by the country to Ferroví And that I was familiar with various aspects of tha project. Q. What was your connection with preparing th bidding conditions for the usufruct that Ferrovías ultimately got? A. When I ended my Masterswhen I got my </pre>
09:28:42 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>280 by him and his family that were on his sugar mill property into a mixed-use development of commercial, industrial and residential activities; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the railway right of way runs right through the land that comprised the Ciudad del Sur project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the right of waythe Railroad right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. Could you please repeat the question? The translation, because I was listening to English only. Sorry about that. Q. Certainly. No problem. The railway right of way also ran very close to Mr. Campollo's Madre Tierra sugar mill in Santa Lucía; correct? A. That is correct.</pre>	09:31:35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>282 be some benefit. Q. Thank you. Now, in your statement, you say that Mr. Campollo invited you to the December, 2004, meeting with Ferrovías in Miami because of your previous involvement in preparing the bidding conditions for the Guatemala railroad system; corre A. Basically, when Mr. Campollo presented Ciu del Sur to me and among others, the question of the vocation of being able to use the rail line came up because it happens to go through part of the proper I commented that I had the pleasing experience to provide support in the owner's usufruct concession, which in 1997 was granted by the country to Ferroví And that I was familiar with various aspects of tha project. Q. What was your connection with preparing th bidding conditions for the usufruct that Ferrovías ultimately got?</pre>

	283		285
09:33:00 1	program in 1996. I went back in late '96, '97 to	09:35:44 1	impassioned with the whole process, but there were
2	Guatemala.	2	some aspects of those bidding conditions with which I
3	And I'm sorry for going on at length, but	3	disagreed, so I wasn't able to do that. My work was
4	it's important to make reference to this. And in	4	very much limited to the eminently legal issue.
5	1997, Chris Coleman, the Minister of Communications,	5	Q. And what was the eminently legal issue that
6	offered that I be the intervener of FEGUA, or the	6	your work was focused on?
7	overseer of FEGUA. And he said that I'd be invited to	7	A. I worked basically to come up with a legal
8	support. My father was the Mayor. But I said I	8	structure that could be interesting for an investor,
9	can't. The best thing for me is to step back, not	9	such as is the case on Onerous Usufruct Contract, and
10	because I don't want to serve my country, but rather	10	I worked on the structure of the contract.
11	it doesn't look so good, my father being a public	11	Q. You had no relationship or involvement in th
12	employee, for me to be involved in other institutions	12	economic terms. Do I understand you correctly?
13	in the State. And so I recommended that a friend of	13	A. That's right. The eminently technical
14	mine, Andrés Porras, who served as Overseer, should	14	economic aspect, well, I worked with Mr. Guillermo
15	meet him to see if he might be able to fill that spot.	15	Conte who helped me out on the legal aspect, but he
16	So that's my first observation.	16	was in charge of that, together with the consulting
17	And I'll wait to make sure that you get the	17	firm which was contacted at that time specifically to
18	translation. I don't know if I can continue.	18	do that work. It was not up to me, simply because my
19	Q. You certainly may continue, but my question	19	contributions in that respect would have been very
20	was simply: What was your involvement in the	20	limited. I'm not an expert in that area.
21	preparation of the bidding conditions? What did you	21	Q. You do know that Ferrovías didn't have any
22	do in connection with preparing the bidding	22	input in the preparation of those bidding terms;
	284		286
09:34:14 1	conditions?	09:37:17 1	correct?
2	A. Yes. Seeing as I did not have a direct	2	A. At least in the legal aspect, no, no
3	position, I was given an opportunity to work through	3	participation. And as far as I know, in the process
4	the UNDP, which is not a Guatemalan institution, where	4	that was being put together, that there was any
5	I personally, nor did my father, see any conflict of	5	presence of Ferrovías so as to get involved in it at
6	interest in getting involved in the process in that	6	all, not that, either, but that's what I remember. I
7	way. And given my legal background, I began to work	7	don't have personal knowledge of that.
8	in putting together, from a technical/legal	8	Q. Were you aware of any errors or omissions in
9	standpoint, the terms of the process for the	9	the bidding conditionconditions?
10	concession of the railway service. It wasn't known	10	MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm going to object.
11	whether the best thing would be to have a usufruct, to		I think this is going way beyond the scope of the
12	give a concession, to give a right of use, so part of	12	First and Second Statements that Mr. Berger submitted
12	my input was, among other things, technical/legal	13	in this case. He makes mention of historical context
13	elements, was to seek a structure which would legally	13	that he participated in bidding terms, but to ask him
15	make it attractive for an investor to become	15	now whether he is aware of any omissions or errors in
15	interested and to participate in the bidding process.	16	bidding terms that were elaborated more than 14 years
		±0	staating corms char were craborated more chair if Acarb
		17	ago I think is both beyond the goone and not entirel
17	Q. So if I understand you correctly, you	17	
17 18	Q. So if I understand you correctly, you basically put together the structure of the bidding	18	sure what relevance at all it has in these
17 18 19	Q. So if I understand you correctly, you basically put together the structure of the bidding conditions upon which Ferrovías bid; correct?	18 19	sure what relevance at all it has in these proceedings.
17 18 19 20	 Q. So if I understand you correctly, you basically put together the structure of the bidding conditions upon which Ferrovías bid; correct? A. No, I would have been delighted to have 	18 19 20	sure what relevance at all it has in these proceedings. MR. FOSTER: The Respondent is contending
17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So if I understand you correctly, you basically put together the structure of the bidding conditions upon which Ferrovías bid; correct? A. No, I would have been delighted to have participated in that way, because, perhaps, personally 	18 19 20 21	proceedings. MR. FOSTER: The Respondent is contending that there were all sorts of errors in connection wit
17 18 19 20	 Q. So if I understand you correctly, you basically put together the structure of the bidding conditions upon which Ferrovías bid; correct? A. No, I would have been delighted to have 	18 19 20	sure what relevance at all it has in these proceedings. MR. FOSTER: The Respondent is contending

	287		289
09:38:38 1	the whole issue in his Statement that he was involved	09:41:14 1	A. Basically, once again, Mr. Foster, I did not
2	in preparing the bidding conditions. I certainly	2	agree with the payment of a 10 percent Canon because
3	think it's an appropriate inquiry to ask him whether	3	it seemed to be a somewhat confiscatory scheme. One
4	or not he was aware of any errors or omissions in the	4	would invest, and independent of whether you would
5	bidding conditions.	5	gain or earn money or not, there's automatically a
6	MR. ORTA: If I just may, before you rule,	6	10 percent charge that goes to the State. But that
7	he's not been tendered as either a factual witness or	7	went beyond the legal issues I was dealing with.
8	an expert in relation to the bidding terms. He's been	8	Q. Now, going back to the meeting in December of
9	tendered as a factual witness in relation to the	9	2004, you say in your statement that you onlythat
10	allegation that Claimant made about some alleged	10	your only interest in attending that meeting was your
11	conspiracy relating to Mr. Campollo. That's what his	11	desire to see Guatemala equipped with an efficient
12	Witness Statement deals with, that he mentions	12	railroad system; correct?
13	anecdotally that he had been involved with the	13	A. Correct.
14	preparation of legal aspects of the bid I don't think	13	Q. Didn't you also attend the meeting because of
15	opens the door to him being asked whether there were	15	the interest of your Korean clients in the Ciudad del
15	any errors associated with the bidding terms.	15	Sur project?
10	PRESIDENT RIGO: From our perspective, it has	10	A. No. My Korean clients have nothing to do
	been mentioned that he has been involved. You had		whatsoever with Ciudad del Sur and the Ferrovías
18		18	
19	raised issues that there were problems with the	19	question, not at all.
20	bidding conditions.	20	Q. Did you disclose to the Ferrovias people at
21	Mr. Berger, please answer the question to the	21	the meeting that you were then currently in talks with
22	extent that you remember the facts.	22	Mr. Campollo regarding the interest of your Korean
	288		290
09:39:59 1	THE WITNESS: So basically, the question is	09:42:51 1	
2	whether the bidding terms, as such, were plagued by	2	A. No, I don't recall whether I raised that, but
3	some error or were incomplete and so forth, just to	3	I doubt that it was an important element in relation
4	make sure that I actually do answer the question,	4	to the Ciudad del Sur and Ferrovías question.
5	Mr. Foster, please.	5	Q. But it is a fact, is it not, that you were
6	BY MR. FOSTER:	6	then engaged in talks with Mr. Campollo regarding the
7	Q. Yes, that's the question.	7	interest of your Korean clients in the Ciudad del Sur
8	A. The bidding terms, as such, perhaps were	8	project?
9	plagued by being somewhat general in relation to what	9	A. At that timeat that time the interest of
10	the rail service was at that time. But in terms of	10	the Koreans with respect to which I visited factories
11	whether there was an error, there were authorities of	11	in Korea was interesting, buttextile factories, but
12	the State who analyzed them. They analyzed the terms,	12	for a textile factory, power is about 40 percent of
13	and who undertook to remove any errors that there may $% \left({{{\left({{{{\left({{{}_{{\rm{m}}}} \right)}} \right.}} \right)}} \right)$	13	cost, and so Ciudad del Sur wasn't so attractive. And
14	have been in their support of the bidding process.	14	given that the latitudes of Ciudad del Sur were not
15	That's what I remember, but I have justI do have one	15	optimal for that, because it's very hot, basically the
16	very personal view on the bidding terms, which is	16	possibility of some Korean company establishing itself
17	that, in effect, I was not in agreement with the	17	there is quite limited and remote. Given that just a
18	10 percent Canon. But in terms of whether that	18	few kilometers away in the north of the country, there
19	changed, well, I didn't have any further input and it	19	are various feasible microclimates which are
20	wasn't up to me to make that determination.	20	particularly suitable for that, and Ciudad del Sur is
21	Q. What were the terms that you weren't in	21	not good for that.
22	agreement with?	22	Q. That really wasn't my question. I just
	·		- 1 11 5

		291		293
09:44:29	1	referred you to the statement in Paragraph 5 of your	09:47:20 1	Mr. Campollo, don't you think that gave a quasi
09:44:29		Statement, your Second Statement, where you say, "I		Governmental imprimatur to your appearance?
	2		2	
	3	attended that meeting with Messrs. Senn and Duggan	3	A. Well, every time that I go to a meeting-that
	4 r	because at that time I was in talks with Mr. Campollo	4	I was going to a meeting at that time when my father
	5	regarding the interest of some Korean clients in his	5	was a public official, the first words that I would
	6	Ciudad del Sur project." You say that in your	6	say would be to clarify that I am here, Juan Esteban
	1	statement, don't you, sir?	7	Berger, on behalf of Juan Esteban Berger, as a
	8	A. Correct. I attended it, and as I say, I	8	Guatemalan citizen, whose national ID number is such
	9	don't recall whether that issue came up. Nonetheless,	9	and such, acting on my own behalf. And time and
	10	in effect, the impact of the Koreans in Ciudad del Sur	10	again, this is what I said, as in other meetings,
	11	in relation to Ferrovías at that meeting was of no	11	where some public aspect would come to light with
1	12	consequence.	12	respect to which my attitude, as has historically been
1	13	Q. At that time or subsequently, did you become	13	the case, is to be restrained, to pull back, and so I
1	14	aware of the fact that Mr. Campollo had a narrow gauge	14	was very emphatic in clarifying that I was not
1	15	railroad on his sugar property in the Dominican	15	representing anyone, as I state in my Statement.
1	16	Republic?	16	Q. Let's turn to another subject.
1	17	A. No, I did not know that. I knew that	17	MR. FOSTER: Adrian, would you please show
1	18	Mr. Campollo had a sugar interest in the Dominican	18	him Exhibit C-83, Page 11.
1	19	Republic, but I didn't know that, in effect, that the	19	BY MR. FOSTER:
2	20	railway was the backbone for the transfer of the	20	Q. Do you have that before you?
2	21	sugar.	21	A. Yes.
2	22	Q. You also say in your statement that when your	22	Q. Thank you. If you look at that chart, which
		· · · ·		
		000		004
	1	292	00 40 00 1	294
09:45:50		father was the President of Guatemala, he forbade you	09:49:20 1	sets forth the ownership of certain sugar refineries
			<u>م</u>	in Angle have been been been been been in this should
	2	to involve yourself as a representative of the	2	in Guatemala, to your knowledge, is this chart
	3	Government in any matter involving the Republic to	3	correct?
	3 4	Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct?	3	correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on
	3	Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct.	3	correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it
	3 4	Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in	3	correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is
	3 4	<pre>Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your</pre>	3 4 5 6 7	correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false.
	3 4 5 6 7 8	Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct?A. Correct.Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and	3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family</pre>
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar</pre>
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct?
1	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family,
1	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or
1	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill.
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for him for Mr. Henry Posnerwith him for Mr. Henry 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill. Q. We may bewe may be confusing the language
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill. Q. We may bewe may be confusing the language as between English and Spanish. I actually asked
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for him for Mr. Henry Posnerwith him for Mr. Henry 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill. Q. We may bewe may be confusing the language
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for him for Mr. Henry Posner. But that's the only dealing I had with my 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill. Q. We may bewe may be confusing the language as between English and Spanish. I actually asked
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for him for Mr. Henry Posner. But that's the only dealing I had with my father with respect to the railway matter, and I very 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill. Q. We may bewe may be confusing the language as between English and Spanish. I actually asked youlet me rephrase it so it will be clear.
	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for him for Mr. Henry Posner. But that's the only dealing I had with my father with respect to the railway matter, and I very much respect his disposition, and so I didn't want to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill. Q. We may bewe may be confusing the language as between English and Spanish. I actually asked youlet me rephrase it so it will be clear. You're telling us that no member of your
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for him for Mr. Henry Posnerwith him for Mr. Henry Posner. But that's the only dealing I had with my father with respect to the railway matter, and I very much respect his disposition, and so I didn't want to head down that road with him, and so I wasvery much 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill. Q. We may bewe may be confusing the language as between English and Spanish. I actually asked youlet me rephrase it so it will be clear. You're telling us that no member of your family has any economic interest whatsoever in the
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for him for Mr. Henry Posner. But that's the only dealing I had with my father with respect to the railway matter, and I very much respect his disposition, and so I didn't want to head down that road with him, and so I was-very much kept to myself in that respect. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill. Q. We may bewe may be confusing the language as between English and Spanish. I actually asked youlet me rephrase it so it will be clear. You're telling us that no member of your family has any economic interest whatsoever in the Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct?</pre>
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for him for Mr. Henry Posnerwith him for Mr. Henry Posner. But that's the only dealing I had with my father with respect to the railway matter, and I very much respect his disposition, and so I didn't want to head down that road with him, and so I wasvery much kept to myself in that respect. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill. Q. We may be-we may be confusing the language as between English and Spanish. I actually asked youlet me rephrase it so it will be clear. You're telling us that no member of your family has any economic interest whatsoever in the Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. No. What I answered is that no member of my</pre>
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Government in any matter involving the Republic to avoid any appearance of conflict of interest; correct? A. Correct. Q. Prior to attending the December meeting in 2004, did you speak with your father about your attendance at this meeting withbetween FVG and Mr. Campollo? A. Never to this dayI've never had any discussions on the railway issue with my father. Only one appointment which, with certain reserve, given his disposition, at the request of Jorge Senn, I made for him for Mr. Henry Posnerwith him for Mr. Henry Posner. But that's the only dealing I had with my father with respect to the railway matter, and I very much respect his disposition, and so I didn't want to head down that road with him, and so I wasvery much kept to myself in that respect. Q. Don't you think that your showing up at a meeting with Mr. Campollo and not telling anyone at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>correct? A. It is correct. It just has one mistake on the last line where there are two asterisks where it says (in Spanish) Madre Tierra. That information is not correct. It's false. Q. So you're saying that no one in your family is or has been a shareholder in Madre Tierra sugar mill; is that correct? A. As far as I know, no member of my family, Widmann family, has been or has any partnership or relationship with the Madre Tierra sugar mill. Q. We may bewe may be confusing the language as between English and Spanish. I actually asked youlet me rephrase it so it will be clear. You're telling us that no member of your family has any economic interest whatsoever in the Madre Tierra sugar mill; correct? A. No. What I answered is that no member of my family is a partner or a shareholder as the document</pre>

	295		297
09:51:09 1	be socio, so no one, as far as I know, ever in the	09:53:54 1	
2	Widmann family, has had any such partnership-type or	2	A. No. There is no relationship whatsoever.
3	shareholder-type relationship on the sugar mill issue	3	They are two independent groups with independent
4	or with respect to any other issue, as far as I know.	4	shareholders. There is no property
5	Q. Since you're implicitly telling us that there	5	relationshipproperty rights relationship between
6	is an economic interest, what is the economic interest	6	Madre Tierre and Concepción, as far as I know to this
7	that any member of your family has in either Madre	7	day.
8	Tierra or the Concepción sugar mills?	8	Q. Now, after the December, 2004, meeting that
9	MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm just going to	9	we've been discussing, it was your understanding that
10	object to the characterization of the implication from	10	Mr. Pinto was authorized to negotiate on
11	his prior answer. I think the witness should be asked		Mr. Campollo's behalf with Ferrovías, as you say in
12	that question directly.	12	your Statement, in order to reach an agreement to
13	PRESIDENT RIGO: Could you please ask the	13	exploit the right of the railway with a view to
14	question?	13	support his Ciudad del Sur project; correct?
15	BY MR. FOSTER:	15	A. Mr. Pinto was introduced by Mr. Campollo in
15	Q. Does any member of your family, to your	15	the Ciudad del Sur matter, and I never heard
10	knowledge, have any economic interest whatsoever in	10	Mr. Campollo had authorized and told him, Look, sit
18	the Madre Tierra or Concepción sugar mills?	17	down with Esteban and negotiate with Ferrovias. I did
10	A. In Madre Tierra, none. In Concepción, very	10	not hear that that was the case. I never said that.
	proudly, my great grandfather built it screw by screw		
20		20	I was never part of Mr. Pinto's team, and so I have no
21	and started it up and consolidated it as a corporate	21	personal knowledge that Mr. Campollo has given direct
22	group within Peña Pantaleón. And my maternal	22	instructions to Mr. Pinto along those lines. I
09:52:31 1	296 grandfather is very proudly a shareholder in	09:55:24 1	298 deduced, as I said inat the first meeting, that as I
2	thatgrandmother, interpreter corrects himself.	07.JJ.21 I 0	met Mr. Pinto through Mr. Campollo in the Ciudad del
3	Q. So the footnote marked by two asterisks is	2	Sur, that I deduced that Mr. Pinto acted in
	correct in that regard; correct?	5	representation of Mr. Campollo, but I had no personal
4	-	4	knowledge of that. That was a personal deduction on
	MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. That is a very vague	5	5
0	question. Can you just	0	my part.
7	MR. FOSTER: I'll try it again.	1	Q. Now, did Mr. Campollo ever tell you at any
8	BY MR. FOSTER:	8	point that Mr. Pinto was not authorized to negotiate
9	Q. So the footnote marked by two asterisks, that		with FVG on his behalf?
10	says that the Widmann Group is a shareholder in	10	A. He never made that comment to me. We never
11	Concepción sugar refineries, that is correct?	11	talked about Ferrovías, that is to say with
12	A. In terms of how it's drafted, in effect, the	12	Mr. Campollo, other than at the Miami meeting. And
13	Widmann Group was a shareholder in the Concepción	13	reading the Statements, obviously Mr. Pinto approached
14	sugar refinery. Today that no longer exits. It's now		Ferrovías afterwards with Mr. Senn to discuss possible
15	the Grupo Pantaleón, but at the Concepción sugar	15	agreements between the Parties, but I never heard
16	refinery, yes, I reiterate, it was my	16	Mr. Campollo say that he was authorized or that he
17	great-grandfather and my grandfather who brought it up	17	wasn't authorized.
18	from nothing and to maintain interest in that project.	18	Q. In your statement, you say that after the
19	Q. So your family is a shareholder in the Grupo	19	December, 2004, meeting, that there were several
20	Pantaleón; correct?	20	consultations between Mr. Pinto and yourself regarding
21	A. Correct.	21	the railroad and the usufruct; correct?
22	${\tt Q}. {\tt And} \mbox{ am I correct that the Madre Tierra sugar}$	22	A. Correct. Basically, when I made the comment
22	Q. And am I correct that the Madre Tierra sugar	22	A. Correct. Basically, when I made the comment

AA EC EE 1	299	10 00 10 1	301
09:56:55 1	on my awareness of the Ferrovías issue through UNDP, I		Q. Were you still acting on behalf of UNDP when
2	kept one of the bidding terms as memorabilia, one of	2	you were reviewing this proposal?
3	the ones that friends from RDC had, and I think there	3	A. I did not hear your question. I was acting on behalf of what?
4	were seven other companies. It was an envelope that had microeconomic information on Guatemala and so	4	
5		5	Q. UNDP. You said that the reason you were
6	forth. And I gave one of those to Mr. Pinto as a	6	involved in all of this is because you had been hired
1	reference to what the right of Onus Usufruct that had	/	by UNDP. And my question is: When you reviewed this
8	been granted to the people from RDC included and what	8	proposal, were you still employed by UNDP?
9	it did not include. So from that, I deduced, but I		MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm going to object to
10	did not have any information that Mr. Pinto, referring		the characterization of his testimony. He's
11	to the document, had sought me out for consultations.	11	mischaracterizing what the witness said today.
12	MR. FOSTER: Adrian, please show him	12	MR. FOSTER: I don't believe I am.
13	Exhibit C-41.	13	PRESIDENT RIGO: Would you base your question
14	BY MR. FOSTER:	14	5 1
15	Q. The cover of C-41 is an e-mail of which you	15	BY MR. FOSTER:
16	received a copy; correct?	16	Q. I have a very simple question. When you
17	A. Correct.	17	reviewed the Desarrollos G proposal, were you still
18	Q. And attached to that e-mail is the	18	employed by UNDP?
19	Desarrollos G proposal dated March 9, 2005; correct?	19	A. No.
20	A. Correct.	20	Q. Then on whose behalf were you reviewing the
21	Q. And you agree in your statement that you took		Desarrollos G proposal?
22	at least ayou made at least some review of the	22	A. It wasI was doing this personally as a
	300		302
09:58:41 1		10:01:31 1	citizen of Guatemala, as a person who had gathered
2	MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. I'm just going to	2	some information on the railway issue.
3	object to the characterization of the statement.	3	Q. You knew who the beneficial owner of
4	BY MR. FOSTER:	4	Desarrollos G was, didn't you?
5	Q. You did review the attachment, the	5	A. No. I don't know who the owner was for the
6	Desarrollos G proposal, didn't you?	6	Desarrollos?
7	A. I glanced at it for a few short moments.	7	0. Well, the other party named in the
8	Q. Now, on whose behalf were you glancing at	8	Desarrollos G proposal is Ferrovías; right?
9	this for a few short moments?	9	A. Correct.
10	A. In the same nature as the relationship which	10	Q. And you weren't acting on behalf of
11	Ipersonally led me to speak with Mr. Pinto when I	11	Ferrovías, were you?
12	gave him the document on the bidding terms. It's a	12	A. Correct.
13	matter of good faith to say, Here is this. And from	13	Q. So you were reviewing a proposal for some
14	that document, well, eventually, there were doubts,	14	entity known as Desarrollos G, and you're telling us
15	and he would consult me. But there were many	15	that you didn't even know who Desarrollos G was or who
16	technical doubts in respect of which I could not give	16	owned it?
17	him answers. I didn't have the technical authority.	17	A. Correct. In connection with Desarrollos G, I
18	I did not have more eminently technical knowledge, so	18	received the document by a Mr. Pinto, and also he
19	I would open it up, review it, within good faith,	19	entrusted me with the document. Therefore, out of
20	and Juan Esteban Berger, acting as the person hired by	20	good manners, I reviewed the document. But for
21	the UNDP to put together the bidding terms and the	21	Mr. Pinto to tell me, "This is my company. This is
22	legal structure in that process.	22	Mr. Campollo's, This belongs to Pedro Pérez," he never

	303		305
10:03:07 1	did so. And upon reading the document and looking at	10:06:33 1	Mr. Berger as someone who hadwho was abusive. And
2	the content with due respect, I thought the document	2	as I'm doing it today, I think that I never approache
3	was not pertinent to what theto what was being	3	Mr. Duggan to clarify this. I think that if somethin
4	intended.	4	is in doubt, we should go and face the situation and
5	Q. Let's turn to another subject. In April,	5	try to clarify our own situation.
6	2005, you learned about some alleged threatening	6	Q. But instead of either talking to Mr. Pinto o
7	statements that Mr. Pinto had made to Ferrovías in	7	Mr. Duggan, what you did was ask Mr. Fuxet to go to a
8	which he invoked your name; correct?	8	meeting between Ferrovías and Mr. Pinto; correct?
9	A. Would you please repeat your question? I was	9	A. In what case? Because that meeting took
10	thinking about something else.	10	place before I heard about that, so I think it was at
11	Q. No problem.	11	that meeting that Mr. Fuxet heard about that.
12	In early 2005, you learned about some alleged	12	Q. Didn't you ask Mr. Fuxet to make sure that
13	threatening statements that Mr. Pinto had made to	13	the Ferrovías representatives knew that you were not
14	Ferrovías in which he had used your name; correct?	14	going to do any of the things that Mr. Pinto had said
15	A. Correct. I heard from Pedro Fuxet, my good	15	you were; isn't that true?
15 16	friend, that at some meeting a comment was made who	15	A. Mr. Fuxet knows me very well. He knows my
10	Mr. Pinto had allegedly threatened people from RDC.	10	background, and I never need to ask him to speak on r
17	That's what I heard.	17	behalf when he knows that my name is going to be part
		-	of a discussion. So given our affection, I think th
19	Q. Please accept my representation that in	19	-
20	Mr. Fuxet's Statement, he says that you were the one	20	his attitude was clear.
21	who told him that you had heard that Mr. Pinto had	21	PRESIDENT RIGO: Give a second to respond to
22	allegedly made these statements. Which is it? Did he	22	the question of Mr. Orta asked how we were on time.
10 04 51 1	304	10 00 01 1	306
_	tell you or did you tell him?	10:08:31 1	SECRETARY SEQUEIRA: You have about eight
2	A. He told me. He mentioned to me that that	2	minutes now.
3	comment had been made, or that he had heard that that	3	MR. FOSTER: Thank you.
4	comment had been made.	4	MR. ORTA: Thank you.
5	Q. Mr. Fuxet's Statement also says that you were	5	BY MR. FOSTER:
6	very upset that Mr. Pinto would be saying such	б	Q. But my question in this case, you asked
7	threatening statements in relation to you. Is that	7	Mr. Fuxet to clear your name with the Ferrovías
8	correct?	8	people, didn't you?
8 9	A. That is correct. I wasnever before had my	8 9	
		8 9 10	people, didn't you?
9	A. That is correct. I wasnever before had my	-	people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut
9 10	A. That is correct. I wasnever before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what	10	people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of
9 10 11	A. That is correct. I wasnever before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what Mr. Pinto did or not because I was not there. But I	10 11	<pre>people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of Mr. Pedro, I wanted for him to repeat my connection with the project to help the project, but he neededbut as for clarification in clearing my name</pre>
9 10 11 12	A. That is correct. I was-never before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what Mr. Pinto did or not because I was not there. But I think that my history is excellent, and I don't know	10 11 12	<pre>people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of Mr. Pedro, I wanted for him to repeat my connection with the project to help the project, but he</pre>
9 10 11 12 13	A. That is correct. I was-never before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what Mr. Pinto did or not because I was not there. But I think that my history is excellent, and I don't know why my name was mentioned back then, and I'd like for	10 11 12 13	<pre>people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of Mr. Pedro, I wanted for him to repeat my connection with the project to help the project, but he neededbut as for clarification in clearing my name</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That is correct. I was-never before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what Mr. Pinto did or not because I was not there. But I think that my history is excellent, and I don't know why my name was mentioned back then, and I'd like for my good name to be maintained. And from what I heard	10 11 12 13 14	 people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of Mr. Pedro, I wanted for him to repeat my connection with the project to help the project, but he neededbut as for clarification in clearing my name that is something I would rather do myself. Q. But you didn't, did you? You didn't call
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That is correct. I was-never before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what Mr. Pinto did or not because I was not there. But I think that my history is excellent, and I don't know why my name was mentioned back then, and I'd like for my good name to be maintained. And from what I heard through Mr. Fuxet, what Mr. Pinto said was nothing	10 11 12 13 14 15	 people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of Mr. Pedro, I wanted for him to repeat my connection with the project to help the project, but he neededbut as for clarification in clearing my name that is something I would rather do myself. Q. But you didn't, did you? You didn't call
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That is correct. I wasnever before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what Mr. Pinto did or not because I was not there. But I think that my history is excellent, and I don't know why my name was mentioned back then, and I'd like for my good name to be maintained. And from what I heard through Mr. Fuxet, what Mr. Pinto said was nothing positive about me. Q. But you didn't bother to call Mr. Pinto and 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of Mr. Pedro, I wanted for him to repeat my connection with the project to help the project, but he neededbut as for clarification in clearing my name that is something I would rather do myself. Q. But you didn't, did you? You didn't call Mr. Pinto, and you didn't call anybody from Ferrovia</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That is correct. I wasnever before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what Mr. Pinto did or not because I was not there. But I think that my history is excellent, and I don't know why my name was mentioned back then, and I'd like for my good name to be maintained. And from what I heard through Mr. Fuxet, what Mr. Pinto said was nothing positive about me. Q. But you didn't bother to call Mr. Pinto and talk to him about it, did you? 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of Mr. Pedro, I wanted for him to repeat my connection with the project to help the project, but he neededbut as for clarification in clearing my name that is something I would rather do myself. Q. But you didn't, did you? You didn't call Mr. Pinto, and you didn't call anybody from Ferrovía did you? A. Yes, I did talk to Mr. Silva. I droveI
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That is correct. I was-never before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what Mr. Pinto did or not because I was not there. But I think that my history is excellent, and I don't know why my name was mentioned back then, and I'd like for my good name to be maintained. And from what I heard through Mr. Fuxet, what Mr. Pinto said was nothing positive about me. Q. But you didn't bother to call Mr. Pinto and talk to him about it, did you? A. I did not talk to Mr. Pinto when this 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of Mr. Pedro, I wanted for him to repeat my connection with the project to help the project, but he neededbut as for clarification in clearing my name that is something I would rather do myself. Q. But you didn't, did you? You didn't call Mr. Pinto, and you didn't call anybody from Ferrovía did you? A. Yes, I did talk to Mr. Silva. I droveI left my office; I drove my car and met with Mrthi
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That is correct. I was-never before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what Mr. Pinto did or not because I was not there. But I think that my history is excellent, and I don't know why my name was mentioned back then, and I'd like for my good name to be maintained. And from what I heard through Mr. Fuxet, what Mr. Pinto said was nothing positive about me. Q. But you didn't bother to call Mr. Pinto and talk to him about it, did you? A. I did not talk to Mr. Pinto when this happened, because, indeed, based on what Mr. Fuxet 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of Mr. Pedro, I wanted for him to repeat my connection with the project to help the project, but he neededbut as for clarification in clearing my name that is something I would rather do myself. Q. But you didn't, did you? You didn't call Mr. Pinto, and you didn't call anybody from Ferrovía did you? A. Yes, I did talk to Mr. Silva. I droveI left my office; I drove my car and met with Mrthi person, and I told him that anything that Mr. Pinto
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. That is correct. I was-never before had my name been used in this way, and I cannot verify what Mr. Pinto did or not because I was not there. But I think that my history is excellent, and I don't know why my name was mentioned back then, and I'd like for my good name to be maintained. And from what I heard through Mr. Fuxet, what Mr. Pinto said was nothing positive about me. Q. But you didn't bother to call Mr. Pinto and talk to him about it, did you? A. I did not talk to Mr. Pinto when this 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 people, didn't you? A. Based on what was said when Mrbut Mr. Fuxet was not there, and given my trust of Mr. Pedro, I wanted for him to repeat my connection with the project to help the project, but he neededbut as for clarification in clearing my name that is something I would rather do myself. Q. But you didn't, did you? You didn't call Mr. Pinto, and you didn't call anybody from Ferrovía did you? A. Yes, I did talk to Mr. Silva. I droveI left my office; I drove my car and met with Mrthi

	307		309
10:10:04 1	help were always there. This was not a hostile	10:13:28 1	1 5 5
2	meeting. This was not an embarrassing meeting. It	2	something about this problem with Mr. Pinto; isn't
3	was a meeting just to clear the situation, and this is	3	that true?
4	the same way I'm doing it today.	4	 I did not call him for him to do something,
5	Q. Didn't Mr. Fuxet advise you that you should	5	because I did not call him to complain about
6	personally clarify the situation with Ferrovías?	6	Mr. Pinto. I just called him to let him know that my
7	A. Mr. Fuxet, based on that meeting where he was	7	name had been mentioned in connection with the threat
8	not present but where it was said that Mr. Pinto	8	and that I was deeply disappointed, but I never told
9	saidmade those statements, he made that comment to	9	him, "Please do something in connection with
10	me, and my comment was, "I am going to talk to them."	10	Mr. Pinto." I just expressed the facts as I had heard
11	And he said, "I agree."	11	them.
12	Q. So I'm correct that Mr. Fuxet advised you to	12	Q. Okay. Sir, let me shorten the question then.
13	do the clarification personally; correct?	13	You called Mr. Campollo because you knew he was
14	A. No. That was my own personal decision to go	14	Mr. Pinto's boss, didn't you?
15	and clarify the situation as it was also my decision	15	MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. That's been asked and
16	to come here and be here with all of you today.	16	asked now a couple of times.
17	Q. And did you subsequently have a meeting with	17	MR. FOSTER: No, it hasn't.
18	Mr. Senn and Mr. Duggan?	18	PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry, but he has not
19	A. No, I did not. I had a meeting with	19	answered that question. So could you please answer
20	Mr. Silva and Mr. Duggan at Mr. Silva's office just to	20	yes or no?
21	clarify this situation.	21	THE WITNESS: I called Mr. Campollo because
22	Q. Did you call Mr. Campollo and talk to him	22	Mr. Pinto, in some other situation such as, for
	308		210
10:11:47 1	about this situation?	10:14:38 1	310 example, Ciudad Sedalia, had been working with him and
	A. Yes, I talked to him about what happened, and	10:14:20 1	he introduced me to him, and I told him about the
2	I called him. I was very surprised, because I had	2	facts, and I also conveyed to him my bad taste about
4	heard that Mr. Pinto had decided to make some	5	the situation and how Mr. Pinto had acted.
	statements that were quite sensitive in connection	4 C	MR. FOSTER: Thank you, Mr. Berger. That's
	with a threat.	J C	1 . 5
6		0	all the questions I have.
	Q. So when Mr. Pinto said something that	/	Thank you, Mr. President.
8	involved you, you immediately went to his boss,	8	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you.
9	Mr. Campollo; isn't that true?	9	MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	A. No, that is not true, because whenever	10	BY MR. ORTA:
11	Mn Dinto tolkod to me T Jij net tom second of 1 1		
	Mr. Pinto talked to me, I did not turn around and talk	11	Q. Just to clarify two very minor points, you
12	to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because	12	were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar
12 13	to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because there was an alleged threat against someone, and it	12 13	were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar business in Guatemala with the name of Concepción. Do
12 13 14	to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because there was an alleged threat against someone, and it was important for Mr. Campollo to be informed.	12 13 14	were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar business in Guatemala with the name of Concepción. Do you recall that?
12 13 14 15	to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because there was an alleged threat against someone, and it was important for Mr. Campollo to be informed. Q. Because you thought that Mr. Campollo, as	12 13 14 15	<pre>were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar business in Guatemala with the name of Concepción. Do you recall that? A. What would be the question? I'm sorry.</pre>
12 13 14 15 16	<pre>to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because there was an alleged threat against someone, and it was important for Mr. Campollo to be informed. Q. Because you thought that Mr. Campollo, as Mr. Pinto's boss, could do something about it; isn't</pre>	12 13 14 15 16	<pre>were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar business in Guatemala with the name of Concepción. Do you recall that? A. What would be the question? I'm sorry. Q. You were asked some questions about</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because there was an alleged threat against someone, and it was important for Mr. Campollo to be informed. Q. Because you thought that Mr. Campollo, as Mr. Pinto's boss, could do something about it; isn't that true?</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar business in Guatemala with the name of Concepción. Do you recall that? A. What would be the question? I'm sorry. Q. You were asked some questions about Mr. Foster about a sugar business in Guatemala called</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because there was an alleged threat against someone, and it was important for Mr. Campollo to be informed. Q. Because you thought that Mr. Campollo, as Mr. Pinto's boss, could do something about it; isn't that true? A. It goes beyond that. At no time</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar business in Guatemala with the name of Concepción. Do you recall that? A. What would be the question? I'm sorry. Q. You were asked some questions about Mr. Foster about a sugar business in Guatemala called Concepción; correct?</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because there was an alleged threat against someone, and it was important for Mr. Campollo to be informed. Q. Because you thought that Mr. Campollo, as Mr. Pinto's boss, could do something about it; isn't that true? A. It goes beyond that. At no time Mr. Campollo, as the boss of Mr. Pinto, was going to</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar business in Guatemala with the name of Concepción. Do you recall that? A. What would be the question? I'm sorry. Q. You were asked some questions about Mr. Foster about a sugar business in Guatemala called Concepción; correct? A. Correct.</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because there was an alleged threat against someone, and it was important for Mr. Campollo to be informed. Q. Because you thought that Mr. Campollo, as Mr. Pinto's boss, could do something about it; isn't that true? A. It goes beyond that. At no time Mr. Campollo, as the boss of Mr. Pinto, was going to question Mr. Campollo whether Mr. Pinto is alwaysis</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar business in Guatemala with the name of Concepción. Do you recall that? A. What would be the question? I'm sorry. Q. You were asked some questions about Mr. Foster about a sugar business in Guatemala called Concepción; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you mentioned that your family used to be</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because there was an alleged threat against someone, and it was important for Mr. Campollo to be informed. Q. Because you thought that Mr. Campollo, as Mr. Pinto's boss, could do something about it; isn't that true? A. It goes beyond that. At no time Mr. Campollo, as the boss of Mr. Pinto, was going to question Mr. Campollo whether Mr. Pinto is alwaysis always making this type of sharp remarks.</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar business in Guatemala with the name of Concepción. Do you recall that? A. What would be the question? I'm sorry. Q. You were asked some questions about Mr. Foster about a sugar business in Guatemala called Concepción; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you mentioned that your family used to be a shareholder in that business; correct?</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>to Mr. Campollo, but in this case, it was because there was an alleged threat against someone, and it was important for Mr. Campollo to be informed. Q. Because you thought that Mr. Campollo, as Mr. Pinto's boss, could do something about it; isn't that true? A. It goes beyond that. At no time Mr. Campollo, as the boss of Mr. Pinto, was going to question Mr. Campollo whether Mr. Pinto is alwaysis</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>were being asked some questions in relation to a sugar business in Guatemala with the name of Concepción. Do you recall that? A. What would be the question? I'm sorry. Q. You were asked some questions about Mr. Foster about a sugar business in Guatemala called Concepción; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you mentioned that your family used to be</pre>

	311		313
10:16:09 1		10:18:53 1	MR. ORTA: Sorry. Justit's 10:15 now, so
2	the Concepción strike that.	2	he has a 12:30 flight. And so I just mentioned to ha
3	Let me start the question again.	3	yesterday that we would try to get him out of here, :
4	To your knowledge, does Mr. Campollo or	4	possible, by around 10:30 so he madeit's an
5	anyone in his family or did Mr. Campollo or anyone in	5	international flight, so that he made it to National
6	his family ever have any shareholder relationship or	6	in time. I'm just responding so that he knows where
7	economic interest in the Concepción sugar mill?	7	we are in terms of time.
8	A. Based on my knowledge, no, there was no	8	THE WITNESS: In connection with
9	relationship.	9	Mr. Campollo, I met him socially. I never had a
10	Q. I think this issue is set forth in your	10	business relationship, and I don't have it now. He'
10	Statement, but in relation to the meeting that you	10	a very dynamic businessperson. He's very respected
12	attended in December, 2004, that you were asked about,	12	Guatemala, and as a person, he never approached me f
13	or your review, your brief review, of the document	13	any sort of elicit proposal or indecent proposal.
14	that Mr. Pinto sent you, did you ever request payment	14	I do not have any business relationship wit
15	for either of those activities or receive payment for	15	him. I never had that sort of relationship with him
16	either of those activities?	16	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: To your knowledge, h
17	A. No, never. I did not receive, I did not	17	he had a political relationship with your father? W
18	request any payment. Professionally, I do not like to	18	he a political supporter so far as you know?
19	be exposed in areas that are beyond my control. I	19	THE WITNESS: He never had a political
20	never worked or have received a salary or an amount of	20	relationship with my father. My father, throughout
21	any sort from Mr. Campollo. So professionally	21	his public office, time in public office, never had
22	speaking, in my connections as a client, in the	22	any political relationship with Mr. Campollo. I thi
22		22	
	312		314
10:17:47 1	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type	10:20:30 1	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but
L0:17:47 1 2	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo.	10:20:30 1	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind
L0:17:47 1 2 3	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no	10:20:30 1 2 3	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship.
L0:17:47 1 2 3 4	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time.	10:20:30 1 2 3 4	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y
L0:17:47 1 2 3 4 5	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP.
10:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions.	10:20:30 1 2 3 4	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here,
10:17:47 1 2 3 4 5	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158,
10:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for
L0:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on fo UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them?
10:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records
L0:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late
10:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on fo UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records
L0:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very much.	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on fo UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late modified. That was the one used for the bidding
L0:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very much. I want to get a better understanding in	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late modified. That was the one used for the bidding process. And there were some meetings held by RDC a
10:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very much. I want to get a better understanding in general of your relationship with Mr. Campollo. Are	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late modified. That was the one used for the bidding process. And there were some meetings held by RDC a the ministry, and I never saw the final contract.
10:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very much. I want to get a better understanding in general of your relationship with Mr. Campollo. Are youdo you have a personal friendship with him? Have you had any professional relationships? How long have	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late modified. That was the one used for the bidding process. And there were some meetings held by RDC a the ministry, and I never saw the final contract. I never read it. I was never invited to participate i
L0:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very much. I want to get a better understanding in general of your relationship with Mr. Campollo. Are you-do you have a personal friendship with him? Have you had any professional relationships? How long have you known him? Give the Tribunal a sense just of your	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late modified. That was the one used for the bidding process. And there were some meetings held by RDC a the ministry, and I never saw the final contract. I never read it. I was never invited to participate is those meetings. My job concluded, unfortunately, wh
L0:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very much. I want to get a better understanding in general of your relationship with Mr. Campollo. Are you-do you have a personal friendship with him? Have you had any professional relationships? How long have you known him? Give the Tribunal a sense just of your overall relationship with Mr. Campollo.	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late modified. That was the one used for the bidding process. And there were some meetings held by RDC a the ministry, and I never saw the final contract. I never read it. I was never invited to participate i those meetings. My job concluded, unfortunately, wh RDC appeared in Guatemala to bid for the usufruct.
10:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very much. I want to get a better understanding in general of your relationship with Mr. Campollo. Are you-do you have a personal friendship with him? Have you had any professional relationships? How long have you known him? Give the Tribunal a sense just of your overall relationship with Mr. Campollo. THE WITNESS: With pleasure.	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late modified. That was the one used for the bidding process. And there were some meetings held by RDC a the ministry, and I never saw the final contract. I never read it. I was never invited to participate is those meetings. My job concluded, unfortunately, wh RDC appeared in Guatemala to bid for the usufruct. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: So you worked on the
L0:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very much. I want to get a better understanding in general of your relationship with Mr. Campollo. Are you-do you have a personal friendship with him? Have you had any professional relationships? How long have you known him? Give the Tribunal a sense just of your overall relationship with Mr. Campollo. THE WITNESS: With pleasure. Mr. Eizenstat, I don't know aboutI have to	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR BIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late modified. That was the one used for the bidding process. And there were some meetings held by RDC a the ministry, and I never saw the final contract. I never read it. I was never invited to participate i those meetings. My job concluded, unfortunately, wh RDC appeared in Guatemala to bid for the usufruct. ARBITRATOR BIZENSTAT: So you worked on the bidding documents, the RFP, not on any of the specific
10:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very much. I want to get a better understanding in general of your relationship with Mr. Campollo. Are you-do you have a personal friendship with him? Have you had any professional relationships? How long have you known him? Give the Tribunal a sense just of your overall relationship with Mr. Campollo. THE WITNESS: With pleasure. Mr. Eizenstat, I don't know aboutI have to leave to take a plane in a couple of hours, so I don't	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on for UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late modified. That was the one used for the bidding process. And there were some meetings held by RDC a the ministry, and I never saw the final contract. In never read it. I was never invited to participate if those meetings. My job concluded, unfortunately, wh RDC appeared in Guatemala to bid for the usufruct. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: So you worked on the bidding documents, the RFP, not on any of the specific deeds; is that correct?
10:17:47 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	312 client/attorney relationship, I did not have that type of relationship with Mr. Campollo. MR. ORTA: Thank you, Mr. Berger. I have no other questions at this time. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Orta. I think that Mr. Eizenstat has some questions. QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: First, thank you very much for appearing personally. I know that it may have been an inconvenience, and we appreciate it very much. I want to get a better understanding in general of your relationship with Mr. Campollo. Are you-do you have a personal friendship with him? Have you had any professional relationships? How long have you known him? Give the Tribunal a sense just of your overall relationship with Mr. Campollo. THE WITNESS: With pleasure. Mr. Eizenstat, I don't know aboutI have to	10:20:30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	314 that there is mutual respect as businesspersons, but personally, my father never had more than a kind relationship with himcordial relationship. ARBITRATOR BIZENSTAT: You mentioned that y were working on the bidding documents for UNDP. Because there are a lot of separate documents here, lot of separate contracts and deeds, 41, 143, 158, 402, 820 and so forth, which ones did you work on fo UNDP, and what was the nature of your work for them? THE WITNESS: I worked only on the records for the contract, and that was the one that was late modified. That was the one used for the bidding process. And there were some meetings held by RDC a the ministry, and I never saw the final contract. I never read it. I was never invited to participate i those meetings. My job concluded, unfortunately, wh RDC appeared in Guatemala to bid for the usufruct. ARBITRATOR BIZENSTAT: So you worked on the bidding documents, the RFP, not on any of the specific

		1	
	315		317
10:22:06 1	those documents. I heard about the contracts and the	10:25:10 1	information I had was not information that he may have
2	documentsin the documents, but I never read them,	2	had about the railway system.
3	and I never read what was finally agreed simply	3	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What knowledge would
4	because I got tomy job finished and I lost interest.	4	you have had of problems and from whom?
5	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Did you hear about any		THE WITNESS: Mr. Jorge Senn at a meeting
6	legal arguments about defects in any of the contracts?	6	told me that they were very sad, they thought that
7	Did that come to your attention?	7	they had no support, that they had been abandoned,
8	THE WITNESS: No, I never heard of any legal	8	that some of the commitments had not been fulfilled
9	defect. I heard of lesivo just through the	9	among the Parties, and that they believed in the
10	newspapers. And I heard from Jorge Senn some of their	10	railway system, that they wanted to move forward with
11	bad impression during 2000, 2003, during the	11	it. And I was very sympathetic to that, because I
12	administration of the previous President, where the	12	have always believed in the railway, and I always
13	relationship was not the best and they had some	13	thought that the opportunity in 1997 was a good one to
14	issues, but they were not even legal issues, rather	14	move forward with the project.
15	operational issues.	15	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: And Mr. Campollo would
16	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: I would like to have a	16	somehow have known of the conversation with Mr. Senn?
17	better an understanding about the December, 2004,	17	Is that the reason why you think you were invited?
18	Miami meeting. Who invited you to the meeting and	18	THE WITNESS: I don't remember telling him
19	why?	19	that I had a meeting. I don't even remember whether
20	A. I was invited by Mr. Campollo. He was going	20	the meeting with Mr. Senn was before or after going to
21	to be there. I had concluded a vacation with my	21	Miami, and I apologize, because it was a very casual
22	family, so I was going to be in Miami, so I had no	22	meeting, but it is not clear to me what happened
	316		318
10:23:40 1	issues in attending that meeting.	10:26:40 1	
2	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: But what do you	2	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What were your
3	believe was his reason for asking you to come? I	3	contributions at that meeting?
4	mean, there are a lot of others who might have come.	4	THE WITNESS: Basically, I went there to
5	What was his reason for calling you and asking you to	5	listen to what was said. I introduced myself. I
6	attend? And were you surprised that you were asked to	6	explained my role, and I thought that it was
7	come?	7	interesting for a businessperson who has a certain
8	THE WITNESS: I conclude that Mr. Campollo	8	position in Guatemala to meet with businesspersons
9	thought that I had some knowledge when referring to	9	from the U.S., and I thought that this energy could
10	the railway issue based on the other presentation, and	10	have been positive.
11	he thought it was pertinent to go to that meeting with	11	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Was one of the
12	him because it might have been a good thing for me to	12	subjects at the meeting the extension of the railway
13	participate.	13	line to the south and to the Ciudad del Sur area?
14	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What railway issues	14	THE WITNESS: If my memory serves me right, I
15	would he have possibly imagined you had expertise in	15	think that one of the topics was to discuss how sugar
16	with respect to this particular meeting and the	16	could reach the South Coast using the railway, that
10	subject of the meeting?	10	railway line or a different one, but it was how the
18	THE WITNESS: I made some comments to him	18	sugar was would reach the Southern Coast.
10	about Ferrovias and the knowledge I had about the	10	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: And inform us about
20	information I had in connection with the problems, and		the nature as you remember of that conversation. Who
20	also the displacements and the investments in Latrocia	20	was saying what about the extension of the railway?
21	(ph.), but these were personal opinions, and the	21	What position was being taken by Mr. Campollo and the
	(part) , suc energy were personal optimions, and ene		mas posicion and scring canon of mit, campoint and the

	319		321
10:28:03 1	others at the meeting?	10:31:07 1	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: When you say "we
2	THE WITNESS: Based on my vague recollection,	2	needed," who is "we" in this case?
3	we discussed issues at 60,000 feet. That is to say,	3	THE WITNESS: When did I say that exactly?
4	there was no information. It was very cordial and it	4	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You just said in an
5	was just an approach to see how we can think about the	5	answer to my question that "we" felt we needed
6	issue, but we did not discuss any issue in particular	6	something that was not fly by night and that would be
7	or in depth.	7	capable of going in. I'm just asking who the "we" is
8	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Were you aware that	8	in this case.
9	Mr. Pinto was a long-time employee of Mr. Campollo?	9	THE WITNESS: Mr. Guillermo Garcia and the
10	THE WITNESS: Based on the information I	10	consulting company and the other people that supported
11	have, Mr. Pinto worked with Mr. Campollo in different	11	us during this process of preparing the bidding
12	businesses, but I did not know that he worked with	12	conditions back in '97.
13	him. I did not know that he trusted him. And the	13	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: This is many years
14	issues that I discussed with Mr. Campollo, Mr. Pinto	14	later. So, I mean, the bid is done. Are you still
15	was never an agent. I am very aware of the managers	15	referring back to the same company that prepared the
16	in the sugar mills and Mr. Pinto was not an	16	bid years earlier when you say "we"?
17	outstanding figure in those transactions or	17	THE WITNESS: That is correct. I'm talking
18	businesses.	18	about the effort, a one-year-long effort. We were
19	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: On Exhibit C-41, the	19	analyzing the best scheme, Guillermo Garcia and the
20	e-mail that was referred to, which you said you	20	other people that were with us. We firmly believed
21	glanced at, do you have any ideathis is the	21	that the guarantee would be a railway operator. That
22	Desarrollos G proposal. Do you have any idea why you	22	is why I said "we."
1.0.00.00.1	320	10 00 00 1	
10:29:38 1	would have been copied on that proposal?	10:32:28 1	5
2	THE WITNESS: As Mr. Pinto was constantly	2	you got the e-mail did you have of this Desarrollos G
3	talking to me over the phone and having some questions	3	
4			proposal? Did this come as a complete surprise when
	for me, maybe he sent me a copy for me to glance at it	4	you were copied on it?
5	and make any comments I may want to make.	4 5	you were copied on it? THE WITNESS: More than surprised.
5	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions	4 5 6	you were copied on it? THE WITNESS: More than surprised. Wellmore than surprised, excuse me. More than
567	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number	4 5 6 7	you were copied on it? THE WITNESS: More than surprised. Wellmore than surprised, excuse me. More than surprised. Well, basically, he sent me an e-mail and,
5 6 7 8	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What	4 5 6 7 8	you were copied on it? THE WITNESS: More than surprised. Wellmore than surprised, excuse me. More than surprised. Well, basically, he sent me an e-mail and, you know, I answered his phone calls when he had a
9	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic?	4 5 7 8 9	you were copied on it? THE WITNESS: More than surprised. Wellmore than surprised, excuse me. More than surprised. Well, basically, he sent me an e-mail and, you know, I answered his phone calls when he had a question. I thought it was something incidental and
9 10	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in	4 5 7 8 9 10	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made.	4 5 7 8 9 10	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11 12	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the technical group, that is, is that we needed an	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11 12 13	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the technical group, that is, is that we needed an operator who was not a fly-by-night person and for the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the technical group, that is, is that we needed an	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the technical group, that is, is that we needed an operator who was not a fly-by-night person and for the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the technical group, that is, is that we needed an operator who was not a fly-by-night person and for the person to come in and fix it, and then sell it for a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the technical group, that is, is that we needed an operator who was not a fly-by-night person and for the person to come in and fix it, and then sell it for a lot of money. So we needed a company that had railway	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the technical group, that is, is that we needed an operator who was not a fly-by-night person and for the person to come in and fix it, and then sell it for a lot of money. So we needed a company that had railway experience, and that is the company that would exploit	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you were copied on it? THE WITNESS: More than surprised. Wellmore than surprised, excuse me. More than surprised. Well, basically, he sent me an e-mail and, you know, I answered his phone calls when he had a question. I thought it was something incidental and somewhat infantile. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: With respect to the April 5, 2005, meeting where you mentioned that you were concerned about the nature of Mr. Pinto's statements and that you then called Mr. Campollo. Why you would have called Mr. Campollo? He was not present at the meeting. What was the reason for calling Mr. Campollo? THE WITNESS: I heard that my name was being
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the technical group, that is, is that we needed an operator who was not a fly-by-night person and for the person to come in and fix it, and then sell it for a lot of money. So we needed a company that had railway experience, and that is the company that would exploit the concession, the railway concession. The spirit of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the technical group, that is, is that we needed an operator who was not a fly-by-night person and for the person to come in and fix it, and then sell it for a lot of money. So we needed a company that had railway experience, and that is the company that would exploit the concession was for the railway to be activated to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and make any comments I may want to make. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What kind of questions did he have for you? You said he asked you a number of questions and asked for your comments. What questions and on what topic? THE WITNESS: I told him about what I did in connection with the issues that were going on with the concession and the advances that the concession made. And one of the arguments that we defended, the technical group, that is, is that we needed an operator who was not a fly-by-night person and for the person to come in and fix it, and then sell it for a lot of money. So we needed a company that had railway experience, and that is the company that would exploit the concession, the railway concession. The spirit of the concession was for the railway to be activated to have people and experience and expertise to move the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>you were copied on it?</pre>

		1	
	323		325
10:34:06 1	he has the authority or whether he had made these	10:36:59 1	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: And somehow RDC was
2	kinds of accusations, if that was really the case,	2	alleging that you were going to intervene with the
3	right.	3	concession? Is that what Mr. Fuxet was trying to tel
4	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: What was	4	you?
5	Mr. Campollo's response to your questions?	5	THE WITNESS: No. That when Mr. Juan Berger
6	THE WITNESS: Mr. Campollo was stupefied. He	6	as a person, who independently had given some
7	was more surprised than I was when hearing these	7	thoughts, that unfortunately, because of the fact that
8	eventswhen hearing about these events. And then he	8	I was the son of the President, perhaps one could
9	took action and he said, Well, to protect your good	9	believe that he had some way of having an incidence or
10	name, I'm going to make sure that they know that I'm	10	his father, but this is just conjecturethis was just
11	not directly involved in this.	11	conjecture, purely.
12	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: I'm not quite clear on	12	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: And you felt obviously
13	why your good name was involved. I understand the	13	that that was incorrect, that you wouldn't have such a
14	threats that were made, but they were madewere they	14	role to play?
15	made about you or were they made about Ferrovias? I'm	15	THE WITNESS: Basically, and I'm very proud
16	not sure why you felt your name was being somehow	16	to say, I am 41 years old, and I have never threatened
17	indicted. Maybe you can clarify that for us.	17	anyone. I had never been involved in, you know, the
18	THE WITNESS: Of course.	18	public sector trying to cause direct or indirect
19	As it is stated in the Statements and as it	19	damage to anyone. So I wasI felt alluded to
20	is stated by the people from RDC, one can lead to	20	personally when this transpired.
21	believe that when Esteban Berger in good faith helped	21	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Okay, and then the
22	Mr. Pinto, Mr. Pinto has access to Mr. Berger to take	22	last question, and I will let you get your plane. The
	324		326
10:35:31 1	out and put in concessions, and this is absurd. That	10:38:33 1	allusion to you was made, as you understood it from
2	is why my name ismy name appears in this process.	2	Mr. Fuxet, by whom?
3	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: I'm sorry. Did	3	THE WITNESS: Mr. Fuxet was not present at
4	someone at the meeting make that accusation?	4	that meeting. And he washe didn'the was quite
5	THE WITNESS: From what I understand on the	5	tight lipped. It was almost like a gossip. He said,
6	basis of the accusation of that meetingwell, I	6	"Look, I heard that this happened, that these threats
7	wasn't there. I wasn't present there. I cannot make	7	were being made." And that out of Mr. Fuxet, I got
8	sure that that happened, but out of the information	8	that on the basis of what he heard. And because I
9	that Mr. Fuxet gave me, he was a friend. He said,	9	trust him, it is that I felt that I had been alluded
10	"Look, I heard that a comment was made about your	10	to.
11	person, about you, in such and such terms."	11	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: And he didn't tell you
12	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: And what did Mr. Fuxet	12	from whom he might have heard this, or did he?
13	saytell you that they were saying about you that got	13	THE WITNESS: He did not tell me, correct.
14	you concerned?	14	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.
15	THE WITNESS: That indeed, comments had been	15	I hope you'll have a good flight.
16	made in that meeting. He was not present at the	16	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Orta, do you have any
17	meeting, but that the concession was going to be taken		questions?
18	away from them via a threat, and the RDC people	18	MR. ORTA: I do not.
10	adduced, from what I understand, is that since I had	10	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Foster, do you have any
20	supported Mr. Pinto when clarifying some doubts for	20	MR. FOSTER: I have one question.
20	Mr. Pinto, et cetera, that that was going to happen	20	BY MR. FOSTER:
21	via Mr. Berger.	21	Q. Secretary Eizenstat referred you to Exhibit
22		22	2. Secretary machine referred for to mainfill

		327		329
10:39:54	1	C-41, the e-mail concerning Desarrollos G and that you	11:04:09 1	I solemnly swear upon my honor and conscience
	2	were copied on. In addition to yourself, that e-mail	2	that I will tell the truth, the whole truth and
	3	shows that a gentleman by the name of Juan Buitron was	3	nothing but the truth.
	4	copied. You know that Mr. Buitron is Mr. Campollo's	4	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you very much.
	5	personal lawyer, don't you?	5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	6	A. The person copied here is the son of	6	BY MR. STERN:
	7	Mr. Buitron. Juan Buitron is not a lawyer. He's not	7	Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco.
	8	an attorney at law. His father, José, is a lawyer,	8	Do you have in front of you a copy of the
	9	and is the adviser of Mr. Campollo. But Juan Buitron	9	statement you have submitted in this arbitration dated
	10	was copied here.	10	March 10, 2011?
	11	MR. FOSTER: Thank you.	11	A. Yes, I do.
	12	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Berger, thank you very	12	Q. Do you ratify that statement and affirm its
	13	much for being here with us and for cooperating with	13	truthfulness before the Tribunal?
	14	the Tribunal, and I hope that you make your flight.	14	A. Yes, of course I ratify it.
	15	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. With all	15	Q. Mr. Franco, since 2007, have you been the
	16	due respect, thank you, Mr. Foster, thank you to all	16	lead lawyer representing Ferrovías Guatemala in the
	17	the Parties, and I always try to provide support and	17	Lesividad Litigation before the Contencioso
	18	clarify as much as we can. We wish to do that in	18	Administrativo Court?
	19	Guatemala. I'm sorry I have to rush out, but this is	19	A. Yes, that's correct.
	20	the only way in which I was able to come here and meet	20	Q. Do you hold any teaching positions?
	21	this commitment. We are at the disposal of the	21	A. Yes, that is correct. I am a university
	22	Tribunal to provide our support in anything that we	22	Professor, principal Professor at a university in
		328	11 05 10 1	330
10:41:33		may.	11:05:18 1	Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I
10:41:33	2	may. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you very much. You	2	Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for
10:41:33	2 3	may. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you very much. You may step down.	2 3	Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2,
10:41:33	2	may. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you very much. You may step down. (Witness steps down.)	2 3 4	Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of
10:41:33	2 3	may. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you very much. You may step down. (Witness steps down.) PRESIDENT RIGO: We now have the 20-minute	2 3	Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala
10:41:33	2 3	<pre>may. PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you very much. You may step down. (Witness steps down.) PRESIDENT RIGO: We now have the 20-minute break per the Procedural Order 11, and then we will</pre>	2 3 4	Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General
10:41:33	2 3	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure.
10:41:33	2 3	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.)
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN:
10:41:33	2 3 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala?</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala? A. Yes, of course. The Administrative Law</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala? A. Yes, of course. The Administrative Law classes and specifically the points as developed in</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law, and General and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala? A. Yes, of course. The Administrative Law classes and specifically the points as developed in the law, rather than on the course on administrative</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala? A. Yes, of course. The Administrative Law classes and specifically the points as developed in the law, rather than on the course on administrative procedure.</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala? A. Yes, of course. The Administrative Law classes and specifically the points as developed in the law, rather than on the course on administrative procedure. Q. Okay. Let me switch topics quickly.</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala? A. Yes, of course. The Administrative Law classes and specifically the points as developed in the law, rather than on the course on administrative procedure. Q. Okay. Let me switch topics quickly. Mr. Franco, when did the State of Guatemala</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala? A. Yes, of course. The Administrative Law classes and specifically the points as developed in the law, rather than on the course on administrative procedure. Q. Okay. Let me switch topics quickly.</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala? A. Yes, of course. The Administrative Law classes and specifically the points as developed in the law, rather than on the course on administrative procedure. Q. Okay. Let me switch topics quickly. Mr. Franco, when did the State of Guatemala</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala? A. Yes, of course. The Administrative Law classes and specifically the points as developed in the law, rather than on the course on administrative procedure. Q. Okay. Let me switch topics quickly. Mr. Franco, when did the State of Guatemala commence the Contencioso Administrativo action to</pre>
10:41:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>may.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Guatemala City, the Universidad Mariano Galvez. I have been and I am at this time a full professor for the courses in Administrative Law 1 and 2, Administrative Procedural Law, Constitutional Law of Guatemala, Constitutional Procedural Law, of Guatemala and Civil and Commercial Procedural Law, and General Theory of Procedure. (Technical difficulties.) BY MR. STERN: Q. Mr. Franco, do any of the courses that you just mentioned that you teach, do they involve teaching the law procedure of lesividad in Guatemala? A. Yes, of course. The Administrative Law classes and specifically the points as developed in the law, rather than on the course on administrative procedure. Q. Okay. Let me switch topics quickly. Mr. Franco, when did the State of Guatemala commence the Contencioso Administrativo action to confirm the Declaration of the Lesividad against</pre>

11:07.15 1 state through the Office of the Ntorney General on 2 the 24th of Reventer 2005. 11:07.45 1 current Ontencioso Administrativo scation that's 2 peaking in Gustemal? 3 0. And where was Percevias first served with the 4 complaint in that action? 0. Now as totified and the 1 current Ontencioso Administrativo current Contencioso Administrativo 2 peaking in Gustemal? 5 0. The Aution of the first resolution in 5 the content of the complaint was toffied and the vas 1 motion close Administrativo Current Tendered its judgment 1 contenciese Administrativo Curr. 0. Now, as of today, more than fire years 1 the consection of the still, we still do not have a 1 since the complaint was filled and in which you are the 1 current Contenciese Administrativo Curr. 10 0. Now, as of today, more than fire years 1 connected in 1 Autor and the consecting 1 connections Administrativo Curr. 0. Now, as of today, more than fire years 1 source consecting and in which you are the 1 supress were that you made in that Constitutional 1 filling, what you were seeking? 10 0. Now, as of tobase notions, these form 1 motices, cause any cause delays of any significance 2 in the proceedings? 11:10:0 1 Persident of the Segublic did not hare sufficient 2 and resolution stat it clearly so 2 resolution is a unitateral delacation of will by 3 resolution is a unitateral delacation of wi		221		222
2 the 24th of Bovenher 2005. 3 0. Mod when was Ferrorias first served with the content of the complaint in that attor? 4 0. Mod when was Ferrorias first served with the content of the complaint is that attor? 5 A. The notification of the first resolution in the content of the complaint was motified about six rows on the service was given of it in May 2007. 7 noths after it was filled. The notice was given of it in May 2007. 9 0. Mod, as of tody, nore than five years since the complaint was filled, we still do not have a since the complaint was filled, we still do not have a since the complaint was filled, we still do not have a since the complaint was filled, we still do not have a since the complaint was filled, we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled, we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the complaint was filled we still do not have a since the sponder. 1 0. Mod widd any of these notices, these form, the seconter ent filling. 1 0. Mod widd any of these notices, these form, the sponder anot have sufficient the sprowe serve to sease the spow	11 00 10 1	331	11 00 40 1	333
3 0. And when was Perrovise first served with the complaint in that action? 3 A. Yes, a Constitutional motion. 4 Complaint in that action? 5 A. The woil interious and interval of the complaint was notified about six nonthe after it was filed. The notice was given of it 5 A. That was in September of 2007. 5 B. Way 2007. 6 Was that a Constitutional action that you filed in relation to the Contencions Administrative on the leavindad Action was commenced. has the contencions Administrative Court. 6 Was that a Constitutional action? 10 Totat was index of the action? 10 11 12 11 11 11 12 11 12 12 12 12 13 A. No. To date, after more than five years in the contencines Administrative Ocut. 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 12 12 13 12 13 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12		-		
4 complaint in that action? 5 A. The notification of the first resolution in 6 the content of the complaint was relief adout six 7 0. Now, so i toky, more than fire years 8 0. Now, so i toky, more than fire years 9 0. Now, so i toky, more than fire years 10 the case? 11 0. To date, after more than fire years 14 in the case? 15 judgment from the contencions othnimistrative Court 16 0. Bow may notices has ferroriss file in the 17 A. No. To date, after more than five years 15 judgment from the contencinos othnimistrative Court 16 0. Bow may notices has ferroriss file in the 17 A. Ministrative Court proceedings since its 18 comencement in 2005? 19 0. Roud did any of these motices, these form 11:08:47 1 11:08:47 1 11:08:47 1 11:08:47 1 11:08:47 1 11:08:47 1 11:08:47 1 11:08:47 1 12:08	-			1 5
5 A. The notification of the first resolution in 5 A. That was in September of 2007. 6 West that a Constitutional action that you 6 West that a Constitutional action that you 7 Initial in relation to the Contencions Administrativo Contrendered his highers 6 West that a Constitutional action the the you are the 9 0. Now, as of today, more than five years 6 Did it relate to that action? 10 not back, after more than five years 1 1 11 and the proceedings since its 1 2 12 and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 1 1 11:08:47 1 No, none of the did. They were proceeding? 1 11:08:47 1 No, none of the did. They were proceeding? 1 11:08:47 1 No, none of the did. They were proceeding? 1 11:08:47 1 No, none of the did. They were proceeding? 1 11:08:47 1 No, none of the did. They were proceeding? 1 11:08:47 1 No, none of the did. They were proceeding? 1 11:08:47 1 No, none of the did. They were proceeding? 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td>3</td><td></td></t<>		-	3	
 6 the content of the complaint was notified about six nonths after it was filed. The notice was given of it in May 2007. 9 O. Now, as of today, more than five years since the Lesividal Action was commenced, has the Contencison Administrativo Court rendered its judgment in the case? 10 The case? 11 A. Yoe, To date, after more than five years is since the complaint was filed, we still do not have a is judgment from the Contencison Administrativo Court. 12 Desired at the contencison Administrativo Court. 13 A. No. To date, after more than five years is since the complaint was filed, we still do not have a is judgment from the Contencison Administrativo is duffication to administrative administrative is duffication to administrative administrative is duffication to administrative is duffication to administrative administrative is duffication to administrative	4	-	4	~
7 months after it was filed. The notice was given of it 7 filed in relation to the Contencioso Administrativo 9 0 Now, as of today, nore than five years since 3 action that is pending and in which you are the 9 0 Now, as of today, nore than five years since 3 action that is pending and in which you are the 10 Detection of Administrativo Court rendered its judgment 1 A. Fes, that's right, against the order of 11 astine the complaint was filed, we still do not have a 1 A. Fes, that's right, was given of it 12 0. Could oug just explain briefly to us what the 1 13 A. Boo, to date, after more than five years 10 0. Could oug just explain briefly to us what the 14 arguments were that you made in that Constitutional 15 filing, what you were seeking? 15 A. Four challenges have been presented. 10 Order Guatemalan legislation, all acts by 11 administrative court proceedings ince its 11 10 opplication of the way sufficient 21 nthics case, what we have put forth and what 11 10 opplications that it clearly so 11:00:47 1 A. No, none of them did. They year some of the ga	5		5	-
8 in May 2007. 8 action that is pending and in which you are the 9 0. Now, as of today, more than five years since 10 Did it relate to that action? 10 Did it relate to that action? 11 Now. To date, after more than five years 11 11 Now. To date, after more than five years 10 Did it relate to that action? 12 in the case? 13 O. Could you jut capulab hriefly to us what the 13 Now. To date, after more than five years 11 11 Now may motions has Perrorias filed in the 14 Argenmetswere in 2006? 0. Could you jut capulab hriefly to us what the 14 Argumets were accissed 0. Could you jut capulab hriefly to us what the 15 Judgment from the Contencios Administrative Court. 16 17 Mide Guatenala's constitutional 15 New may motions has Perrorias filed in the 18 11 17 Inder Guatenala's could be hased on full 16 Prescident of the Beynblic did not have sufficient 2 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	6	-	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9 Q. Now, as of today, more than five years since 9 lawyer? 10 the Lesividad Action was commenced, has the 10 Did it relate to that action? 11 the case? Did it relate to that action? 12 in the case? O. Could you just explain briefly to us what the 13 A. No. To date, after more than five years 10 O. Could you just explain briefly to us what the 15 judgment from the contencions Athinistrative Ourt. 0. Could you were seeking? 0. Could you were seeking? 16 A. Four challenges have been presented. 0. And did any of these motions, those four 11 14 11.08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were proceeding? 11:11:30 1 11:11:30 1 11.08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were proceeding? 11:11:30 1 11:11:30 1 11.08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were proceeding? 11:11:30 1 11:11:30 1 11.08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were proceeding? 11:11:30 1 11:11:30 1 11.08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were proceeding? 11:11:30 1 11:11:30 1 11:11:30	7	months after it was filed. The notice was given of it	7	filed in relation to the Contencioso Administrativo
10 the Lesividad Action was commenced, has the 10 Did it relate to that action? 11 Contencisos Administrativo Court rendered its judgment A. Yes, that's right, against the order of 12 in the case? 1 A. Yes, that's right, against the order of 13 A. No. To date, after more than five years 0. Could you just explain hriefly to us what the 14 since the complaint was filed, we still do not have a 0. To date, after more than five years 15 judgment from the Contencisos Administrativo Court. 0. To date, after more than five years 15 judgment from the Contencisos Administrativo Court. 0. To date, after more than five years 16 O. Sow many motions has Perrorias filed in the 17 A. Mainistrative Court proceedings since its 1 18 commencent in 2006? A. Yes, that's right, against the order of 19 A. For, that you, Mr. Franco. Tou can now answer 1 21 notions, cause any cause delays of any significance 1 21 not hank you, Wr. Franco. You can now answer 1 311:08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were proceesed 1 312 N. Sork itha you, Wr. Franco. You can now answer 1 </td <td>8</td> <td>in May 2007.</td> <td>8</td> <td>action that is pending and in which you are the</td>	8	in May 2007.	8	action that is pending and in which you are the
11 Contencioso Administrativo Court rendered its judgment 12 in the case? 13 A. No. To date, after more than five years 14 since the complaint was filed, we still do not have a 15 judgment from the Contencioso Administrativo Court. 16 Q. Ew many motions has Perrorias filed in the 17 Mahnistrative Court proceedings since its 18 commenement in 2006? 19 A. Four challenges have been presented. 20 Q. And did any of those motions, those four 21 in the case? 21 net oncedings? 21 and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 21 That you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer 32 guestions? 33 A. Good morning, Mr. Franco. You can now answer 34 MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman. 32 Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How amplearance 34 Matare these? First, what the law 35 morning to you all. 36 Mr. Orta, go ahead. 37 A. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today? 38 A. Good morning, Mr. Sternyou'we been <	9	Q. Now, as of today, more than five years since	9	lawyer?
12 in the case? 12 lesividad issued by the President of the Republic. 13 A. No. To date, after more than five years 0. Could you just explain briefly to us what the 14 since the complaint was filed, we still do not have a 13 0. Could you just explain briefly to us what the 15 judgment from the Contencisoo Administrativo Court. 14 arguments were that you ware seeking? 16 0. How many motions has Perrovias filed in the 17 Ministrative Court proceedings since its 18 commencement in 206? N. Not, onle of them did. They were processed 17 Under Guatemalan legislation, all acts by 20 A. Noo, none of them did. They were processed 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 21 A. Noo, none of them did. They were processed 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 2 and resolutions? 332 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 310 0. Thank you, Kr. Franco. You can now answer 5 gestions? 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic haded 311 Mr. Otta, go ahead. 11 11 11 12 establi	10	the Lesividad Action was commenced, has the	10	Did it relate to that action?
13 A. No. To date, after more than five years 14 since the complaint was filed, we still do not have a 15 judgment from the Contencioso Administrative Court. 16 O. Row many notions has Perrovias filed in the 17 Administrative Court proceedings since its 18 commencement in 2006? 19 A. For challenges have been presented. 20 Q. And did any of those motions, those four 21 in the proceedings? 21 net of them did. They were processed 2 and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 3 procedure. 31 Q. Could you just explain briefly to us what the 324 II:11:30 11:08:47 A. Ko, none of them did. They were processed 2 11:11:30 324 II:11:30 325 Tresolet of the Republic did not have sufficient 326 Nr. Orta, go ahead. 9	11	Contencioso Administrativo Court rendered its judgment	11	A. Yes, that's right, against the order of
14 since the complaint was filed, we still do not have a 14 arguments were that you made in that Constitutional 15 judgment from the Contencieso Administrative Court. 16 arguments were that you made in that Constitutional 16 0. How many notions has Perrovias filed in the 14 arguments were that you made in that Constitutional 17 A. Mo many notions has Perrovias filed in the 14 arguments were that you made in that Constitutional 18 commencement in 2006? A. Modi any of those motions, those four 16 A. Yee, of course. 21 and id any of those motions, those four 11 19 compliance with the law, the Constitutional detay 22 in the proceedings? 121 at issue in that Constitutional estatce. 2312 11:08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were processed 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 3 and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 324 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 3 procedure. 332 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 4 MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chainnan. 16 16 <t< td=""><td>12</td><td>in the case?</td><td>12</td><td>lesividad issued by the President of the Republic.</td></t<>	12	in the case?	12	lesividad issued by the President of the Republic.
14 since the complaint was filed, we still do not have a 14 arguments were that you made in that Constitutional 15 judgment from the Contencioso Administrative Court. 16 A. Yee, of course. 16 0. How many notions has Perrovias filed in the 17 A. No, none continger escences 18 19 A. Four challengee have been presented. 19 administrative organize should be based on full 20 D. And did any of those motions, those four 11 19 administrative organize should be based on full 21 moter outstrow eace delays of any significance 20 11 14 arguments were that you made in that Constitutional the 21 and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 332 334 310:08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were processed 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 311:08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were processed 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 311:08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were processed 14 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 311:08:47 A. Not, nore or, a genery secosesetions 14 14 <td>13</td> <td>A. No. To date, after more than five years</td> <td>13</td> <td>Q. Could you just explain briefly to us what the</td>	13	A. No. To date, after more than five years	13	Q. Could you just explain briefly to us what the
15 judgment from the Contencioso Administrativo Court. 16 0. How many motions has Perrovias filed in the 16 0. How many motions has Perrovias filed in the 17 Administrative Court proceedings since its 18 commencement in 2069? 16 17 19 A. Four challenges have been presented. 10 0. And did any of those motions, those four 21 motions, cause any cause delays of any significance 11 11 11 21 n. Noo, none of them did. They were processed 11 11 11 3 and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 11 11 11 11 3 procedure. 0. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer 11	14	-	14	
16 0. How many motions has Perrovias filed in the 17 Administrative Court proceedings since its 18 commencement in 2006? 16 A. Yes, of course. 19 A. Four challenges have been presented. 20 0. And dia wo of those motions, those four 21 motions, cause any cause delays of any significance 22 in the proceedings? 17 Under Guatemala's coust in the 22 and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 3 procedure. 332 334 11:08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were processed and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 3 procedure. 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 2 authority to have declared lesividad for various 3 reasons. 4 0. Thak you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer 3 questions? 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 2 authority to have declared lesividad for various 3 reasons. 9 MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman. 9 MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman. 10 10 What's the difference? Well, an act or a 11 11 BY MR. ORTA: 12 0. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you toda? 13 10 CROS-LAUINNTION 14 10 15 0. Just setting the context of your appearance 15 11 12 11 12 11 16 14 wich emanate from the executive exclusively. It 15 year 2007; is that correct. 20 11 11 12 12 <td>15</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>5</td>	15	-		5
17 Administrative Court proceedings since its 17 Under Guatemalan legislation, all acts by 18 commencement in 2006? administrative agencies should be based on full 19 A. Four challenges have been presented. 0 Q. And did any of those motions, those four 20 Q. And did any of those motions, those four 10 compliance with the law, the Constitution and the 21 with the proceedings? 11:11:30 1 11:11:30 1 21 No, none of them did. They were processed and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 2 authority to have declared lesividad for various 3 procedure. 4 What are these? First, what the law 5 4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer 4 What are these? First, what the law 5 guestions? 4 What are these? First, what the law 6 PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask 5 establishes is that the President can declare the 9 MR. ORTA: 10 CROS-EXMINATION 11 8 says acts and resolutions. At no times of the 10 Q. Good morning, Fine. Thank you. Good 11 11 12 organ or an			-	
18 commencement in 2006? 19 A. Four challenges have been presented. 20 Q. And did any of those motions, those four 21 motions, cause any cause delays of any significance 22 in the proceedings? 312 314 21:08:47 A. No, none of them did. They were processed and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 312 312 and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 3 procedure. 4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Pranco. You can now answer 5 questions? 7 uestors? 8 Mr. ORTA: 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. ORTA: 12 Q. Good morning, Fine. Thank you. Good 13 A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good 14 D. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good 15 Q. Just setting the context of your appearance 16 here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response 17 bear, that correct? 18 acts mich emaate from the executive exclusively. It 19 year 2007; is that correct?		•		
19 A. Four challenges have been presented. 19 compliance with the law, the Constitution and the statutes. 20 Q. And did any of those motions, those four motions, cause any cause delays of any significance in the proceedings? 10 312 11:08:47 1 A. No, none of them did. They were processed and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the procedure. 332 4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer s questions? 334 5 Questions? 11:11:30 1 8 Mr. Otta, go ahead. 32 334 9 MR. ORTA: 11 BY MR. ORTA: 32 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 0. Good morning, Nr. Franco. How are you today? 334 11 BY MR. ORTA: 12 12 12 11:11:30 11 BY MR. ORTA: 13 agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two parties. 15 Q. Just setting the context of your appearance her today, you, J think as you mentioned in response in acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It year 2007; is that correct? 33 10 A. Yes, that is correct. 21 Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovias 13				
20 0. And did any of those motions, those four 21 motions, cause any cause delays of any significance 22 in the proceedings? 312 322 321 323 322 334 323 11:08:47 324 11:11:30 325 334 326 and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 32 procedure. 4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer 5 questions? 6 PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask 7 questions? 8 Mr. Orta, go ahead. 9 MR. ORTA: 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. ORTA: 12 Q. Good morning. Mr. Franco. How are you today? 13 A. cood morning. Mr. Franco. How are you today? 14 BY MR. ORTA: 12 Q. Good morning. Mr. Franco. How are you today? 13 A. cood morning. Mr. Franco. How are you today? 14 BY MR. ORTA: 12 Q. Good morning. Mr. Franco. How are you today? 13 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>5</td>			-	5
21 motions, cause any cause delays of any significance in the proceedings? 21 In this case, what we have put forth and what 22 21 in the proceedings? 332 312 334 313 334 314 334 315 334 316 334 317 A. No, none of them did. They were processed and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the procedure. 312 4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer questions? 4 5 questions? 4 6 PRESIDEWT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask questions? 4 9 MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman. 5 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. ORTA: 12 Q. Good morning. Mr. Franco. How are you today? 13 A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good 14 motring to you all. 15 Q. Just setting the context of your appearance here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response 16 here today, you, J think as you mentioned in response 17 to some of the questions from Mr. Stern-you're been 18 acts which emante from the executive exclusively. It cannot declare lesivo				-
22 in the proceedings? 22 is at issue in that Constitutional action is that the 332 334 11:08:47 1 A. No, none of them did. They were processed and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the procedure. 332 4 Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer guestions from Guatemala's counsel. 11:11:30 1 President of the Republic did not have sufficient 2 6 PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask questions? 4 What are these? First, what the law 5 establishes is that the President can declare the 6 7 questions? 8 Mr. Orta, go ahead. 9 MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman. 9 What's the difference? Well, an act or a 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 What's the difference? Well, an act or a 11 12 Q. Good morning. Mr. Franco. How are you today? 10 What's the difference? Well, an act or a 11 13 A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good 13 agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two 14 year 2007, is that correct? 2 Just setting the context of your appearance 16 16 here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response 17 cosome of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
33233411:08:471A. No, none of them did. They were processed and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the 3 procedure.11:11:301President of the Republic did not have sufficient a uthority to have declared lesividad for various 3 reasons.4Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer questions from Guatemala's counsel.111:11:3016PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask questions?4What are these? First, what the law establishes is that the President can declare the lesividad of acts and resolutions that it clearly so establishes; if you carefully read the article, it says acts and resolutions. At no times does it mention "contracts"?10CROSS-EXAMINATION II10What's the difference? Well, an act or a resolution is a unilateral declaration of will by an organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two parties. That was one of the points.11BY MR. ORTA:10What's the difference? Well, an act or a resolution is a unilateral declaration of will by an organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two parties. That was one of the points.15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance 16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed 1718acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the 192Another of the Republic, based on judgments handed 1719year 2007; is that correct?2N the coarter ferrow and the anate from 2020A. Yes, that is correct.2In this case, FEGUA is an auto				-
11:08:471A. No, none of them did. They were processed and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the procedure.11:11:301President of the Republic did not have sufficient authority to have declared lesividad for various reasons.4Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer guestions from Guatemala's counsel.11:11:301President of the Republic did not have sufficient authority to have declared lesividad for various reasons.4Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer guestions?What are these? First, what the law establishes is that the President can declare the lesividad of acts and resolutions that it clearly so restablishes; if you carefully read the article, it says acts and resolutions. At no times does it mention "contracts"?10CROSS-EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATIONWhat's the difference? Well, an act or a resolution is a unilateral declaration of will by an organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two parties. That was one of the points.13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good morning to you all.10President of the Republic, based on judgments handed to some of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the year 2007; is that correct? Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías11:11:30120A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity	22	in the proceedings:	44	Is at issue in that constitutional action is that the
11:08:471A. No, none of them did. They were processed and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the procedure.11:11:301President of the Republic did not have sufficient authority to have declared lesividad for various reasons.4Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer guestions from Guatemala's counsel.11:11:301President of the Republic did not have sufficient authority to have declared lesividad for various reasons.4Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer guestions?What are these? First, what the law establishes is that the President can declare the lesividad of acts and resolutions that it clearly so restablishes; if you carefully read the article, it says acts and resolutions. At no times does it mention "contracts"?10CROSS-EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATIONWhat's the difference? Well, an act or a resolution is a unilateral declaration of will by an organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two parties. That was one of the points.13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good morning to you all.10President of the Republic, based on judgments handed to some of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the year 2007; is that correct? Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías11:11:30120A. Yes, that is correct. Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity				
2and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the procedure.2authority to have declared lesividad for various3procedure.3reasons.4Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer questions from Guatemala's counsel.4What are these? First, what the law establishes is that the President can declare the elesividad of acts and resolutions that it clearly so 76PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask questions?6Is it Mr. Orta, go ahead.79MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman. 0869MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman.9mention "contracts"?10CROSS-EXAMINATION 010What's the difference? Well, an act or a 1111BY MR. ORTA:10What's the difference? Well, an act or a 1212Q. Good morning. Mr. Franco. How are you today? 1310What's the difference? Well, an act or a 1213A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good 1413agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two 1415Q. Just setting the context of your appearance 16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response 171516here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response 1716President of the Republic, based on judgments handed 1716year 2007; is that correct? 20A. Yes, that is correct. 2121In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity		332		334
3procedure.3reasons.4Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer3reasons.5questions from Guatemala's counsel.6PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask56PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask7establishes is that the President can declare the7questions?6lesividad of acts and resolutions that it clearly so7questions?7establishes; if you carefully read the article, it8Mr. Orta, go ahead.9mR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman.910CROSS-EXAMINATION10What's the difference? Well, an act or a11BY MR. ORTA:CROSS-EXAMINATION10What's the difference? Well, an act or a12Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?10What's the difference? Well, an act or a13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good11agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two14morning to you all.12Organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response17down by the Court, has the power to declare lesivos18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acts which enanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity20A. Yes, that is correct.21In this case, FEGUA is an auto	11:08:47 1	A. No, none of them did. They were processed	11:11:30 1	President of the Republic did not have sufficient
4Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer4What are these? First, what the law5questions from Guatemala's counsel.5establishes is that the President can declare the6PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask5establishes is that the President can declare the7questions?7establishes; if you carefully read the article, it8Mr. Orta, go ahead.8says acts and resolutions. At no times does it9MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman.9mention "contracts"?10CROSS-EXAMINATION10What's the difference? Well, an act or a11BY MR. ORTA:In act or a1112Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?12organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good14parties. That was one of the points.15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Stern-you've been18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?10A. Yes, that is correct.1120Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity	2	and resolved without provoking any undue delay in the	2	authority to have declared lesividad for various
5questions from Guatemala's counsel.5establishes is that the President can declare the6PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask6lesividad of acts and resolutions that it clearly so7questions?7establishes; if you carefully read the article, it8Mr. Orta, go ahead.8says acts and resolutions. At no times does it9MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman.9mention "contracts?10CROSS-EXAMINATION10What's the difference? Well, an act or a11BY MR. ORTA:10What's the difference? Well, an act or a12Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?10What's the difference? Well, an act or a13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good13agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two14morning to you all.12organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been17down by the Court, has the power to declare lesivos18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?20A. Yes, that is correct.21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity20A. Yes, that is correct.21In this case, FEGUA is an auton	3	procedure.	3	reasons.
6PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask questions?6lesividad of acts and resolutions that it clearly so 77questions?7establishes; if you carefully read the article, it 888Mr. Orta, go ahead.8says acts and resolutions. At no times does it 999MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman.9mention "contracts"?10CROSS-EXAMINATION10What's the difference? Well, an act or a 1111BY MR. ORTA:10What's the difference? Well, an act or a 1212Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?10What's the difference? Well, an act or a 1213A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good13agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two 1414morning to you all.12Another of the main points is that the 1615Q. Just setting the context of your appearance 1615Another of the main points is that the 1716here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response 1716President of the Republic, based on judgments handed 1716here zouro; is that correct?18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It 1919year 2007; is that correct.19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from 2021Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity	4	Q. Thank you, Mr. Franco. You can now answer	4	What are these? First, what the law
7questions?7establishes; if you carefully read the article, it8Mr. Orta, go ahead.9says acts and resolutions. At no times does it9MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman.9mention "contracts"?10CROSS-EXAMINATION10What's the difference? Well, an act or a11BY MR. ORTA:10What's the difference? Well, an act or a12Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?10organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good13agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two14morning to you all.15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response15Another of the main points is that the18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the19year 2007; is that correct?1020A. Yes, that is correct.21Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity	5	questions from Guatemala's counsel.	5	establishes is that the President can declare the
8Mr. Orta, go ahead.8says acts and resolutions. At no times does it9MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman.9mention "contracts"?10CROSS-EXAMINATION10What's the difference? Well, an act or a11BY MR. ORTA:10What's the difference? Well, an act or a12Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?10What's the difference? Well, an act or a13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good12organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an14morning to you all.12organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance16Parties. That was one of the points.16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been17down by the Court, has the power to declare lesivos18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from19year 2007; is that correct?20N Yes, that is correct.2121Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity	6	PRESIDENT RIGO: Is it Mr. Orta who will ask	6	lesividad of acts and resolutions that it clearly so
8Mr. Orta, go ahead.8says acts and resolutions. At no times does it9MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman.9mention "contracts"?10CROSS-EXAMINATION10What's the difference? Well, an act or a11BY MR. ORTA:10What's the difference? Well, an act or a12Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?10What's the difference? Well, an act or a13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good12organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an14morning to you all.12organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance16Parties. That was one of the points.16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been17down by the Court, has the power to declare lesivos18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from19year 2007; is that correct?20N Yes, that is correct.2121Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity	7	questions?	7	establishes; if you carefully read the article, it
9MR. ORTA: That you, Mr. Chairman.9mention "contracts"?10CROSS-EXAMINATION10What's the difference? Well, an act or a11BY MR. ORTA:10What's the difference? Well, an act or a12Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?12organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good13agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two14morning to you all.101415Q. Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Stern-you've been18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A. Yes, that is correct.21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity	8	-	8	
10CROSS-EXAMINATION10What's the difference? Well, an act or a11BY MR. ORTA:10What's the difference? Well, an act or a12Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good13agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two14morning to you all.14parties. That was one of the points.15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Stern-you've been18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A. Yes, that is correct.20A. Yes, that is correct.2121Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity	9		9	-
11BY MR. ORTA:11resolution is a unilateral declaration of will by an12Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?12organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good13agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two14morning to you all.14parties. That was one of the points.15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been17down by the Court, has the power to declare lesivos18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A. Yes, that is correct.21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity		-	10	
12Q. Good morning, Mr. Franco. How are you today?12organ or an agency; whereas, an agency [sic] is an agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two13A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good13agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two14morning to you all.14parties. That was one of the points.15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Stern-you've been18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A. Yes, that is correct.21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity				
13A.Good morning. Fine. Thank you. Good13agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two14morning to you all.13agreement involves the meeting of the minds of two15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been17down by the Court, has the power to declare lesivos18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A.Yes, that is correct.21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity				-
14morning to you all.14parties. That was one of the points.15Q. Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response15President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been17down by the Court, has the power to declare lesivos18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A. Yes, that is correct.21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity				
15Q.Just setting the context of your appearance15Another of the main points is that the16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been17down by the Court, has the power to declare lesivos18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A.Yes, that is correct.20the executive.21Q.Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity		5 1	-	5
16here today, you, I think as you mentioned in response16President of the Republic, based on judgments handed17to some of the questions from Mr. Stern-you've been17down by the Court, has the power to declare lesivos18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A. Yes, that is correct.20the executive.21Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity				
17to some of the questions from Mr. Sternyou've been17down by the Court, has the power to declare lesivos18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A. Yes, that is correct.20the executive.21Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity		· · · ·		
18acting as a lawyer on behalf of Ferrovías since the18acts which emanate from the executive exclusively. It19year 2007; is that correct?19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A. Yes, that is correct.20the executive.21Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity				1 1 5 5
19year 2007; is that correct?19cannot declare lesivo acts that do not emanate from20A. Yes, that is correct.20the executive.21Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity				
20A. Yes, that is correct.20the executive.21Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías21In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity				•
21 Q. Did you do any other legal work for Ferrovías 21 In this case, FEGUA is an autonomous entity		-		
22 Defore you agreed to serve as their lawyer in the 22 with a distinct juridical personality from that of the		······		-
	1 44			
		before you agreed to serve as their lawyer in the	22	with a distinct juridical personality from that of the

	335		337
11:12:37 1	State.	11:15:29 1	A. Yes, that is correct.
2	And, third, there was a discussion about the	2	Q. All right. Returning to the first area of
3	contracts that were declared lesivo. Well, it was	3	questions, other than the action that you're currently
4	said that they established two alternative means for	4	handling for Ferrovías in the Administrative Court,
5	dispute resolution: Conciliation and arbitration.	5	and the action that you filed before the
6	So those were the main arguments in that	6	Constitutional court, have you done any other work for
7	declaration, or, rather, in that Constitutional	7	Ferrovías?
8	action.	8	A. Yes. Correct. I have been the lead attorney
9	Q. Thank you, sir.	9	in some other proceedings underway in Guatemala.
10	Did the Supreme Court hearI'm sorry, did	10	For example, let's see, at some point in time
11	the Constitutional Court hear those claims?	11	an action was brought, a tax claim, against Ferrovías.
12	A. Yes, it did.	12	Also, an executive proceeding for the collection of an
13	Q. We'll get to it in a second, but justif you	13	alleged debt for the sending of materials and
14	could just tell us, did the Constitutional Court	14	merchandise.
15	accept or deny your arguments?	15	In addition, defense in the criminal
15	A. Here we have to explain something that is	15	proceeding which, without any basis, was brought
10	quite important. In order bring a Constitutional	10	against the legal representative of Ferrovías, which
18	action, there's a principle called the Principle of	17	was already resolved and this person was acquitted.
10	Definitiveness, which the Constitution regulates,	10	It was totally out of place.
20	which establishes that prior to havingbringing an	20	Q. Any others?
20	amparo action, one must exhaust regular remedies.	20	A. Yes. At this moment, those are the ones I
21	Nonetheless, in the instant case, there was	21	recall.
22	NULCLIELESS, IN the instant case, there was	22	
	336		338
11:14:06 1	no regular administrative remedy to exhaust.	11:17:07 1	Q. And you also are a member of the Diaz-Duran &
2	Why? Because clearly Article 9 of the law on	2	Asociados Central Law law firm; correct?
3	the Contencioso Administrativo regulates that no	3	A. Yes, that is correct.
4	remedy may be brought against a resolution by the	4	Q. And your partner is Mr. Carrasco, sitting
5	President or Vice President. So in this case, on	5	over there acrossor next to Mr. Posner; is that
6	establishing that clear violation, we went to the	6	true?
7	Constitutional jurisdiction.	7	A. Partner of mine? Not directly. I am an
8	We must be very clear that the Constitutional	8	attorney who is an associate with the firm, but I'm
9	Court did not rule on the Merits. That is to say, it	9	not a partner of the firm. I work for the firm.
10	did not say that it was inadmissible, but, rather, the	10	Q. I'm sorry. So you are an associate at the
11	Administrative Court, what it established was that the	11	Diaz-Duran & Asociados firm, and Mr. Carrasco, sitting
12	points that we argued as clear violations of the	12	over there next to Mr. Posner, is one of your bosses?
13	rights of the Ferrovías had to be just brought to the	13	A. Yes, that is correct.
14	Contencioso Administrativo jurisdiction.	14	Q. In relation to your declarationin your
15	That's how it was.	15	declaration, you claim that Ferrovías has not been
16	Q. So if I understand you correctly, the	15	afforded due process in the Administrative Court
17	Constitutional Court declined towell, let's go step	10	proceeding that is currently underway; is that
18	by step. The Constitutional court denied the	18	correct?
10	petition, but, in doing so, allowed Ferrovías to raise	10	A. Yes, that is correct.
20	those very same arguments before the Contencioso	20	Q. And you understand that Ferrovias, in this
20	Administrativo Court, the Administrative Court; is	20	case, in part through your partner and Greenberg
21	that correct?	21	Traurig, are arguing that they have not been afforded
	chat oviitet.		induring, are arguing that they have not been allolued

	339		341
11:18:39 1	due process in the Administrative Court proceeding?	11:21:27 1	important to clarify that this resolutionwell, no
2	A. Yes, that is correct.	2	one knew about it. Ferrovías didn't know about it.
3	Q. And so through your declaration, you are	3	We didn't know about it as their attorneys, nor did
4	supporting their arguments in this case; is that	4	the persons in general. The only thing that one knew
5	correct?	5	was that in August of 2006, a Declaration of Lesividad
6	A. Yes, that is correct, that due process was	6	had been published. So that's what was known.
7	not afforded.	7	This resolution, of course, not until notice
8	0. Now, just so that we understand the	8	of it was given in May of 2007.
9	parameters of your declaration, it is correct, is it	9	Q. And my question is: This ruling does not
10	not, that Ferrovías has been notified of the	10	prejudice Ferrovías in the case, does it?
10	Administrative Court proceeding?	10	A. Yes, it does prejudice Ferrovías because, as
11	A. Yes, that is correct. It was notified	11	I repeat, before people, before the public and all,
12	approximately six months after the complaint was	12	it's a Declaration of Lesividad. It was declared. It
	initiated.		
14		14	was published in the Official Gazette. And so people
15	Q. And in that interim six-month period, there	15	don't understand that this has to follow a legal
16	were no decisions made adverse to Ferrovías, were	16	process with certain stages. So, it did prejudice the
17	there?	17	company.
18	A. Prior to notice? Well, since there was no	18	In addition, in other proceedings that we're
19	legal resolution, it not been handed down even though	19	involved in when we complete the Hearing or
20	the Court was under an obligation to do so, but it	20	petitionsfile petitions, including in several of the
21	never did hand down such a decision.	21	proceedings that I was involved in, I would bring
22	MR. ORTA: We can put up RL-73, please.	22	copies of the newspaper where it was indicated that
	340		342
11:19:59 1	BY MR. ORTA:	11:22:52 1	the Contract had been declared lesivo. It's an
2	Q. I'm going to be putting up a document on the	2	indication that the newspaper didn't actually reflect
3			
J	screen.	3	
4		3	what was actually happening in the courts, such as
4	For purposes of the examination, we're also	-	what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution.
4	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the	3 4 5 6	what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on
4	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it.	-	what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías.
4 5 6 7	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal,	-	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovias. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than</pre>
4 5 6 7 8	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so	-	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well.	5 6 7 8 9	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007.</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA:	5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovias. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovias? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovias from the</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding, the Administrative Court did issue an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovías from the moment that the lesividad was declared. As I repeat,</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding, the Administrative Court did issue an order dated February 23, 2007; correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovías from the moment that the lesividad was declared. As I repeat, it prejudices in that the declaration of lesividad had</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding, the Administrative Court did issue an order dated February 23, 2007; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. And that was in the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovías from the moment that the lesividad was declared. As I repeat, it prejudices in that the declaration of lesividad had come several months earlier, and this wasn't known</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding, the Administrative Court did issue an order dated February 23, 2007; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. And that was in the record, even though we did not have knowledge of it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovías from the moment that the lesividad was declared. As I repeat, it prejudices in that the declaration of lesividad had come several months earlier, and this wasn't known until May.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding, the Administrative Court did issue an order dated February 23, 2007; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. And that was in the record, even though we did not have knowledge of it. Q. The Administrative Court, in part, denied a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovías from the moment that the lesividad was declared. As I repeat, it prejudices in that the declaration of lesividad had come several months earlier, and this wasn't known until May. So in itself, the detrimental impactlet me</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding, the Administrative Court did issue an order dated February 23, 2007; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. And that was in the record, even though we did not have knowledge of it. Q. The Administrative Court, in part, denied a request for injunctive measures and for provisional 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovías from the moment that the lesividad was declared. As I repeat, it prejudices in that the declaration of lesividad had come several months earlier, and this wasn't known until May. So in itself, the detrimental impactlet me explain. It's the declaration of lesividad that is</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding, the Administrative Court did issue an order dated February 23, 2007; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. And that was in the record, even though we did not have knowledge of it. Q. The Administrative Court, in part, denied a request for injunctive measures and for provisional suspension of Contract 143 and 158 that had been filed	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovías from the moment that the lesividad was declared. As I repeat, it prejudices in that the declaration of lesividad had come several months earlier, and this wasn't known until May. So in itself, the detrimental impactlet me explain. It's the declaration of lesividad that is detrimental itself.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding, the Administrative Court did issue an order dated February 23, 2007; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. And that was in the record, even though we did not have knowledge of it. Q. The Administrative Court, in part, denied a request for injunctive measures and for provisional suspension of Contract 143 and 158 that had been filed by the Attorney General's Office of Guatemala;	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovías from the moment that the lesividad was declared. As I repeat, it prejudices in that the declaration of lesividad had come several months earlier, and this wasn't known until May. So in itself, the detrimental impactlet me explain. It's the declaration of lesividad that is detrimental itself. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry to interrupt.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding, the Administrative Court did issue an order dated February 23, 2007; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. And that was in the record, even though we did not have knowledge of it. Q. The Administrative Court, in part, denied a request for injunctive measures and for provisional suspension of Contract 143 and 158 that had been filed by the Attorney General's Office of Guatemala; correct? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovías from the moment that the lesividad was declared. As I repeat, it prejudices in that the declaration of lesividad had come several months earlier, and this wasn't known until May. So in itself, the detrimental impactlet me explain. It's the declaration of lesividad that is detrimental itself. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry to interrupt. But it would be helpful for the Tribunal if</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	For purposes of the examination, we're also going to be putting the Spanish version of the document before you so that you may read it. MR. ORTA: For the purposes of the Tribunal, we're putting the English version up on the screen so that they may follow along in English as well. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Now, before you entered your appearance on behalf of the Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding, the Administrative Court did issue an order dated February 23, 2007; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. And that was in the record, even though we did not have knowledge of it. Q. The Administrative Court, in part, denied a request for injunctive measures and for provisional suspension of Contract 143 and 158 that had been filed by the Attorney General's Office of Guatemala;	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>what was actually happening in the courts, such as this resolution. So, yes, it did have a negative impact on Ferrovías. Q. I wasn't asking you about anything other than this ruling. This ruling by the Court, the administrate court of February 2007. My question was: Did this ruling prejudice Ferrovías? A. Yes, it did prejudice Ferrovías from the moment that the lesividad was declared. As I repeat, it prejudices in that the declaration of lesividad had come several months earlier, and this wasn't known until May. So in itself, the detrimental impact-let me explain. It's the declaration of lesividad that is detrimental itself. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry to interrupt.</pre>

	343		345
11:24:14 1	than the bottom it was since we can't read it.	11:27:00 1	understand that the Lesivo Declaration doesn't have
2	MR. ORTA: Yes, my apologies.	2	anyby the President, doesn't have any immediate
3	If you could just scroll so that the	3	effect on the legal rights of Ferrovías under Contract
4	Tribunalthis is also in RL-073. The problem is,	4	143 or 158; correct?
5	that's a very large exhibit. Oh, no, this one is not.	5	A. Yes, that is correct. The thing is that, so
6	I'm sorry.	6	long as the declaration of lesividad is from an act or
7	So RL-37 in your core bundle as well.	7	resolution that emanates from the Executive; but that
8	Apologies.	8	is not the situation in this case because it's a
9	BY MR. ORTA:	9	contract.
10	Q. Sir, my question, again, is not about the	10	Q. I understand you have legal arguments that
11	declaration of lesividad.	11	you put before the Supreme Courtor the
12	Okay? Can we agree on that? I'm not asking	12	Constitutional court. I wasn't asking you about
13	you about that right now. Okay?	13	those.
14	A. Yes.	13	I'm just saying, you understand, as a
15	Q. Okay. Not the declaration of lesividad, this	14	Professor that deals with issues of lesivo law, that
15	ruling by the Administrative Court doesn't prejudice	15	that declaration by the President did not affect in
10	Ferrovías in any way, does it?	10	any way the legal rights that your client has in
17	A. It does not prejudice it as a matter of law;	17	Contract 143 and 158, whatever they may be; correct?
10	but as a matter of fact, it does.	10	A. Yes. It is a step prior to the declaration
20	Q. As I understand this ruling, the judge denied		of lesividad.
	a request for provisional measures that was filed by	20	0. And unless and until the Administrative Court
21	the Attorney General, and, in particular, denied a	21	issues a ruling confirming that the Lesivo Declaration
44	the Actorney General, and, in particular, denied a	22	issues a fulling confirming that the besive beclaration
	344		346
11:25:32 1	request that the Attorney General made to	11:28:15 1	is proper, your client will continue to have every
2	provisionally suspend Contract 143 and 158.	2	right, legal right, that they may have ever had in
3	Can you explain how that, as a matter of	3	Contract 143 and 158; correct?
4	fact, is prejudicial to your client?	4	A. Yes. Correct. Nonetheless, that's one of
5	A. Yes. As I had already said, with the	5	the violations that's been argued, that the complaint
6	declaration of lesividadbecause let's recall that	6	was filed, and all of the stages of the proceeding
7	this resolution specifically, the other persons, the	7	went through, and the ruling is not handed down.
8	other attorneys in the other proceedings, didn't know	8	So that's one of the violations that we've
9	about this. In several proceedings in which I was	9	also discussed.
10	involved in defense of Ferrovías, when we would put a	10	There is no legal certainty for the rights of
11	petition to the Courtfor example, to establish a	11	Ferrovías in terms of when is this going to be
12	bond, to give an example of something which has	12	resolved. There is no impediment, legal or material,
13	happened in one casewhat the other Party would do in	13	for the Court to hand down a judgment. Nonetheless,
14	that specific case would be to oppose, arguing our	14	to date, has not done so.
15	motion by saying that the contract had been declared	15	Q. We'll get to that issue in a second, but
16	lesivo.	16	simply going back to the point about the fact that you
17	So, I reiterate that in law, no; but in fact,	17	say that this ruling may have had some factual
18	yes, this resolution, because in other proceedings the	18	prejudice to your client, you, as their lawyer in any
10	rights of Ferrovías were limited without any awareness	10	other proceeding that you appear in, are quite capable
20	that there had been a provisional suspension of the	20	of communicating to any court or any other official
20	contract. So that's why.	20	that the Lesivo Declaration did not have any effect,
21	Q. But as a Professor of administrative law, you	21	legal effect, on your client's rights under their
44	2. Due us a restancesor of administrative raw, you	44	regar erreet, on your errent o righto under their
1			

	347		349
11:29:33 1	contract; correct?	11:32:02 1	Is that a true statement?
2	A. No. In the procedural stage, no, because	2	A. Correct. From the legal standpoint, yes, and
2	what went along with it was the publication, and it's	3	from the factual standpoint, no, because of what I
4	the Court that decides whether it grants a given	4	just said.
5	measure based on that.	- 5	Q. You were capable, obviously, of communicating
6	Further, I'd like to clarify a point that is	6	that legal conclusion in any proceedings in which your
0 7	it quite important, because there'sit's like a	0 7	client was involved; correct?
0		· ·	A. Since I did not know whether the Contract had
8	doubt. The thing is, I could not communicate this	8	
9	resolution in any other proceeding when Ferrovías was	9	been suspended, I didn'tI was not able to
10	never given notice of it. The mere fact of presenting	10	communicate this because this is a decision by the
11	the filing of the complaintand, as you can see, the	11	Tribunalthis isby the Court. It goes beyond
12	complaint was filed in November. The resolution has	12	knowing the declaration of the President and whether
13	the date of February, but notice of it was given in	13	that was going to suspended Contract or not. The
14	May.	14	Court had to decide on that.
15	So we're saying that more than six months	15	Q. Did anyone ever notify you, as counsel for
16	elapsed with total legal uncertainty as to whether the	16	Ferrovías, or Ferrovías, to your knowledge, that their
17	complaint had been admitted, whether it had not been	17	rights under Contract 143 and 158 were suspended at
18	admitted, whether measures had been issued, decreed,	18	any time?
19	whetheror injunctive relief, whether it was issued	19	A. No.
20	or not. So we did not know anything about it and I	20	Q. I'd like to take you to Document Number C-11,
21	could not have doneI could not have monitored	21	please.
22	something that I did not know about.	22	Sir, I'm sorry, before we go to that
	210		220
11:30:39 1	348 Q. Let's try again. Because I think you're	11:33:47 1	document. I'm going to bak you one herefully one
		11:33:47 1	document, I'm going to ask you onehopefully one
2	misunderstanding my question, so I'm going to try it	2	additional question or a couple of minor questions on
4	again. Okay?	3	that same line of questioning before. You mentioned that notwithstanding that the
	As Ferrovías' lawyer, and knowing, as you've already admitted to us here, that the President's	4 5	Attorney General's petition was filed in November of
5	•		2006, that Ferrovías wasn't notified until, you said,
6	Lesivo Declaration had no legal effect on Ferrovías'	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	rights under Contract 143 and 158, you are quite	/	May of 2007; correct?
0	capable of making that argument to any other court or	8	A. That is correct.
9	any other official in any other proceeding in which	9	Q. In the steps taken by the Court leading up to
10	Ferrovias is involved; correct?	10	the notification, including the various stepsyou're
11	A. No, is not correct. I just explained that I	11	familiar with the file. Are you claiming here that as
12	had no knowledge of the resolution and since no notice	12	a matter of Guatemalan Law that notification came to
13	was served, the resolution for several months did not	13	you too late?
14	exist. And evidence of that is the date on the	14	A. Yes, that is correct.
15	resolution. I had no knowledge of the resolution	15	Q. What basis?
16	until I was informed in May 2007.	16	A. The basis that any resolutionbased on
17	Q. I'm not asking you whether you knew or when	17	Guatemalan Law, any resolution should be communicated
18	you knew about the resolution. I'm simply making the	18	to the Parties; otherwise, their rights cannot be
19	point that whether or not you knew about the	19	affected. But the law by the judicial body
20	resolution, you knew that the Lesivo Declaration by	20	establishes the terms when the resolution has to be
21	the President did not affect your client's legal	21	notified. And in the case instant, after the
22	rights under Contract 143 and 158.	22	resolution was passed, it should have been notified
	rights under contract 145 and 150.		rebolución wab pabbea, ie bhoala nave been noeiliea

	351		353
11:35:17 1		11:38:37 1	
2	is to say, the following day.	11.30.37 1	Q. So, this action was filed on the day before
3	Q. And that's as it relates to any orders that	2	the time period would have run for the Attorney
4	-	J	General to be able to initiate this action; correct?
-	affect a Party's rights; correct? A. That is correct. And that is established in	4	
5		5	A. That is correct.
6	the law.	6	Q. In other words, the Attorney General waited
7	Q. Are you able to point us to any orders before		almost a full three months before filing this action;
8	you were notified in May of 2007 that affected	8	correct?
9	Ferrovías' rights?	9	A. Correct.
10	A. An order by who? The Court or who?	10	Q. Now, in this action there are two defendants;
11	Q. Administrative court.	11	correct?
12	A. None.	12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Okay. Let me point you to C-11, please.	13	Q. In addition to Ferrovías, the other Party to
14	MR. ORTA: For the record, C-11 is the	14	Contract 143 and 158, FEGUA is also named as a
15	petition that was filed by the Attorney General's	15	defendant in this action; is that right?
16	Office of Guatemala on 24th of November, 2006. It's	16	A. That is correct.
17	quite a long document, but my questions are going to	17	Q. And both have been joined in the action by
18	go to just certain aspects of it.	18	the Attorney General of the Republic of Guatemala;
19	BY MR. ORTA:	19	correct?
20	Q. First, sir, can you confirm that my	20	A. I do not understand. What do you mean
20	representation is correct; that this is the petition	20	"joined"?
22	that was filed on the 24th of the November, 2006, by	21	0. I believe there is a translation issue.
	that was fifted on the 24th of the November, 2000, by	22	
	150		254
11.26.42 1	352 the Attorney General's Office, before the	11:40:02 1	354 Both defendants were sued by the Attorney
	Administrative Court in relation to the Lesivo		
2	Declaration that had been declared by the President?	2	General of the Republic of Guatemala in this action; correct?
3	-		
4	A. Yes, this is the one.	4	A. Yes, they were sued. Yes.
5	Q. Am I correct that the Government of	5	MR. ORTA: And if we could turn toso, let's
6	Guatemalathe Attorney General of Guatemala had 90	6	see. In the Spanish version, it's going to be
1	days from the time that the Lesivo Declaration was	1	RDC-172. Bear with me.
8	published in the Official Gazette, 90 days' period of	8	Okay. And in the English version, it is
1			
9	time within which the Attorney General could initiate	9	RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal.
10	this action before the Administrative Court?	9 10	RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but
	this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is	9 10 11	RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says
10	this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or		RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions,"
10 11	this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is	11	RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says
10 11 12	this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or	11 12	RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions,"
10 11 12 13	 this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or three months, because when we say 90 days or days, we 	11 12 13	RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions," just so that the Tribunal can see in context where we
10 11 12 13 14	 this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or three months, because when we say 90 days or days, we are referring to workdays, when the Court is carrying 	11 12 13 14	RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions," just so that the Tribunal can see in context where we were in the document.
10 11 12 13 14 15	this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or three months, because when we say 90 days or days, we are referring to workdays, when the Court is carrying out business; that is to say, Monday through Friday.	11 12 13 14 15	RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions," just so that the Tribunal can see in context where we were in the document. BY MR. ORTA:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or three months, because when we say 90 days or days, we are referring to workdays, when the Court is carrying out business; that is to say, Monday through Friday. But when we are saying three months, these are calendar days so it is not the same for the	11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions," just so that the Tribunal can see in context where we were in the document. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Sir, these are a number of petitions or requests made by the Attorney General of Guatemala</pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or three months, because when we say 90 days or days, we are referring to workdays, when the Court is carrying out business; that is to say, Monday through Friday. But when we are saying three months, these are calendar days so it is not the same for the Contencioso Administrativo, which is not the same. It	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions," just so that the Tribunal can see in context where we were in the document. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Sir, these are a number of petitions or requests made by the Attorney General of Guatemala when they filed this action; correct?</pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or three months, because when we say 90 days or days, we are referring to workdays, when the Court is carrying out business; that is to say, Monday through Friday. But when we are saying three months, these are calendar days so it is not the same for the Contencioso Administrativo, which is not the same. It is not 90 days but three months.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions," just so that the Tribunal can see in context where we were in the document. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Sir, these are a number of petitions or requests made by the Attorney General of Guatemala when they filed this action; correct? A. That is correct.</pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or three months, because when we say 90 days or days, we are referring to workdays, when the Court is carrying out business; that is to say, Monday through Friday. But when we are saying three months, these are calendar days so it is not the same for the Contencioso Administrativo, which is not the same. It is not 90 days but three months. Q. Do you recall that the publication of the 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions," just so that the Tribunal can see in context where we were in the document. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Sir, these are a number of petitions or requests made by the Attorney General of Guatemala when they filed this action; correct? A. That is correct. Q. Request Number 7 asksor in Request Number</pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or three months, because when we say 90 days or days, we are referring to workdays, when the Court is carrying out business; that is to say, Monday through Friday. But when we are saying three months, these are calendar days so it is not the same for the Contencioso Administrativo, which is not the same. It is not 90 days but three months. Q. Do you recall that the publication of the Lesivo Declaration occurred on the 25th of 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions," just so that the Tribunal can see in context where we were in the document. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Sir, these are a number of petitions or requests made by the Attorney General of Guatemala when they filed this action; correct? A. That is correct. Q. Request Number 7 asksor in Request Number 7, I should say, the Attorney General asks that FEGUA
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 this action before the Administrative Court? A. Just to clarify, under Guatemalan law, it is not the same to speak about 90to say 90 days or three months, because when we say 90 days or days, we are referring to workdays, when the Court is carrying out business; that is to say, Monday through Friday. But when we are saying three months, these are calendar days so it is not the same for the Contencioso Administrativo, which is not the same. It is not 90 days but three months. Q. Do you recall that the publication of the 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>RDC-223, for purposes of the Tribunal. And if we could justI apologize, Kelby, but if you could please scroll up to where it says "Petitiones," or, in the English version, "Petitions," just so that the Tribunal can see in context where we were in the document. BY MR. ORTA: Q. Sir, these are a number of petitions or requests made by the Attorney General of Guatemala when they filed this action; correct? A. That is correct. Q. Request Number 7 asksor in Request Number</pre>

r		1	
	355		357
11:42:57 1	A. Yes, correct.	11:47:20 1	In Request Number 3, the Attorney General's
2	Q. Now, in relation toif you could turn, I'm	2	Office is asking the Court to order FEGUA to return
3	sorry, in your version to RDC-175, RDC-175; and then	3	all monies that it received from Ferrovías to
4	in the English version it would be RDC-225.	4	Ferrovías; correct?
5	In this part of the petition, the Attorney	5	A. Yes, that is correct.
6	General's Office is seeking various forms of relief	6	But you can see that it is important also to
7	from the Court; correct?	7	understand that they are requesting to return the
8	A. I don't understand.	8	money, but they're asking for no compensation or
9	Q. In this partin this part of the petition,	9	damages for the damages discussed.
10	the Attorney General is seeking relief from the	10	Q. We'll get to that point. But before we
11	Administrative Court. It's basically telling it what	11	finish this point, in addition, the Attorney General's
12	it wants it to do through this petition; correct?	12	Office is asking Ferrovías to return the equipment in
13	A. Yes, correct.	13	relation to Contract 143 and 158 to FEGUA; correct?
14	Q. And in relation to the Contract 143well,	14	A. Correct.
15	strike that.	15	Q. Now, in relation to the point you just made,
16	In terms of the very firstthe very first	16	Ferrovías, when it participates in this proceeding, is
17	Request for Relief, it's asking for the Court to	17	entitled to ask for an Award of Damages; correct?
18	determine that the Lesivo Declaration was correct, was	18	A. As part of this process, is that the
10	proper?	10	question? Or is it outside the process?
20	A. What number?	20	Q. The first question is in this proceeding.
20	0. Number 1.	20	 A. No, absolutely not.
21	A. That is correct.	22	From the technical and legal point of view,
44	A. Inat 15 correct.		FIOM the technical and legal point of view,
	356		358
11:45:43 1	Q. And if we could go down to number 2, please.	11:48:44 1	that is impossible. Because under Guatemalan law, a
2	In number 2, the Attorney General's Office is asking	2	claim for damages should be filed before a civil court
3	the Court to declare having foundassuming Number 1	3	as part of an ordinary proceeding. But this is a
4	is granted, having found that the Lesivo Declaration	4	Contencioso Administrativo Court, so from the legal
5	is corrected, the Attorney General's Office is asking	5	point of view, that is not correct. That is not
6	the Court to declare Contract 143 and 158 null and	6	right.
7	void as a matter of law; correct?	7	Q. If the Court declares that the Lesivo
8	A. Correct.	8	Declaration is or was proper and orders the relief in
9	Q. And in relation to Request Number 3, the	9	Number 3, and yet Ferrovias still believes that it has
10	Attorney General's Office is asking the Court to also	10	damages in addition to what was ordered by this Court,
11	order, assuming Requests 1 and 2 were granted, that	11	Ferrovías is able to later file an action in the civil
12	things as between Ferrovias and FEGUA should return to	12	proceedings to seek damages; correct? Or before the
13	their original state as if the Contract had never been		civil court, I should say, to seek damages?
14	entered into; correct?	14	A. Yes. As long as a decisionas long as there
15	A. Yes, that is correct.	15	was an award, a Final Award, but those are the
16	Q. And, specifically, they are asking	16	violations that we have been.
17	FEGUAthey're asking the Court to order FEGUA to	17	The process has been going on for a long time
18	return all monies that it received from Ferrovias in	18	without any visibility to solve it, butbut this
10	relation to this contract to	19	process has not been solved yet. This proceeding is
20	A. Correct.	20	still unsolved.
20	Q. Sorry. I wasn't finished with the question.	20	MR. ORTA: Let's go back towas it Number 7
22	5. DOTTA: T MODIL C TITITOTICO MICHI CHC ANCOLINI.	4	THE ORITIN DEC D YO DUCK CO WUD IC MUMBEL /
	· · · · ·	22	under netitions?
22	Let me try that again.	22	under petitions?

	359		361
11:50:40 1	For you, sir, it's RDC-172.	11:53:28 1	off your light here, one doesn't take anybody else's
2	And for the Tribunal, it's 223.	2	light. So there is no way for me other than making
3	BY MR. ORTA:	3	big signs to interrupt.
4	Q. I neglected to ask you something about	4	So I thinkwas he present, I mean, at the
5	Petition Number 7.	5	press conference, the witness? I mean, is thatthat
6	In the samein the very same paragraph where	6	would be relevant; but if not, I mean, then, it is not
7	the Attorney General asks that FEGUA be notified about	7	going to hear in terms of his testimony.
8	these proceedings, they also and that Ferrovias be	8	MR. ORTA: Well, he just answered that he
9	notified about these proceedings; correct?	9	wasthat he understood that there was a press
10	A. Correct.	10	conference. He can tell us whether he was present or
11	Q. And in relation to the timing of the notice	10	not; I believe he was, but since I just met him, I
12	that you received, you have no evidence, do you, that	11	can't vouch for the fact that he's on the video.
12	FerroviasI'm sorry, that the Attorney General's	12	I can tell you that, in relation to
			-
14	Office asked that notice to Ferrovías be delayed, do	14	Mr. Stern's question, this is their exhibit; C-132.
15	you?	15	PRESIDENT RIGO: We are not discussing the
16	A. No, of course not.	16	video of the press conference. We are discussing the
17	Q. Now, in relation to thein relation to the	17	testimony of the witness here. So if you could limit
18	Supreme Court action that you filed, do you recall	18	the questions to his testimony.
19	that you and a number of people on the other side of	19	MR. ORTA: Right. And just so that we're
20	the table called a press conference?	20	clear, the question was whether he had called a press
21	Do you remember that?	21	conference at the time that they filed the challenge
22	A. Yes, correct.	22	before the Supreme Court, and the witness said yes.
	360		362
11:52:23 1		11:54:43 1	
2	of this event; correct?	211.011.00 1	conference.
3	A. Not me.	2	PRESIDENT RIGO: Well, I mean, we can check
4	Q. Do you know who did? Do you know who invited	1	the record whether the witness said yes, but that's
5	the press to come?	1 5	not what I understood.
6	-	J C	
0	A. No, I don't know.	0	MR. ORTA: Oh. Well, let me ask him, again,
	Q. Okay.	1	then, if it's okay.
8	MR. STERN: I'm going to object to this line	8	BY MR. ORTA:
9	of questioning. It's beyond the scope of his	9	Q. Are you aware that there was a press
10	Statement, and certainly beyond the scope of his	10	conference at which you attended in relation to the
11	involvement in the Constitutional case.	11	filing of the action that you filed before the Supreme
12	MR. ORTA: Just for the record, before you	12	Court challenging the Administrative Court proceeding?
13	rule, this is a video of a press conference that the	13	A. I knew that there was some press conference,
14	other side called to notify the world that they were	14	but I did not attend that press conference. That's
15	presenting this petition before the Supreme Court.	15	what I responded; that I knew of a press conference,
16	It's quite relevant to the issues that we've been	16	but I did not attend the press conference, and I did
17	discussing in this case.	17	not call the press conference.
18	MR. STERN: And it has nothing to do with	18	I did not call the press conference, and I
19	Mr. Franco's testimony. And I'm not even sure I've	19	did not attend that press conference.
20	seen this exhibit, to be honest with you.	20	Q. So in the press conference, you're saying
21	PRESIDENT RIGO: As a matter of	21	that in the action that was filed before the Supreme
22	administration of the proceedings, if you don't turn	22	Court where the amparo was presented, you were not
		1	

	262		2/5
	363	11 50 50 1	365
I .	there when that filing was made?	11:58:50 1	
2	A. In the presentationin the filing of the	2	MR. STERN: What I object to is his efforts
3	amparo, I was there, but I was not at the press	3	to mischaracterize the evidence in order to be able to
4	conference.	4	present the evidence.
5	MR. ORTA: For purposes of the Tribunal, it	5	PRESIDENT RIGO: So let's see the event.
6	is my understandingnow, perhaps I'm incorrectthat	б	(Video played.)
7	the video that we're about to play is the moment in	7	BY MR. ORTA:
8	which they presented the amparo to the Supreme Court.	8	Q. Sir, this was the moment in time when there
9	That's at least what the reporter says on the video.	9	was a presentation of the amparo, the challenge before
10	So if I could beg the Tribunal's indulgence	10	the Constitutional court, correct, in relation to the
11	to play this momentarily.	11	Administrative Court proceeding?
12	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Orta, just play the	12	A. That's not the case. Before the
13	video.	13	administrative Tribunal, no. This is a Constitutional
14	MR. ORTA: Thank you.	14	Tribunal. It is different from the administrative
15	THE WITNESS: Just to clarify, before	15	process. And I already made my statement in
16	watching the video, once again, based on the	16	connection therewith.
17	translation in the press conference, I did not call	17	There is a difference. I would like to
18	any press conference, but I did participate in the	18	clarify. You asked me if I had called a press
19	filing of the amparo. That's what I would like to	19	conference. That is not a press conference. That is
20	clarify. But I did not call the press conference. I	20	the presentation of the Constitutional action before
21	did not call the press conference as such.	21	the Constitutional Court. It is not the contentious
22	PRESIDENT RIGO: May I ask, before you show	22	proceeding, lesividad.
			<u> </u>
	364		366
11:57:49 1	the video, is about the press conference or the	12:01:17 1	Q. (Overlapping translation)how the news
2	presentation?	2	reporters found out about that event?
3	MR. ORTA: It's my understanding that it is a	3	A. No, I do not know.
4	public presentation of the amparo, the very document	4	Q. So they just happened to be there when you
5	that he said he is the lead lawyer in, where,	5	showed up?
6	apparently, they called a presswhen I say "a press	6	A. Yeah. I have no personal knowledge of that.
7	conference," I mean the press was there and they're	· ·	
		7	
ß		7 8	I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't
8	videoed presenting this document to the Court.	7 8 9	I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge.
9	videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is.	7 8 9 10	I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge. MR. ORTA: If we could put up Exhibit R-336,
9 10	videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report;	10	I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge. MR. ORTA: If we could put up Exhibit R-336, please.
9 10 11	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's</pre>	10 11	I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge. MR. ORTA: If we could put up Exhibit R-336, please. BY MR. ORTA:
9 10 11 12	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here.</pre>	10 11 12	I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge. MR. ORTA: If we could put up Exhibit R-336, please. BY MR. ORTA: Q. I believe we only have this in English.
9 10 11 12 13	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here. So, again, it's nothing do with his testimony</pre>	10 11 12 13	I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge. MR. ORTA: If we could put up Exhibit R-336, please. BY MR. ORTA: Q. I believe we only have this in English. Are you able to read English? If not, we car
9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here. So, again, it's nothing do with his testimony that's been presented here. What he's trying to do is</pre>	10 11 12 13 14	<pre>I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge.</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here. So, again, it's nothing do with his testimony that's been presented here. What he's trying to do is show you a news report about an event that happened at</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15	I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge. MR. ORTA: If we could put up Exhibit R-336, please. BY MR. ORTA: Q. I believe we only have this in English. Are you able to read English? If not, we can go to a different document. A. No, I cannot read English.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here. So, again, it's nothing do with his testimony that's been presented here. What he's trying to do is show you a news report about an event that happened at the time in which there were reporters present. There</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge.</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here. So, again, it's nothing do with his testimony that's been presented here. What he's trying to do is show you a news report about an event that happened at the time in which there were reporters present. There was not a press conference involving the filing of the</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge. MR. ORTA: If we could put up Exhibit R-336, please. BY MR. ORTA: Q. I believe we only have this in English. Are you able to read English? If not, we can go to a different document. A. No, I cannot read English. Q. Okay. We'll go to a different document, then, sir.</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here. So, again, it's nothing do with his testimony that's been presented here. What he's trying to do is show you a news report about an event that happened at the time in which there were reporters present. There was not a press conference involving the filing of the amparo action.</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge.</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here. So, again, it's nothing do with his testimony that's been presented here. What he's trying to do is show you a news report about an event that happened at the time in which there were reporters present. There was not a press conference involving the filing of the amparo action. MR. ORTA: Okay. Well, you know, I'm not</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge. MR. ORTA: If we could put up Exhibit R-336, please. BY MR. ORTA: Q. I believe we only have this in English. Are you able to read English? If not, we can go to a different document. A. No, I cannot read English. Q. Okay. We'll go to a different document, then, sir. In the Administrative Court proceeding, you filed on behalf Ferrovías an answer to the petition;</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here. So, again, it's nothing do with his testimony that's been presented here. What he's trying to do is show you a news report about an event that happened at the time in which there were reporters present. There was not a press conference involving the filing of the amparo action. MR. ORTA: Okay. Well, you know, I'm not asking for Mr. Stern's testimony about the document.</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge.</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here. So, again, it's nothing do with his testimony that's been presented here. What he's trying to do is show you a news report about an event that happened at the time in which there were reporters present. There was not a press conference involving the filing of the amparo action. MR. ORTA: Okay. Well, you know, I'm not asking for Mr. Stern's testimony about the document. We can play the document and just show it to the</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge.</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>videoed presenting this document to the Court. That's my understanding of what the video is. MR. STERN: Well, again, this a news report; it is not a press conference. I think what he's conceding here. So, again, it's nothing do with his testimony that's been presented here. What he's trying to do is show you a news report about an event that happened at the time in which there were reporters present. There was not a press conference involving the filing of the amparo action. MR. ORTA: Okay. Well, you know, I'm not asking for Mr. Stern's testimony about the document.</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>I didn't talk to anybody. I never knew. I don't know. That is not within the field of my knowledge.</pre>

	367		369
12:03:05 1		12:06:30 1	this way.
2	behalf of your client, Ferrovías; correct?	2	Q. So you made a decision not to present
3	A. That is correct.	3	evidence because it is your contention that the
4	MR. ORTA: Let's put up RLI'm sorry, R-292.	4	Attorney General has the burden of proof in the case;
- 5	I apologize. R-292.	5	correct?
6	BY MR. ORTA:	6	A. That is correct.
° 7	Q. R-292 is the Answer that you filed on behalf	7	MR. ORTA: Could you put up R-331? And it
, 8	of Ferrovías in the Administrative Court proceeding	8	iswell, they're not going to have the page numbers.
9	initiated by the Attorney General of Guatemala;	9	We'll put up on the screen. It is Page 86 of the
10	correct?	10	document, but you're not going to be able to find it
10	A. Correct.	11	in your core bundle because the pages are not numbered
12	Q. And you answered the petition by	12	themselves.
13	declaringor answering it in the negative; correct?	13	And in the Spanish version, it is 314.
13	In other words, you denied the relief sought	13	BY MR. ORTA:
14	by the Attorney General in his petition; correct?	15	Q. This iswell, you're looking at the Spanish
15	A. That is not correct. I wasn't denying the	15	version of it. And this is an English translation
10	relief requested. Under Guatemalan law, when a	10	that's up on the screen, but in thisfirst of all,
17	complaint is brought and notice is given, the	17	this is an order by the Administrative Court; correct?
10	Respondent can respond by the negative.	10	A resolution by the Administrative Court?
20	What does it mean? It means that it's	20	A. That is correct. It is a Memorial that was
20	contradicting these statements made by Claimant. In	20	issued by the Administrative Tribunal.
21	this case, when we replied in the negative, if the	21	MR. STERN: I just want to make sure that he
	ento cabe, when we reprice in the negative, if the		int. Sinka. I just while to make sure that he
	368		370
12:04:55 1	State is saying that the Contract is lesivo, when we	12:08:06 1	has available to him the entire document so he can,
2	answer in the negative, Ferrovías is saying the	2	you know, make sure he understands the entire context
3	opposite, saying that the contract is not lesivo.	3	of what's being presented here.
4	Q. In addition to making that argument or that	4	We only have partial translations of the
5	allegation, through this petition you also stated that	5	documents.
6	your client was not going to be proffering evidence in	6	MR. ORTA: So he has the entire Spanish
7	the proceeding; correct?	7	version before him, Kevin.
8	A. Yes, that is correct.	8	MR. STERN: Okay. Thank you. I did not know
9	Why? Well, because in a process of	9	that.
10	lesividad, the Party declaring lesividad is the State	10	THE WITNESS: Excuse me, the document that I
11	of Guatemala via the President of the Republic. So	11	have is not the document that appears on the screen.
12	the burden of proof lies exclusively on the Claimant.	12	BY MR. ORTA:
13	If the State is saying that the contract is lesivo,	13	Q. The document up on the screen, the
14	then the State needs to prove that lesividad exists.	14	translation that is up the screen, is the same
15	Under Guatemalan Law, we call that burden of	15	document that you're looking at now, sir?
16	the proof, and there's an article stating that the	16	A. Yes, that is correct.
17	Claimant or the Parties have the obligation of showing	17	Q. And, again, this document is a resolution by
18	the statements of fact. If you affirm something, you	18	the Administrative Court?
19	need to prove it. So the State is saying that the	19	A. Yes.
20	Contract was lesivo. So the State needs to prove	20	Q. And the Administrative Court is ruling on
21	that. Ferrovías does not have to prove that the	21	procedural objections that were filed by Ferrovías in
22	contract is not lesivo. That is why this was written	22	the matter?

		I	
	371		373
12:09:17 1	A. Correct.	12:12:34 1	THE WITNESS: In one of the ones that I have
2	Q. And part of the relief that you sought	2	knowledge of and that I rememberand I should say, in
3	through the filing of the objections, the procedural	3	passing, that this is a case that involved two
4	objections, was suspension of the proceeding?	4	agencies of the State. So, from the bringing of the
5	A. I would like to clarifywell, that is not	5	claim until the judgment was handed down, 13 months
6	correct. The suspension of the process is not the	6	elapsed approximately I remember, from the time the
7	same as the suspension of a hearing, of an evidentiary	7	first claim was brought until the ruling was handed
8	hearing. This was during the 30-day evidentiary	8	down.
9	period.	9	ARBITRATOR CRAWFORD: Taking the 15 or 16
10	Just to give you an example, today this a	10	cases that are part of the Guatemalan legal
10	witness testimony hearing. For example, my own. If	11	experience, did any of those other cases involve
12	my statement were to be suspended, that doesn't mean	12	contracts?
12	that other witnesses cannot present their statement if	12	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yeah, they were related
13	the Tribunal wanted so.	13	to contracts that were entered into, yes, that is
			-
15	This was the suspension of one hearing, not	15	correct.
16	the process. The process did not stop and was not	16	This is one of the issues that we discussed.
17	stopped. This was the suspension of one hearing only.	17	There is very little regulation in Guatemala in
18	Yes, it did. Correct.	18	connection with this legal concept. It is a bit
19	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Orta, we are out of	19	ambiguous or obscure, this concept of lesividad, and I
20	time.	20	have discussed this with my students in class and
21	MR. ORTA: Well, that's fortuitous because I	21	during research. There is no legislative technique
22	have no more questions.	22	where legislators created this notion of lesividad.
	372		374
12:10:55 1	PRESIDENT RIGO: That's excellent. So very	12:14:08 1	Well, they did not provide standards. They did not
2	well timed.	2	provide the requirements for submitting lesividad:
3	QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL	3	Why should lesividad be brought? What are the steps
4	MR. CRAWFORD: Can I ask: How common is the	4	necessary for the President to issue lesividad?
5	Lesividad Procedure in administrative matters in	5	This is unregulated.
6	Guatemala?	6	There is only one Article that deals with
7	THE WITNESS: In actuality, it is not very	7	lesividad. It's only eight sentences long, and the
8	common. I've been able to conduct an investigation to	8	Article clearly states that the President may declare
9	look at information, and we have about 15 or 16	9	lesividad of acts or resolutions. At no time does it
10	lesividad processes, so it's not very common.	10	mention contracts.
10	The common thing is that no ruling is ever	11	However, this kind of decisions have been
12	made. No final judgment is ever obtained. Only in a	12	left in the hands of the President. And I think,
12	couple, maybe, a rulinga final ruling was obtained.	13	personally, that this violates the rights of the
13	ARBITRATOR CRAWFORD: You say 15 or 16. Do	13	Parties to a contract, because there is no legal
14	you mean in your experience or in Guatemalan	14	certainty for the investorfor the investor's rights
15	experience?	15	to be respected.
10	-	10	-
	THE WITNESS: In experience of the country of		If there is a change in the administration,
18	Guatemala in general, so approximately that's the	18	the President, because of a decision that is made or
19	number.	19	because his advisers tell him so, declares lesividad,
20	ARBITRATOR CRAWFORD: You say that there were	20	and then the investor is left defenseless.
21	only final judgments in a few of those cases. How	21	ARBITRATOR CRAWFORD: Has your academic work
22	long did those final judgments take to be given?	22	in relation to lesividad procedure extended to any

		1	
	375		377
12:15:36 1	comparative work with other Central American	12:18:43 1	Can you tell us, in your review, were there
2	jurisdictions?	2	any final judgments which overturned the lesividad
3	THE WITNESS: No. I don't think any	3	decision by the President of Guatemala? That is to
4	comparison could be drawn. No comparative law can be	4	say, the final judgments, did they affirm or were
5	established here because there are no standards.	5	there any that overturned and overruled a lesividad
6	There are no requirements to bring forth lesividad.	6	finding?
7	ARBITRATOR CRAWFORD: In other words, as far	7	THE WITNESS: Yes. Coincidentally, the
8	as you know, there is no equivalent to the Guatemalan	8	ruling that I was talking aboutthe judgment that I
9	lesividad procedure in other Central American	9	was talking about denied lesividad.
10	jurisdictions?	10	We have to take into account that this
11	THE WITNESS: That is correct. At least as	11	involved two State agencies, two Government agencies,
12	far as I've been able to see, that is the case.	12	and this was solved during the time established by
13	I also wanted to add that the Court has	13	law, the timeline established by law, 13 months, and I
14	handed down rulings to try and cure the deficiency,	14	mentioned this.
15	and the Constitutional court has said that even though	15	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Other than that case,
16	the President of the Republic, via an Executive	16	was there any other case in which lesividad was
17	Resolution in Cabinet, can declare lesividad,	17	overturned?
18	lesividad can only be declared related to acts that	18	THE WITNESS: Just in that one case, as far
19	were done by the Executive Branch. Because perhaps we	19	as I can recall right now.
20	can find the President that declares lesivo acts	20	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You were asked also
21	issued out the legislative branch, such as a law, or	21	about theby Professor Crawford about the time frame
22	the President may declare lesivo a judgment.	22	involved.
	376		378
12:17:14 1		12:20:13 1	
12:17:14 1	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique,	12:20:13 1	Just in terms was your experience with
I .	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court	2	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts
2	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique,	2	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish.
23	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and	23	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a
2 3 4	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch.	23	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it
2 3 4 5	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract,	23	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal?
2 3 4 5	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch.	23	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it
2 3 4 5 6 7	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA.	23	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow	23	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew about other lesividad processes in Central America.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not normal. It is important to state that in the Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew about other lesividad processes in Central America. We've been told in some of the briefing materials that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not normal. It is important to state that in the Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew about other lesividad processes in Central America. We've been told in some of the briefing materials that there is a similar procedure of lesividad in other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not normal. It is important to state that in the Court where the proceedings were broughtwell, if you go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew about other lesividad processes in Central America. We've been told in some of the briefing materials that there is a similar procedure of lesividad in other countries, Spain and others.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not normal. It is important to state that in the Court where the proceedings were broughtwell, if you go to this Court and you ask for this process,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew about other lesividad processes in Central America. We've been told in some of the briefing materials that there is a similar procedure of lesividad in other countries, Spain and others. Are you aware of other countries? And might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not normal. It is important to state that in the Court where the proceedings were broughtwell, if you go to this Court and you ask for this process, 389-20062006 is the year the claim was brought.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew about other lesividad processes in Central America. We've been told in some of the briefing materials that there is a similar procedure of lesividad in other countries, Spain and others. Are you aware of other countries? And might you compare, if you are, their lesividad process with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not normal. It is important to state that in the Court where the proceedings were broughtwell, if you go to this Court and you ask for this process, 389-20062006 is the year the claim was brought. So you have cases in 2006; right? And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew about other lesividad processes in Central America. We've been told in some of the briefing materials that there is a similar procedure of lesividad in other countries, Spain and others. Are you aware of other countries? And might you compare, if you are, their lesividad process with the one in Guatemala?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not normal. It is important to state that in the Court where the proceedings were broughtwell, if you go to this Court and you ask for this process, 389-20062006 is the year the claim was brought. So you have cases in 2006; right? And there's no judgment. The others have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew about other lesividad processes in Central America. We've been told in some of the briefing materials that there is a similar procedure of lesividad in other countries, Spain and others. Are you aware of other countries? And might you compare, if you are, their lesividad process with the one in Guatemala? THE WITNESS: I do not have knowledge of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not normal. It is important to state that in the Court where the proceedings were broughtwell, if you go to this Court and you ask for this process, 389-20062006 is the year the claim was brought. So you have cases in 2006; right? And there's no judgment. The others have. So it is not common to have this undue delay
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew about other lesividad processes in Central America. We've been told in some of the briefing materials that there is a similar procedure of lesividad in other countries, Spain and others. Are you aware of other countries? And might you compare, if you are, their lesividad process with the one in Guatemala? THE WITNESS: I do not have knowledge of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not normal. It is important to state that in the Court where the proceedings were broughtwell, if you go to this Court and you ask for this process, 389-20062006 is the year the claim was brought. So you have cases in 2006; right? And there's no judgment. The others have. So it is not common to have this undue delay such a long time. That's notthat's not common.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So, from the viewpoint of legal technique, that is not possible. So, the Constitutional court has stepped in and said lesividad can only be declared in connection with the resolution or an act if and only if this is an act of the Executive Branch. This was not the case. This was a contract, and this was an autonomous agency such as FEGUA. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: If I may just follow up on Professor Crawford's points. First, he asked you about whether you knew about other lesividad processes in Central America. We've been told in some of the briefing materials that there is a similar procedure of lesividad in other countries, Spain and others. Are you aware of other countries? And might you compare, if you are, their lesividad process with the one in Guatemala? THE WITNESS: I do not have knowledge of that. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned the 15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Just in terms was your experience with administrative law, I mean, we have our own courts that don't always act as promptly as we might wish. The time involved here, four or five years, is this a typical time delay from the time of a filing? Is it longer than normal? What is your experience as a Professor in terms of examining this for your students in your course? THE WITNESS: That is correct, it is not normal. It is important to state that in the Court where the proceedings were broughtwell, if you go to this Court and you ask for this process, 389-20062006 is the year the claim was brought. So you have cases in 2006; right? And there's no judgment. The others have. So it is not common to have this undue delay such a long time. That's notthat's not common. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: But this is an active

	379		381
12:21:35 1	statement of the Parties. So it hasn't been entirely	12:24:51 1	so this agency needs no authorizations from the
2	dormant.	2	President. There are judgments from the
3	Has anything happened since that request in	3	Constitutional court in that regard.
4	2010 for a statement? What do you understand the	4	This is what we put forth in the
5	status of this matter?	5	Constitutional case that we brought in order to end
6	THE WITNESS: With all due respect, I have to	6	this. That is why we went to that court before going
7	say that the inactivity by the Court has been evident.	7	to the Administrative Court, because it's a much
8	You make reference to 2010, but just to give	8	faster way to do things. We felt that the Court
9	you an example, there was an evidentiary hearing in	9	should take these things into account.
10	2010 and also other hearings in May 2010, but the case	10	It is not that the Court hasn't taken this
11	was brought in '06, so to get to those proceedings in	11	into account; it is not that it denied the amparo
12	2010, a long time elapsed.	12	proceedings just because. The Court sayssaid that
13	The last submission was May 2010, which was	13	the certain proceedings were necessary first.
13	just a hearing. No decision has been made to date.	13	In the Government procurement law, which is
	5		-
15	Guatemalan Law clearly established that courts cannot	15	the specific law that regulates all these contracts,
16	deny the administration of justice.	16	there is no article that says that the President of
17	So, the judge has 15 days to hand down the	17	the Republic is the one that needs to sign an
18	judgment, and 13 months have elapsed now. There is	18	Executive Resolution or authorize a contract by an
19	nothing impeding the Tribunal to hand down a judgment.	19	independent agency, so the answer is no.
20	I guess there, perhaps, what I understand is	20	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: I'll ask a question
21	that they're waiting for this case to be resolved.	21	just from, again, your background in teaching
22	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Were you involved at	22	administrative law.
	380		382
12:23:11 1	5 5 5 1	12:26:13 1	One of the issues here is whether or not th
2	of 143 and 158; that is, the absence of a public bid	2	Lesivo Declaration was, in effect, a final declarati
3	and the absence of executive approval?	3	that affected rights or whether or not it was simply
Λ			enae arrested rights or wheeher or not it was simply
T	Were those issues that you were involved in	4	step in the process, and that only when the Court
5	Were those issues that you were involved in in any way?	4 5	step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality.
5 6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 5 6	step in the process, and that only when the Court
5 6 7	in any way?	4 5 6 7	step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law
∓ 5 7 8	in any way? THE WITNESS: No, no. No way was I involved	4 5 6 7 8	step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law
4 5 6 7 8 9	in any way? THE WITNESS: No, no. No way was I involved in that. Not at that time. I was not a member of the	5 6 7	step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective
5 6 7 8 9 10	in any way? THE WITNESS: No, no. No way was I involved in that. Not at that time. I was not a member of the law firm. I did not participate, no.	5 6 7 8	step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective
	in any way? THE WITNESS: No, no. No way was I involved in that. Not at that time. I was not a member of the law firm. I did not participate, no. ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: And you would have no	5 6 7 8 9	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it?</pre>
10	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is this </pre>
10 11 12	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is this something that you address in your administrative law </pre>
10 11 12 13	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is thi something that you address in your administrative law cases courses, excuse me?</pre>
10 11 12 13 14	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is thi something that you address in your administrative la cases courses, excuse me? THE WITNESS: Right. In connection with th </pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is thi something that you address in your administrative la cases courses, excuse me? THE WITNESS: Right. In connection with th first question where this is a previous step, yes, i </pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is thi something that you address in your administrative la cases courses, excuse me? THE WITNESS: Right. In connection with th first question where this is a previous step, yes, i is a previous step because that is what the law</pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is thi something that you address in your administrative la cases courses, excuse me? THE WITNESS: Right. In connection with th first question where this is a previous step, yes, i is a previous step because that is what the law provides. And the law then states that the </pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is thi something that you address in your administrative la cases courses, excuse me? THE WITNESS: Right. In connection with th first question where this is a previous step, yes, i is a previous step because that is what the law provides. And the law then states that the administrative proceeding should start.</pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is thi something that you address in your administrative la cases courses, excuse me? THE WITNESS: Right. In connection with th first question where this is a previous step, yes, i is a previous step because that is what the law provides. And the law then states that the administrative proceeding should start. But I wanted to be clear about this. I wanted </pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is thi something that you address in your administrative la cases courses, excuse me? THE WITNESS: Right. In connection with th first question where this is a previous step, yes, i is a previous step because that is what the law provides. And the law then states that the administrative proceeding should start. But I wanted to be clear about this. I wan to be clear about this, because this has been the </pre>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>in any way?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>step in the process, and that only when the Court makes a ruling could there be a finality. From your experience in administrative law with respect to Lesivo Declarations, do you have any judgment on that? What effis there effective judicial review such that this is only a step in the process? Is there a finality to it? Or, again, if you have any judgment, is thi something that you address in your administrative la cases courses, excuse me? THE WITNESS: Right. In connection with th first question where this is a previous step, yes, i is a previous step because that is what the law provides. And the law then states that the administrative proceeding should start. But I wanted to be clear about this. I wanted </pre>

		1	
	383		385
12:27:38 1	Article 19 of the Administrative Law	12:30:27 1	MR. STERN: No questions. Thanks.
2	establishes the different cases in which	2	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Orta?
3	administrative proceeding may be brought. The last	3	MR. ORTA: Just a few, Mr. Chairman.
4	paragraph of that Article states clearly that the	4	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	proceedings will move forward if the acts or	5	BY MR. ORTA:
6	resolutions were not remedied by administrative	6	Q. Mr. Franco, you were asked some questions by
7	proceedings.	7	Mr. Eizenstein about filings thatEizenstat, excuse
8	So, in order to go to the Administrative	8	me, I apologize, by Mr. Eizenstat about the filings
9	Court, the person had to first look at the different	9	that have been made in 2010.
10	remedies set forth by the law. And this is opposed to	10	He asked you whether there were any activity
11	Article 9 of this law, and I think it would be a good	11	after the hearings that took place in 2010. Do you
12	idea for us to see that law, for me to show you the	12	recall that?
13	law, so you see this contradiction very clearly.	13	A. Yes, that's right.
14	Article 9 says that when there are	13	After the hearing held in May of 2002, there
14	resolutions handed down by the President and the	14	were two requests from the Office of the Attorney
	•		
16	Vice President of the Republic, no remedy can be	16 17	General to issue judgment. I should clarify that that is not even
17	brought.		•
18	Article 19 says that in order to go to the	18	necessary. The law says that once the Hearing has
19	Administrative Court, you should have exhausted all	19	been held, the Court will have 15 days to hand down a
20	administrative proceedings. But Article 9 says that	20	judgment. And those petitions to which he makes
21	no remedies can be brought against the decisions made	21	reference are two petitions, if I'm not mistaken, that
22	by the President.	22	were presented by the Office of the Attorney General
	384		386
12:28:54 1	And then Article 19(2) says thatwell, if	12:31:58 1	
2	those remedies were not necessary, well then, why?	2	Q. Thank you.
3	Because an administrative agency is not going to bring	3	In relation to that point, as you just
4	a remedy against a resolution issued by the agency	4	testified to, the Attorney General has twice filed
5	itself; right?	5	motions before the Administrative Court asking the
6	So, there are no legal standards that says	6	Administrative Court to issue a final ruling; correct?
7	how things are done.	7	A. Correct.
8	First, we need to define what is lesividad.	, 8	Q. And one of those requests was made in June of
9	Second, we need to decide why lesividad takes place	q	2011; correct?
10	and what are the necessary requirements that need to	10	A. Correct. More or lesswell, I understand
10	be met for the President to declare lesividad.	10	more or less that that's right, but from memory, I
11	And to clarify that if we have, for example,		can't tell you the date, but approximately yes.
12	•	12 13	
	contracts by the executive body, if it's an independent accord, perhaps the manager of that accord.		
14	independent agency, perhaps the manager of that agency	14	A. Yes, yes, that's correct.
15	or the board of that agency or the Overseer will come	15	Q. Ferrovías has not filed any requests with the
16	into play. There are all these deficiencies.	16	Court asking it to issue a final judgment, has it?
17	There are no legal standard to declare	17	A. Yes, orally, yes. Particularly, I have done
18	lesividad. It is a discretionary act that is left up	18	so before the Court because, as I repeat, it is not
19	to the President.	19	necessary based on the principle of the notion that
20	PRESIDENT RIGO: All right. The Tribunal has	20	the judge knows the law; the judge knows that once the
21	no more questions.	21	Hearing is completed, he has to proceed to hand down a
22	Mr. Stern, do you have any questions?	22	judgment. There doesn't need to be any written

	387		389
12:33:15 1	petition for the judgment to be handed down when that	12:35:22 1	Q. You don't know who gave him the instruction?
2	is the act that brings me into the proceeding.	2	A. No, I don't.
3	Q. But you have not submitted a written request,	3	Q. In relation to your testimony thatin
4	have you? A written request to the Court asking it to	4	response to questions by Professor Crawford
5	issue a final judgment?	5	MR. ORTA: If we could put up the chart, the
6	A. Written? No, but I have gone personally	6	exhibit, R-331. No, no, sorry. It's this chart.
7	before the Court to ask that they hand down the	7	So we are putting up on the screenit's a
8	judgment. Indeed, the last time I went, which was in	8	chart that's in Paragraph 300 of the Reply on the
9	mid-October, they told meand I thought that was	9	Meritsis it the Reply or the Rejoinder?
10	quite unusual I went there, I asked for the file,	10	Their Reply. Okay. Sorry. This is in their
10	file 389 of 2006, and they say, "No, sir," the	10	Reply. This is a submission filed by Ferrovías in
	official said. "No, the judgment was already handed	11	this case.
12			
13	down in that proceeding."	13	I'm sorry, Paragraph 300.
14	And I said, "No, that's not possible."	14	PRESIDENT RIGO: The document?
15	"Well, at this Tribunal, we don't have any	15	MR. ORTA: Yes. I'm sorry. The Reply
16	proceeding of that date on which a judgment has not	16	Memorial filed by the Claimant in this case, and it's
17	been handed down. No. Which one is it?"	17	Paragraph 300 of that document, Page 153.
18	"No," I said. "This is a lesividad	18	MR. STERN: Excuse, me. Is he showing the
19	proceeding."	19	witness the Reply brief to ask him questions about?
20	And he says, "Oh, Yes, yes, yes. The thing	20	Is that what you're
21	is, as regards to that proceedingon that proceeding,	21	MR. ORTA: Yes. That's precisely what I'm
22	there is international arbitration."	22	doing.
	200		200
12:34:25 1	388 "Yes, that's correct. But the international"	12:36:51 1	390 MR. STERN: All right. The Reply brief is in
.			English. He can't read this document.
2	arbitration has absolutely nothing to do, nor does it	2	5
3	stand in the way at all, of there being a ruling and a	3	MR. ORTA: I'm going to ask him questions
4	judgment in this proceeding."	4	about the chart, which has numbers in it. He should
5	"Yes, but that's the instruction we have.	5	be able to read that, I would think. If he can't,
6	Until the arbitration is resolved, no judgment is	6	then he can just tell me so.
7	going to be handed down."	7	BY MR. ORTA:
8	That is what they told me.	8	Q. Well, it's up on the screen, sir, so that can
9	Q. And who said this to you?	9	you see the chart.
10	A. The officialthe official in charge of the	10	This is a chart that was prepared by counsel,
11	proceeding.	11	and it was apparently taken from an opinion that was
12	Q. Who was that?	12	filed in this case by Dr. Mayora.
13	A. If I'm not mistaken, the name is William	13	Now, in this chart, if you can just follow
14	Rivera. He's the official in charge of the	14	with me for a second, the first case was filedthese
15	proceeding.	15	areaccording to Dr. Mayora, these are cases, lesivo
16	Q. Who gave him the instructions that you're	16	cases that were filed and have been filed in the
17	testifying to about today?	17	Republic of Guatemala. Okay?
18	A. Which instruction? To the official or to me?	18	Number 1 is a case that, according to
19	Q. The official, the one that you just testified	19	Dr. Mayora, was filed in 1991.
		20	MR. STERN: I'm going to object this line of
20	about.	20	THE DIDING I IN OUTING CO ODICCC CHID IIIC OF
20		20	
21	A. He said that that was the instruction that		questioning. It's not a chart he prepared. It's in
		21	

	391		393
12:38:27 1	1 1	1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	I mean, where are we going with this?	2	PRESIDENT RIGO: Good afternoon. Before
3	MR. ORTA: Yeah, so just to answer the	3	starting with the examination of the witness, I just
4	question that counsel just raised, this witness told	4	would like to confirm changes that we have made to the
5	Professor Crawford that it was quite odd that a	5	schedule that was in the Procedural Order and that,
6	proceeding would last four yearsa proceeding of this	6	for the record, we are not going to have a session on
7	type would last four years, and this chart, prepared	7	Saturday. We are going to have a session on Sunday
8	by their expert, directly contradicts that statement.	8	from 2:00 to 6:00. And next week, we will have an
9	So the questions were going to go to that	9	extra hour until 6:00 every day, so you will be from
10	issue, becausesince he's holding himself out as	10	9:00 to 6:00. As of today, we will have from now to
11	somebody who happens to know about lesivo proceedings,	11	just before 5:00.
12	apparently he's not aware of how long they last in	12	So having said that
13	Guatemala.	13	MR. ORTA: I'm sorry, there is one very quick
14	PRESIDENT RIGO: I will suggest, given that	14	preliminary matter that I want to at least raise now.
15	the witness doesn't understand, really, the language,	15	It doesn't have to be decided now. I realize we're
16	that you address that issueand it is an issue that	16	short on time today, but during the Opening Statement
17	has come out as part of questions raised by the	17	by the Claimant, they made reference to the fact that
18	Tribunalthat you address that issue in the final	18	there was a damages model that theyat least as I
19	submission or the closing remarks at the end of the	19	understood it, said they would be providing to the
20	Hearing.	20	Tribunal. If that's, in fact, what their intention
21	MR. ORTA: Very good. We will do that.	21	is, I'd just like to have that clarified, because
22	In terms of questions that were posed to this	22	that's the first we hear of that. That would be, from
	392		394
12:39:42 1		02:06:25 1	
2	tendered as an expert on the lesivo process. He did	2	know, at a minimumat a minimumand we haven't
3	mention today, and we heard for the first time today,	3	determined what our position is, we would certainly
4	that he's taught some courses on that.	4	want our expert to be able to look at the model and
5	Wewe're not prepared to cross-examine him	5	determine whether it's something that we think is
6	on the opinions he gave, and so we would just let the	6	appropriate or not for the Tribunal to have.
1	Tribunal know that we don't accept his opinions and we	1	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Foster.
8	don't think that they ought to be taken in	8	MR. FOSTER: You already have it. It's the
9	consideration because we do have experts on those	9	damages model that was provided to you with
10	issues.	10	Mr. Thompson's testimony, electronically. You have
11	And by doing that, we'll save a ton of time	11	it.
12	in terms of questioning. And I think, with that, I	12	MR. ORTA: Okay. Very good. We will
13	have no further questions.	13	determinebut you do intend to have thatask the Tribunal to work with that model in some way.
14	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you. And thank you.	14	TITUUHAT CO WOLK WICH CHAC MODEL TH SOME WAY.
1 1 1	Thank you yory much Mr. France Vou may	10	MD EACTED. I think the Tribural almoster has
15	Thank you very much, Mr. Franco. You may	15	MR. FOSTER: I think the Tribunal already has
16	stand down.	16	the damages model. And all I was saying in Opening
16 17	stand down. THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.	16 17	the damages model. And all I was saying in Opening Statements is they will be free to manipulate it any
16 17 18	stand down. THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT RIGO: We'll reconvene here at	16 17 18	the damages model. And all I was saying in Opening Statements is they will be free to manipulate it any way they want to.
16 17 18 19	stand down. THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT RIGO: We'll reconvene here at 2 o'clock off that clock, which isruns late, but	16 17 18 19	the damages model. And all I was saying in Opening Statements is they will be free to manipulate it any way they want to. MR. ORTA: And you said it's Mr. Thompson's
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>stand down. THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT RIGO: We'll reconvene here at 2 o'clock off that clock, which isruns late, but it's the one that everybody sees. So thank you.</pre>	16 17 18 19 20	the damages model. And all I was saying in Opening Statements is they will be free to manipulate it any way they want to. MR. ORTA: And you said it's Mr. Thompson's model.
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>stand down. THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT RIGO: We'll reconvene here at 2 o'clock off that clock, which isruns late, but it's the one that everybody sees. So thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., the Hearing was</pre>	16 17 18 19 20 21	the damages model. And all I was saying in Opening Statements is they will be free to manipulate it any way they want to. MR. ORTA: And you said it's Mr. Thompson's model. MR. FOSTER: That's correct.
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>stand down. THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT RIGO: We'll reconvene here at 2 o'clock off that clock, which isruns late, but it's the one that everybody sees. So thank you.</pre>	16 17 18 19 20	the damages model. And all I was saying in Opening Statements is they will be free to manipulate it any way they want to. MR. ORTA: And you said it's Mr. Thompson's model.

	395		397
02:07:20 1	from the fact that I thought we heard you say	02:09:45 1	Commission that was being created in January, and the
2	yesterday that it was a model by Mr. Pratt.	2	first meeting was held on 11, 5, 2005, and starting
3	MR. FOSTER: The model by Mr. Pratt was the	3	then, I chaired the meeting up to June.
4	WACC model that we put on thethat we put on the	4	Q. What was the name of the Vice-Minister of
5	board, which you also have.	5	Housing who appointed you?
6	MR. ORTA: I'm sorry. Which is it that you	6	A. José Luís Gándara.
7	intend to give the Tribunal, just so we understand, so	7	Q. When did these Commission meetings take
8	we can	8	place? What was the time frame in which they took
9	MR. FOSTER: Both. They already have them.	9	place?
10	MR. ORTA: Okay. All right. Thank you.	10	A. The first session was held on January 11, and
11	We'll consider the issue and if there's something to	11	the last one was on May 31, 2005.
12	be said, we'll raise it before the Tribunal later.	12	Q. Why was this Commission organized by the
13	Thank you.	13	Government?
14	HECTOR VALENZUELA, CLAIMANT'S WITNESS, CALLED	14	A. The information I was givenrather, the
15	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Valenzuela, good	15	instructions to be able to create this Commission
16	afternoon. You have a statement in front of you.	16	mentioned that there were some plans to have the
17	Could you please read it?	17	railway work in the South Coast from Santa Lucía
18	THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my	18	Cotzumalguapa up to the San José port, going through
19	honor and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the	19	Escuintla, that is the main department city, so that
20	whole truth, and nothing but the truth.	20	the railway would be working in that area in
21	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you very much.	21	particular.
22	Mr. Stern.	22	Q. And for what purpose was the Commission
	396		398
02:08:19 1	MR. STERN: Thank you, Mr. President.	02:11:35 1	
2	Before I ask Mr. Valenzuela questions, I	2	South Coast Railway?
3	would state that he is one of the witnesses that the	3	A. Basically, information I received and was
4	Respondent did not list as one of the witnesses	4	later verified, the sugar group had the intention of
5	intended to cross-examine.	5	having the railroad operate starting in an area that
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION	6	they called Ciudad del Sur, located in Santa Lucía
3 7	BY MR. STERN:	7	Cotzumalguapa, and that was going to be used to
, 8	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Valenzuela.	8	transport the production from the south to the San
9	Mr. Valenzuela, do you have in front of you a copy of	9	José port.
10	the statement you have submitted in this arbitration,	10	Q. Was the issue of removal of squatters one of
10	dated March 11, 2011?	11	the issues that the Commission was asked to address?
11	A. Yes, I have it here.	11	A. The Commission was created only with the
12	Q. And do you ratify that Statement and affirm	12	purpose of carrying out the process to move all of th
13	its truthfulness before the Tribunal?	13	families that were invading that area of the railway.
14	A. Yes, I totally ratify it.	14	Q. Do you recall Mr. Héctor Pinto participating
15		-	in some of the Railroad Commission meetings?
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16 17	A. I remember that Mr. Pinto attended the secon
17 10	work of the Railroad Commission which was organized by		
18	the Government of Guatemala in January, 2005?	18	meeting. The second meeting was held on January 20,
19	A. Yes, I am aware of them.	19	and the day before that Commissionthat meeting, the
20	Q. How are you familiar with that Commission?	20	Vice-Minister called me to let me know that Mr. Pinto
21	A. In January, 2005, the Vice-Minister of	21	would be attending. As part of my procedure, I
22	Housing asked me to acceptto be the Secretary of the	22	drafted the agenda and included the List of
		1	

00 10 40 1	399	00 15 00 1	401
02:13:43 1	Participants to have them sign that list as having	02:17:08 1	-
2	participated in the meeting, and the same day, on the	2	Q. Okay. Now, could you take your notebook
3	same day, everything was translated or was transcribed	3	right there and turn to the letter that is there,
4	and everyone received a copy, all the participants at	4	Exhibit R-189. Do you see that letter?
5	the meeting, that is.	5	A. Yes.
6	And Mr. Pinto attended the second meeting	6	Q. Okay. And this is Exhibit R-189. Now, is
7	held on January 20. He arrived to the meeting,	7	this the that letter you described a little while ago
8	presented a personal card from Corporacion Manatí, and	8	from Mr. Pinto in which he informed you he would no
9	explained that his presence was because they were	9	longer be attending the Railroad Commission meetings?
10	representing the sugar group. That was it. That's	10	A. Yes. This is the letter, and that is the
11	all that was said during the meeting. And he	11	sticker with Mr. Pinto's handwriting where he
12	participated in that meeting.	12	indicated to me to please destroy the communication.
13	Q. How many other meetings do you recall	13	Q. And did you comply with Mr. Pinto's request
14	Mr. Pinto participating in besides the one you just	14	to destroy the communication?
15	described?	15	A. I did not, because he had participated for
16	A. He attended most of the meetings. They were	16	almost five-and-a-half months, therefore, this was
17	held one week apart or two weeks apart, and he stopped	17	just another piece of the process. It was another
18	attending after April 13. That was the date when he	18	piece of what we were doing.
19	sent a letter to the Vice-Minister indicating that he	19	Q. Did Mr. Pinto attend any meetings of the
20	was no longer going to attend. He sent a letter, and	20	Railroad Commission after you received this letter?
21	the Vice-Minister sent me a copy of that letter. As	21	A. No, he did not. The last one was the one
22	part of the copy, there was a sticker that was	22	held before April 13, and he did not attend any other
			1 / 1
	400		402
02:15:35 1	handwritten by Mr. Pinto where he was requesting me to	02:19:01 1	meetings afterwards.
2	read the letter and destroy it. And professionally, I		Q. After receiving Mr. Pinto's letter, did the
3	couldn't do that because he had not attended all the	3	Commission continue to meet?
4	meetings. So I sent thatI filed it so that the	4	A. Yes. The Commission continued to meet until
5	letter would remain on file.	5	May 31.
6	Q. Okay. And we'll get to that letter in just a	6	0. Was the Railroad Commission ever successful
7	moment. Let me just ask you a couple more questions.	7	in coming up with and implementing a plan to remove
8	Did Mr. Pinto, at these meetings he attended,	8	and relocate squatters from the South Coast?
9	did he indicate that he was there on behalf of a real	9	A. All the work that we conducted throughout si
10	estate development named Ciudad del Sur?	10	months was based on project minutes, but we did not
10	A. Yes, that's what he always said.	10	implement any plan. We had minutes to be able to have
11	Q. And was it your understanding that Mr. Pinto	11	that plan implemented. The plan never worked.
12	represented interests that werethat thought that	12	Everything was just left on paper.
13	they could benefit from the reopening of the South	13	0. Did the Commission fail because Ferrovías ha
14 15	Coast Railway?	14	indicated that it did not have sufficient financing of
	-		•
16	A. He said that he was representing the sugar	16	investment lined up to rehabilitate the South Coast?
17	group, sugar producers group. He did not specify the	17	A. The Commission never dealt with the economic
18	sugar mill or anything. He just said the sugar	18	feasibility of the project. The Commission was
19	producers group. That was the reason why he was	19	intended to develop a plan to remove the families tha
20	there, and he also said that it wasthat it would be	20	were in the area of the railway. I know that at no
21	beneficial to them to have the railway working in the	21	point, no observations werethere were no
22	South Coast so that the sugar could be sent to the	22	observations at no point, whether there were financial

	403		405
02:20:52 1	means to develop the railway because this was beyond	02:23:17 1	You do have personal knowledge about the fact
2	the scope of action of the Commission.	2	that it was the Government of Guatemala that came up
3	Q. Thank you, Mr. Valenzuela. I have no further	3	with and assembled the Commission that you chaired;
4	questions. You may now answer questions from	4	correct?
5	Guatemala's counsel.	5	A. Yes. I have no doubts about it, because it
6	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you. Mr. Salinas.	6	was the responsibility of the Government. And as an
7	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Thank you,	7	official of the Ministry, the Commission was assembled
8	Mr. President.	8	for the Government to conduct their work. And in this
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION	9	case, it was under the representation of FEGUA.
10	BY MR. SALINAS-SERRANO:	10	Q. Apologies if you see me pausing after each
11	Q. Mr. Valenzuela, good afternoon. My name is	11	question, but there's a translation going on, so I
12	Daniel Salinas, and I'll be asking you some questions	12	have to wait until the questionthe translation
13	on behalf of the Republic of Guatemala.	13	finishes.
14	Mr. Valenzuela, you were asked about the	14	You also mentioned in response to questions
15	genesis of the Commission that you chaired. Remember	15	by Mr. Stern that the Commission's only purpose was to
15	those questions from Mr. Stern?	15	assemble or put in place a plan to remove the families
17	A. Yes, I do remember that.	10	that were occupying the right of way. I believe the
18	Q. The Commission was the Government's idea;	18	words you used in Spanish were "única y
19	correct?	10	exclusivamente."
20	A. That is correct.	20	Do you remember that?
20	Q. The Government assembled it and put it in	20	A. Yes. The Commission was assembled with only
21	place; correct?	21	one objective: To create the process to be able to
44		22	one objective. To create the process to be abre to
	404		
			406
02:21:57 1	A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes,	02:24:45 1	remove the families that were occupying the right of
2	A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of	02:24:45 1 2	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings
2	A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala.	2 3	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a
2 3 4	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the 	02:24:45 1 2 3 4	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything
2 3 4 5	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from 	2 3 4 5	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six
2 3 4	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of 	2 3	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about 	2 3 4 5	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not aware of because that was not part of our work. Our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of squatters?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not aware of because that was not part of our work. Our work was to organize the Commission, to have all the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of squatters? A. Yes. That was the sole objective. There was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not aware of because that was not part of our work. Our work was to organize the Commission, to have all the structures to remove the squatters from the railway, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of squatters? A. Yes. That was the sole objective. There was no other objective, no other goal, that is to say, to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not aware of because that was not part of our work. Our work was to organize the Commission, to have all the structures to remove the squatters from the railway, and that was the commitment of the Government of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of squatters? A. Yes. That was the sole objective. There was no other objective, no other goal, that is to say, to have those families removed so that Ferrovias could</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not aware of because that was not part of our work. Our work was to organize the Commission, to have all the structures to remove the squatters from the railway, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of squatters? A. Yes. That was the sole objective. There was no other objective, no other goal, that is to say, to have those families removed so that Ferrovías could have the train operate along that line. Otherwise, it</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not aware of because that was not part of our work. Our work was to organize the Commission, to have all the structures to remove the squatters from the railway, and that was the commitment of the Government of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of squatters? A. Yes. That was the sole objective. There was no other objective, no other goal, that is to say, to have those families removed so that Ferrovias could</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not aware of because that was not part of our work. Our work was to organize the Commission, to have all the structures to remove the squatters from the railway, and that was the commitment of the Government of Guatemala. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of squatters? A. Yes. That was the sole objective. There was no other objective, no other goal, that is to say, to have those families removed so that Ferrovías could have the train operate along that line. Otherwise, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not aware of because that was not part of our work. Our work was to organize the Commission, to have all the structures to remove the squatters from the railway, and that was the commitment of the Government of Guatemala. Q. But you do have personal knowledge about the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of squatters? A. Yes. That was the sole objective. There was no other objective, no other goal, that is to say, to have those families removed so that Ferrovías could have the train operate along that line. Otherwise, it was impossible to work in that area because the train
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not aware of because that was not part of our work. Our work was to organize the Commission, to have all the structures to remove the squatters from the railway, and that was the commitment of the Government of Guatemala. Q. But you do have personal knowledge about the Commission being formed by the Government of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of squatters? A. Yes. That was the sole objective. There was no other objective, no other goal, that is to say, to have those families removed so that Ferrovías could have the train operate along that line. Otherwise, it was impossible to work in that area because the train could not run over the people.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Are you referring to the Commission? Yes, the Commission was assembled by the Government of Guatemala. Q. Are you aware, sir, that prior to the formation of the Committee, representatives from Ferrovías had written to the Vice-Minister of Communications complaining, among other issues, about the issue of squatters being present on the right of way? A. No, the only information I have is whatever was done starting on January 11, 2005. Any document or any information prior to that is something I am not aware of because that was not part of our work. Our work was to organize the Commission, to have all the structures to remove the squatters from the railway, and that was the commitment of the Government of Guatemala. Q. But you do have personal knowledge about the Commission being formed by the Government of Guatemala; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>remove the families that were occupying the right of way. That was the reason, and all the meetings heldhad the same goal. That is to say, to create a plan and also to have that plan work, but everything was done except for having that plan work. We had six months for planning that remained on paper, but nothing was done because there was no authorization by the Government for that plan to be implemented because of the cost involved. Q. Now, is it your testimony, sir, that the only issues that were discussed during these Commission meetings were issues related to the removal of squatters? A. Yes. That was the sole objective. There was no other objective, no other goal, that is to say, to have those families removed so that Ferrovías could have the train operate along that line. Otherwise, it was impossible to work in that area because the train could not run over the people. Q. So there were no discussions</pre>

	407		409
02:26:29 1	the one held on January 11. The people from Ferrovías	02:29:25 1	Q. Now, sir, you mentioned that before and after
2	and FEGUA were trying to address contract issues, but	2	every meeting of the Commission, you prepared an
3	I was not even informed of that. So during the	3	agenda and then minutes of that meeting. Do you
4	session, an agreement was reached with the	4	remember that testimony to Mr. Stern's questions?
5	Vice-Minister so that any legal issues or any	5	A. If I prepared each of the documents that I
6	differences between FEGUA and Ferrovías would not be	6	sent after the meeting? Is that the question?
7	dealt with at the table, because the Commission was	7	Q. That's okay, sir. Don't worry about it.
8	only intended to remove the squatters from their right	8	My question was whether you recall telling
9	of way. So if you're asking me about legal documents,	9	Mr. Stern that before each meeting, you prepared an
10	there were no legal documents, because that was not	10	agenda for the meeting of that day or the next day,
11	the purpose behind our work. We were in charge of	11	and that after that meeting, you prepared minutes
12	technical work to conduct the technical removal of	12	recounting what was discussed during those meetings.
13	these squatters.	13	A. Yes. I prepared the agenda and I described
14	Q. I'm not going to ask you about	14	the result of each meeting.
15	technical/legal documents, but you do remember that	15	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Camila, if you could
16	the discussions during that first meeting were	16	give it to the witness first. Thank you.
17	extensive about the disagreements about compliance	17	BY MR. SALINAS-SERRANO:
18	with the Parties' obligations with contracts.	18	Q. Sir, you're being handed a binder with some
19	A. Is that a question?	19	documents that I'll be referring to. Some of these
20	Q. Yes. I'm asking whether your recollection is	20	documentsI don't know what your proficiency with
21	that the discussion during that first meeting about	21	English, with written English is, if you can read it.
22	the disagreements between the contracts was extensive.	22	The documents are in both Spanish and in English. We
	-		
	408		410
02:28:03 1	A. The truth of the matter is, that since I was	02:30:51 1	will be projecting the English version in that screen
2	appointed with one real goal, when other issues were	2	that you have to your left for the benefit of the
3	mentioned or discussed, I was not even aware of those	3	Tribunal, but if you prefer to refer to the Spanish
4	issues, and I was not even interested, because they	4	version, you have that in the binder after a blue
5	were legal issues. If you're asking me if I remember	5	sheet. And I will refer you to a document that is
6	that, my answer is, I do not remember that because	6	labeled R-177.
7	that is not my area of specialization. I work with	7	A. Yeah.
8	engineering issues and also technical issues, and	8	Q. Do you have the document in front of you? I
9	that's the reason why, during the same meeting, a	9	refer you, sir, to the first paragraph under the
10	decision was made to isolate the discussion so that we	10	heading "Completion of Ferrovías Contract" or, in
11	could work towards our objective. That is to say, to	11	Spanish, "complemento de contrato de Ferrovías."
12	remove the squatters from the right of way.	12	Please tell me when you've located that part of the
12	Q. I'm sorry, sir, but my question was not	13	document.
13	whether you were paying attention or not to the	14	A. I'm looking at it.
15	substance of the discussions, but whether, in fact, in		Q. You prepared this Minute, right, sir? The
15	that first meeting, there were extensive discussions	15	second page is signed by you.
10	about the disagreements between the Parties and their	10	A. Yes, I prepared this.
17	respective contractual obligations.	18	Q. Can you please read for the Tribunal that
10	A. The answer is no because meetings were held	10	first line of that first paragraph?
20	for no more than one hour. Therefore, things were	20	A. "This was an extensive presentation by the
20	dealt with in a very straightforward way. We only had	-	Parties. The conclusion being drawn that there is a
21	one hour.	21	need to enlarge on this matter with documents and
44	one noti.	44	need to chrange on this matter with documents and
		1	

	411		410
00 00 54 1	411	00 05 00 1	413
02:32:54 1	5 1	02:35:30 1	needed that southern portion of the railway to be
2		2	profitable; correct?
3		3	A. Let me explain. This was the responsibility
4	Housing. Outside the item two, planning around the	4	of the State. The State had a responsibility to evict
5		5	the squatters that were invading the railway. Whether
6		6	this was profitable or not profitable for Ferrovías,
7	saying. This issue was dealt with, but nobody	7	that's another issue. We're not talking about that
8		8	here, whether it was profitable or not profitable. Do
9	automaticallywe decided not to deal with this and we	9	you understand what I'm saying?
10	submitted it to other legal experts. We weren't	10	Q. Perfectly, sir, I have to wait for the
11	talking about who was right or who was wrong. We	11	translation. I'm sorry if I pause after the question.
12	said, Here, okay, if you have any kind of controversy,	12	I understand you perfectly. So is it your
13		13	testimony here today before this Tribunal that you
14		14	were not aware whether FVG needed the Southern Coast
15		15	to be profitable or not?
16	-	16	MR. STERN: I'm going to object to this line
10		10	of questioning. It is beyond the scope of his direct.
18	-	18	He did not testify anything about whether the South
19	5 .	10	Coast line needed to be profitable or unprofitable for
20	-	20	Ferrovias. It's clear that he's intending to go
20		20	through the rest of this agenda here to ask questions
21	-	21	that are unrelated to his direct.
	n, mat ib tidt.		that are unrelated to hip direct.
	410		111
00 04 00 1	412	00 06 51 1	414
02:34:20 1	Q. And then the issue was then delegated to	02:36:51 1	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due
02:34:20 1	Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people	2	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the
2 3	Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves;	2 3	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the
2 3 4	Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct?	2 3 4	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no
2 3	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. 	2 3 4 5	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or
2 3 4	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that 	2 3 4 5 6	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with dur respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that
2 3 4 5	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the 	2 3 4 5 6	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues
2 3 4 5	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you 	2 3 4 5 6	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings.
2 3 4 5	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you 	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that and, you know, we said, Stop, this is not the venue to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that and, you know, we said, Stop, this is not the venue to talk about legal issues. But before setting up the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: In the last few minutes? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that and, you know, we said, Stop, this is not the venue to talk about legal issues. But before setting up the Commission, that I knew the fact that there were legal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: In the last few minutes?
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that and, you know, we said, Stop, this is not the venue to talk about legal issues. But before setting up the Commission, that I knew the fact that there were legal problems, no, I had no knowledge of that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: In the last few minutes? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: Could you read it to me? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: If I can find it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that and, you know, we said, Stop, this is not the venue to talk about legal issues. But before setting up the Commission, that I knew the fact that there were legal problems, no, I had no knowledge of that. Q. Now, would you agree, sir, then, as you were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: In the last few minutes? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: Could you read it to me? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: If I can find it. PRESIDENT RIGO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that and, you know, we said, Stop, this is not the venue to talk about legal issues. But before setting up the Commission, that I knew the fact that there were legal problems, no, I had no knowledge of that. Q. Now, would you agree, sir, then, as you were telling Mr. Stern, that the purpose of the Commission 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: In the last few minutes? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: Could you read it to me? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: If I can find it. PRESIDENT RIGO: Yes. MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, just for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that and, you know, we said, Stop, this is not the venue to talk about legal issues. But before setting up the Commission, that I knew the fact that there were legal problems, no, I had no knowledge of that. Q. Now, would you agree, sir, then, as you were telling Mr. Stern, that the purpose of the Commission was to remove the squatters from the Southern Coast of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: In the last few minutes? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: Could you read it to me? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: If I can find it. PRESIDENT RIGO: Yes. MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, just for the record, it was directly inthe witness was
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that and, you know, we said, Stop, this is not the venue to talk about legal issues. But before setting up the Commission, that I knew the fact that there were legal problems, no, I had no knowledge of that. Q. Now, would you agree, sir, then, as you were telling Mr. Stern, that the purpose of the Commission was to remove the squatters from the Southern Coast of the railway; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: In the last few minutes? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: Could you read it to me? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: If I can find it. PRESIDENT RIGO: Yes. MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, just for the record, it was directly inthe witness was responding to questions from Mr. Stern. And if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that and, you know, we said, Stop, this is not the venue to talk about legal issues. But before setting up the Commission, that I knew the fact that there were legal problems, no, I had no knowledge of that. Q. Now, would you agree, sir, then, as you were telling Mr. Stern, that the purpose of the Commission was to remove the squatters from the Southern Coast of the railway; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: In the last few minutes? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: Could you read it to me? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: If I can find it. PRESIDENT RIGO: Yes. MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, just for the record, it was directly inthe witness was responding to questions from Mr. Stern. And if the Tribunal will indulge me, I will search the transcript
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And then the issue was then delegated to another round of negotiations or another set of people who would be discussing the issue amongst themselves; correct? A. That is correct, yes. Q. But by the first meeting, you were aware that there were disagreements between the Parties as to the contracts that existed among them, even though you might not have known the details of those disagreements; correct? A. I had no knowledge of legal problems amongst them. I heard they were beginning to talk about that and, you know, we said, Stop, this is not the venue to talk about legal issues. But before setting up the Commission, that I knew the fact that there were legal problems, no, I had no knowledge of that. Q. Now, would you agree, sir, then, as you were telling Mr. Stern, that the purpose of the Commission was to remove the squatters from the Southern Coast of the railway; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, with due respect, the witness testified that the purpose of the Southern Coast was to benefit the (in Spanish), or the sugar industry, and he also said there were no discussions about any economic impacts or considerations during the hearings. I will show that there, in fact, were discussions about those issues during the meetings. PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm sorry. Where did he testify to this right now? Is that right in the record now? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: In the last few minutes? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, sir. PRESIDENT RIGO: Could you read it to me? MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: If I can find it. PRESIDENT RIGO: Yes. MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Mr. President, just for the record, it was directly inthe witness was responding to questions from Mr. Stern. And if the

	415		417
02:37:47 1	PRESIDENT RIGO: Please.	02:40:34 1	1 ,
2	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Thank you, sir.	2	Southern Coast open. The witness testified that it
3	Mr. President, here's the first portion, and	3	was because the sugar industry wanted it open. And
4	I'll continue to look for. In answer to a question	4	the witness also said
5	from Mr. Stern, the witness testified, "Basically,	5	PRESIDENT RIGO: Okay, fine.
6	information I received and was later verified, the	6	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: To a question from
7	sugar group had the intention of having the railroad	7	Mr. Stern about Mr. Pinto, Mr. Stern was given
8	operate starting in an area that they called Ciudad	8	latitude to ask about Mr. Pinto and Ciudad del Sur
9	del Sur, located in the San Lucía Cotzumalguapa, and	9	the sugar industries, and the sugar industry's
10	that was going to be used to transport the production	10	interest in the railroad and the Southern Coast. H
11	from the south to the San José port."	11	said, "Mr. Pinto was representing the sugar group,
12	PRESIDENT RIGO: Where is theis the issue	12	sugar producers group. He did not specify the sug
13	whether that was necessary for the railway company to	13	mill or anything."
14	be profitable? I think that's what is objectionable	14	Certainly the testimony is the Southern Co
15	from the other Party.	15	was being opened because the sugar industry was
15	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, Mr. President.	15	interested in it. Now, to the extent that FVG was
10	Two things; first, there was also testimonyand I'll	10	interested in that Southern Coast, the question is
17	continue to look for itabout no economic discussions		only directly linked to the testimony of the witne
10	at all during the meetings. But directly to your	18	in direct examination, but also directly relevant
		-	-
20	question, to the extent that the witness was	20	the issues in this proceeding.
21	suggesting that the purpose of opening the South Coast		PRESIDENT RIGO: Okay. Why don't you rep
22	was because the sugar industry was interested in it,	22	the question for the witness, and we will dismiss t
	416		418
02:39:28 1	FVG's interest in that Southern Coast is directly	02:41:59 1	
2	relevant to the testimony of the witness. And it's	2	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Yes, Mr. President.
3	substantiated by documents that I am presenting to the	-	Thank you.
4	witness now, and that the witness has already	4	BY MR. SALINAS-SERRANO:
+ 5	recognized and legitimated.	T E	Q. I'll repeat the question because I don't
-	PRESIDENT RIGO: I think the witness has		expect you to remember after all that.
6		0	
1	stated many times that there werethat this	1	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: And I'm sorry,
8	Commission objective was to clear the squatters. I	8	Mr. President, just for the record, so as to prese
9	have not heard, and that's why I'm asking you to read	9	the clarity of this, the witness also testified on
10	it to me, that the objective was to clear the	10	direct "That the Commission never dealt with the
11	squatters to make the railway company profitable.	11	economic feasibility of the project. The Commissi
12	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Well	12	was intended to develop a plan to remove the
13	PRESIDENT RIGO: This link, I have not heard	13	families."
14	it this afternoon.	14	Again, there was discussion about the
15	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: But, Mr. President,	15	economics of this.
16	with due respect, the witness has been testifying	16	PRESIDENT RIGO: I reallyI mean, frankl
17	PRESIDENT RIGO: Where has he been	17	that is not a discussion of economics. I mean, he
18	testifying?	18	not discussed anywhere about the economics of the
19	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Well, I just read to	19	project. He has discussed about the removal of th
20	the Tribunal a portion where the witness testified	20	squatters. And, you know, this is very peripheral
21	about on whose interest the Southern Coast would be	21	and as you know, we don't have a lot of time, so
22	open. Certainly if it would benefit FVG by making it	22	please concentrate on the issues that are really

		-	
	419		421
02:42:51 1	-	02:45:08 1	
2	will let it go with the question that you are asking.	2	MR. STERN: Again, it is not based on his
3	Please rephrase it exactly as you had it before. But	3	personal knowledge. He just wrote it down.
4	as for future questioning, please limit it to the	4	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: It's based on his
5	direct testimony. Without too many adjective	5	personal knowledge of Mr. Senn speaking in his
6	ancillary sort of consequences of it. I mean, you	6	presence and he prepared his minutes.
7	know, don't go too far in terms of relationship to the	7	MR. STERN: Counsel is free to ask Mr. Senn
8	actual statement.	8	questions about that when he's here to testify.
9	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: I will do my best, and	9	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Scroll up, please.
10	I'm sure Mr. Stern won't let me stray too far.	10	Thank you. Can you highlight the text that begins
11	PRESIDENT RIGO: Okay.	11	with "on this occasion?"
12	BY MR. SALINAS-SERRANO:	12	BY MR. SALINAS-SERRANO:
13	Q. Mr. Valenzuela, I'll ask you again: You were	13	Q. Mr. Valenzuela, again, you prepared these
14	also aware that FVG was interested in the Southern	14	minutes; correct?
15	Coast of the railroad because it was important to make	15	A. That is correct.
16	its business profitable, were you not?	16	Q. And you write, "On this occasion, Mr. Jorge
17	A. What did you say? FVG, you said? FVG? Are	17	Senn"you recognize Mr. Jorge Senn as Ferrovías'
18	you talking about Ferrovías?	18	general manager; correct?
19	0. Yes, sir.	19	A. That is correct.
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. Mr. Senn was clear in stating that the
21	Q. You want me to repeat the question?	21	
22	A. Yes, please.	22	-
	· •		
	420		422
02:44:00 1	Q. You were also aware that the opening of the	02:46:15 1	A. Excuse me, what
2	Southern Coast was important to Ferrovías because it	2	Q. You can look at the document yourself, sir.
3	was the only way to make its business profitable;	3	Mr. Jorge Senn was clear in stating that the railroad
4	isn't that correct?	4	on the Atlantic route is not profitable; is that
5	A. That is not correct. I did not have any	5	correct?
6	access to information whether this was good or bad for	6	A. Yes, he's talking about the Atlantic route.
7	the company, for the railroad company. My purpose was	7	Q. Let's go to the second clause in that
8	to have the infrastructure there to evict those	8	sentence. And that the heaviest freight load would be
9	families from the railroad right of way. Everything	9	in the future when the Pacific route is in operation,
10	else, the legal aspect, the economic aspect, all of	10	given the volume of freight they would transport
11	that, well, personally, I did not direct the	11	there; is that correct?
12	Commission to get to know more or less about those	12	A. That is correct.
13	areas that you're asking about. Anything I were to	13	Q. So the heaviest freight load for Ferrovías
14	say in that regard would be a lie, because I have no	14	would be with the opening of the Southern Coast,
15	knowledge of that.	15	right? That is, the Pacific route is the same as the
16	Q. Page 2 of that first meeting minutes, first	16	Southern Coast; correct?
10	paragraph on the second page.	17	A. Let us understand each other. This is what
18	MR. STERN: Objection. He says he has no	18	he said, word for word, but this is not what concerns
10	knowledge of it, and I think what he's going to try to	10	me. I'm not sure of what he's saying. This is not
20	do is read a statement from Mr. Senn, according to the	-	within my area. I don't know if the railroad is good
20	minutes.	20	or is bad. This is not my area. The Commission was
21			-
	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO, He prepared these	22	set up only to evict the tamilies from the right of
22	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: He prepared these	22	set up only to evict the families from the right of

	423		425
02:47:38 1	way of the Southern area. Many, many things may have	02:50:24 1	registers had caught fire. There was a fire. And now
2	been commented on. I wrote it here because it was	2	they were reconstructing the information. This was
3	part of the meeting, but there was no investigation	3	not updated information. This is what was said.
4	whether to say that this was correct or not correct.	4	Ferrovías and FEGUA presented information of what they
5	This has nothing do with my work or my profession.	5	knew existed as occupied areas. We had no way to
6	This was only saying whatstating what was	6	verify whether this was true or not true, because this
7	said there. Whether the railway was good or bad,	7	was just a paper presentation. They both did that.
8	because Jorge Senn said it, that's his opinion. I	8	And Arturo Gramajo, the director of FEGUA at the time,
9	cannot say that this is correct or not correct.	9	said that they were presenting this, but this was a
10	Because I have no knowledge of whether this is true or	10	reconstruction, because FEGUA's records had caught
11	not true. I don't know if you understand me. I don't	11	fire. They had been lost in a fire. So they had to
12	know if we're understanding each other.	12	conduct a census and get studies of every single place
13	Q. I understand you perfectly. I wasn't asking	13	to make absolutely sure how many families were
14	you whether it was correct or not, but we understand	14	occupying the right of way.
15	each other.	15	Q. FEGUA and Ferrovías made presentations during
16	A. Okay.	16	that meeting?
17	Q. Now, moving on, Mr. Valenzuela, you spoke of	17	A. Yes.
18	a plan that never was and that was never implemented	18	Q. R-181, sir, please, in your binder.
10	in terms of removing squatters from the right of way;	10	A. Yes.
20	correct?	20	Q. Do you recognize these agenda minutes?
20	A. That is correct.	20	 A. Yes, I do.
21	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: R-178, please.	21	Q. They're from the February 17, 2005, meeting
44	MR. SHIIMAS SERRANO. R-1/0, picase.	22	Q. They is from the rebidary 17, 2005, meeting
	424		426
02:48:55 1	BY MR. SALINAS-SERRANO:	02:51:57 1	of the Commission.
2	Q. Mr. Valenzuela, these are the agenda and	2	A. That is correct, yes.
3	minutes of the January 20, 2005, Commission meeting;	3	MR. STERN: I would object. This is going
4	is that correct?	4	beyond the scope of his direct. He's clearing asking
5	A. Yes, that was held on January 20.	5	questions relating to his statement and not his direct
6	Q. Again, on the third page of thisthese	6	testimony.
7	minutes is your signature; correct?	7	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: I'm sorry,
8	A. That is correct.	8	Mr. President, but this time, I mean, you yourself
9	Q. Now, if you go to the agenda for this	9	said his testimony was about removal of squatters from
10	meeting, some of the issues that were discussed	10	the right of way. This is minutes from the agenda,
11	included a presentation of the Ferrovías company with	11	and they are directly relevant to what thewhat
12	respect to all areas of activity; correct?	12	FEGUA, the Government and FVG did to put that plan in
13	A. Yes, that is correct.	13	place.
14	Q. A presentation by FEGUA with respect to its	14	MR. STERN: I didn't ask him any questions
15	studies of the settlements located within the right of		about those specific items during his direct. There
16	way on the rail line on the Pacific branch in the	16	has to be some limit.
1 10	"al on one rare time on one ractific branch the one		
17	Republic of Guatemala, correct?	17	MR SALINAS-SERRANO, I'm gorry The
17	Republic of Guatemala; correct?	17	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: I'm sorry. The
18	A. That is correct, yes.	18	testimony was that it was on paper, nothing was done,
18 19	A. That is correct, yes.Q. So FEGUA conducted a study about those	18 19	testimony was that it was on paper, nothing was done, and I certainly am allowed to cross-examine about that
18 19 20	 A. That is correct, yes. Q. So FEGUA conducted a study about those families; correct? 	18 19 20	testimony was that it was on paper, nothing was done, and I certainly am allowed to cross-examine about that with the actual evidence there is on the record, other
18 19 20 21	 A. That is correct, yes. Q. So FEGUA conducted a study about those families; correct? A. No. No. FEGUA did not have any updated 	18 19 20 21	testimony was that it was on paper, nothing was done, and I certainly am allowed to cross-examine about that with the actual evidence there is on the record, other than his bare statements about what happened or didn't
18 19 20	 A. That is correct, yes. Q. So FEGUA conducted a study about those families; correct? 	18 19 20	testimony was that it was on paper, nothing was done, and I certainly am allowed to cross-examine about that with the actual evidence there is on the record, other
18 19 20 21	 A. That is correct, yes. Q. So FEGUA conducted a study about those families; correct? A. No. No. FEGUA did not have any updated 	18 19 20 21	testimony was that it was on paper, nothing was done, and I certainly am allowed to cross-examine about that with the actual evidence there is on the record, other than his bare statements about what happened or didn't

	427		429
02:52:57 1	PRESIDENT RIGO: Go ahead.	02:55:43 1	had all this ready, I think it was April, and when we
02.32.37 1	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Thank you,	02.00.40 1	had all this leady, I think it was Apill, and when we had to put up the money to make this work, the money
3	Mr. President.	2	never appeared, and this never materialized. The work
		3	•• ·
4	BY MR. SALINAS-SERRANO:	4	was done. The preparatory work was done. I'm not
5	Q. Mr. Valenzuela, again, these are the minutes	5	mad. Just to be clear, you said I was mad. No.
6	from the February 17, 2005 meeting of the Commission;	6	You're putting words in my mouth. And I never said
7	correct?	7	that. I'm not mad at anyone. I am here to say, Okay,
8	A. Correct.	8	I conducted this work. It was technical in nature.
9	Q. And at Pages 2 and 3 of these minutes, you'll	9	We work as brutes, every Saturday evenI'm sorry to
10	see what you call a synopsis of the issues that were	10	use that expressionwhen we're trying to benefit my
11	discussed during the meeting; correct?	11	country. That's what I was looking for. I was trying
12	A. Yes, that is correct.	12	to benefit my country, and at the end of the day,
13	Q. And at Pages 4 and 5 there is a somewhat	13	nothing was done. Are you listening to me?
14	detailed chronogram, I guess, for lack of a better	14	Q. Yes, of course, yes, perfectly well.
15	term, of the procedure for completion of the	15	Now, granted, "mad" was my word and not
16	relocation of the inhabitants of the railroad line.	16	yours. You're absolutely right, sir.
17	Is that correct, sir?	17	Now, you do seem a little frustrated that
18	A. What is your question?	18	nothing was done. Is that a fair statement?
19	Q. At Pages 4 and 5 of these minute, there's a	19	A. I don't know what your Spanish is, but
20	detailed chronogram of the procedure for completion of	20	frustrated and mad, they are two different things. I
20	the relocation of the inhabitants of the railroad	20	never felt frustrated or mad. I came here to tell the
22	line; correct?	21	truth about the work that I did. That's what I'm
	428		430
02:54:15 1	A. Okay. That confirms what is said at the	02:57:11 1	
2	beginning. This was done, it was scheduled, and that	2	Gentlemen, if you work six months in a project and at
3	was it. It was never implemented. It was scheduled	3	the end, when you need to have the money for the
4	during that meeting. At that meeting, it was said,	4	project to be developed, how would you feel?
	Okay, we're going to do this and that and the other.	т с	Q. I'm sorry, I'm asking you the question.
5		5	
6	So we prepared a schedule, but everything was left on	6	A. So the same thing that you may feel, I'm
1	paper. Nothing was done. Absolutely nothing.	1	feeling. It was something that would benefit my
8	Q. Did you, in any of the minutes of this	8	country. I don't know about the benefit of Peter,
9	Commission, include a statement about complaining that	9	Paul or Joe, but after everything was done, nothing
10	there was no action being made by the Government, that	10	happened. There were hours of work, and working on
11	you were mad that the Government wasn't doing	11	Saturdays, and different sessions, preparing plan,
12	anything, wasn't willing to spend money or anything	12	visits, and I said, Okay, let's move forward, let's
13	along those lines in any of the minutes that you	13	put the money in order to remove those squatters from
14	prepared?	14	the right of way. The money wasn't there. The work
15	A. Is that a question?	15	was not done. That was it.
16	Q. Yes.	16	Q. Okay. So what you're saying is that you're
17	A. First of all, I'm not mad with the Government	17	not here to assign blame. It is neither Ferrovías' or
18	or with anyone. I'm here to talk about the work that	18	FEGUA's blame from your perspective; correct?
19	I performed. I'm not blaming anyone. I'm just saying	19	A. Oh, my God. I don't understand. I don't
20	that work was done. This was administrative work,	20	know if you're not understanding me. I'm not here to
01		I	
21	desk work, to try and see whether we could reach an	21	fight or to feel frustration or anger. I am here to
21	desk work, to try and see whether we could reach an objective, but we didn't meet our objective. When we	21 22	fight or to feel frustration or anger. I am here to say this is the work I conducted. This was the
	-		

	401		400
02.50.27 1	431	02.01.10 1	433
02:58:37 1	purpose.	03:01:19 1	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Salinas.
	The Government of Guatemala asked me to set	2	Mr. Stern?
3	up a Committee to remove those squatters from the	3	MR. STERN: I have no questions. Thank you.
4	right of way. It wasn't done, because at time it	4	QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL
5	should have been done, after May, the money was not	5	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Mr. Valenzuela, you
6	put up for the work to be implemented. I'm talking	6	mentioned and we saw something in writing about
	about the work that you're showing me. I'm talking	1	destroying this letter from Mr. Pinto. Do you have
8	about logistical issues and all the work, and that was		any idea of why you were asked to destroy the letter?
9	the end of that. That is what happened. The store	9	Was there something in it that was particularly
10	closed. There was nothing else to be sold.	10	sensitive? What is your understanding of the reason
11	Q. You're saying, (in Spanish), who are you	11	Mr. Pinto asked that this be destroyed?
12	referring to, sir?	12	THE WITNESS: Quite frankly, I can tell you
13	A. The responsibility of removing the people	13	that I never even looked into why it was sent. He
14	from the right of way was the responsibility of the	14	sent it to the Vice-Minister, not to me directly. He
15	people from FEGUA, Ferrovías Guatemala. That isthat	15	sent me a copy. I received the copy with that note
16	was their responsibility.	16	stuck to the letter. I read it, I took and I put it
17	Q. How do you know that, sir?	17	away. I want you to know that I have never gone about
18	A. Because the Vice-Minister told me that we	18	looking into things that are not my business. That
19	were going to work towards removing the squatters from		was Mr. Pinto's matter. Why he did that, who knows.
20	the railway right of way because that was the	20	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: You mentioned he gave
21	responsibility of the State.	21	you a card for a particular corporation he was
22	Q. Which Vice-Minister told you that it was the	22	representing or working for. Do you know thecould
	432		434
02:59:52 1	responsibility of the State?	03:03:00 1	
2	A. What Vice-Minister? My immediate superior.	2	of that corporation that he was purportedly
3	He was the Vice-Minister of Housing.	3	representing when he was at the meeting?
4	Q. As you sit here today, you don't know why	4	THE WITNESS: No. He just came and he
5	FEGUAand I take it it's your position that it was	5	distributed it. I recall that it was called
6	FEGUA's responsibility to put the down the money. As	6	Corporacion Manatí, Mananí. I'm sorry. It was
7	you sit here today, you don't know why FEGUA didn't	7	something like that. And that he represented Ciudad
8	put the money; correct?	8	del Sur, which was a center of operations. They
9	A. I repeat: This is something that needs to be	9	wanted to open in Santa Lucía so as to
10	clarified by FEGUA, not by me. I don't know why they	10	concentratewell, that's what they were sayingthe
11	didn't put up the money. The only thing that I know	11	output of the sugar mill so as to be able to transport
12	is that there was no money to conduct the work on the	12	it to the port of San José. Mananí, Manatí, something
13	field. After conducting all the planning work and	12	like that.
14	research, et cetera, nothing was done because we	13	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Why did the Commission
15	didn't have the money to buy the land or move the	11	come to an end? Had you finished your plan and the
16	families or build housing. This is money that the	15	rest was implementation? Why did the Commission end
17	Government and FEGUA had to put in, but I don't know	10	when it did?
18	why. I don't work for FEGUA, I don't represent FEGUA.	18	THE WITNESS: It ended because, after having
10	Q. So you don't know?	10	done all of the work of the plan and having everything
20	A. No.	20	ready to be able to move the families from the right
20	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: I have no further	20	of way, it was time to actually have the economic
21	questions, Mr. President.	21	capacity that required. And there not being such
	Anoncroup! ur. iroprante.		supactor that required. This there not being built

	435		437
03:04:30 1		03:10:04 1	Q. Ms. Hernández, good afternoon.
2	It died at that time, quite simply because everything	2	A. Good afternoon.
3	that might have come after could not be done because	3	Q. Do you have in front of you a copy of the
4	of the lack of money required to do it. Now, what	4	Statement you have submitted in this arbitration,
5	money am I talking about? The expenditure for taking	5	dated May 14, 2011?
6	a physical census of the families, the expense in	6	A. Yes, that's right.
7	purchasing the lands to which the families were to be	7	Q. Do you ratify that Statement and affirm its
8	moved, the cost of putting up housing for those	8	truthfulness before the Tribunal?
9	families, that's the money I'm talking about.	9	A. Yes, I ratify it and affirm that what was
10	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Just one last	10	included in the Statement is the truth.
11	clarification: The work of the Commission, was it	11	Q. Ms. Hernández, what is your occupation?
12	focused on squatters only in this Southern Corridor,	12	A. At this time, I am a Professor and researcher
13		13	at the research center of the School of Architecture,
14		14	Universidad San Carlos, Guatemala.
15		15	Q. Are you family with the work of the railroad
16		16	Commission which was first organized by the Government
17		17	of Guatemala in January of 2005?
18		18	A. Yes, that's right. I am familiar with that.
19	-	19	Q. How are you familiar with it?
20		20	A. Because I was invited to participate in
21		20	several of the meetings of that Commission.
22	1	22	Q. And who invited you to participate?
	400020101		2. Ina alo incide for co priorpator
	436	00 11 00 1	438
03:05:47 1		03:11:30 1	A. On behalf of the coordinator of the
2	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	Commission, we were invited to participate in it.
3		3	Q. And what was your understanding as to why you
4	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Valenzuela.	4	were invited to participate in the Commission?
5	Thank you very much for your testimony. You may now	5	A. I was invited to be part of this Commission
6	stand down.	6	in relation to drawing up a plan for evicting the
	(Witness steps down.)		squatters in the rail right of way.
8	MABEL HERNÁNDEZ, CLAIMANT'S WITNESS, CALLED	8	Q. And why did they think that you would be able
9		9	to help in the Commission's work? What was your
10	5 5 1	10	understanding of that?
11	1 1	11	A. I've been coordinating a research project on
12	1	12	the real property of the rail lines in Guatemala for
13	1 1	13	the purposes of conservation and use, and this
14		14	included the question of dealing with squatters on the
15	1 1	15	railroad right of way.
16		16	Q. Do you recall Mr. Héctor Pinto participating
17	1	17	in some of the Railroad Commission meetings?
18	-	18	A. Yes, I do.
19	their witness list on witnesses they intended to	19	Q. And how many meetings do you recall him
20	cross-examine.	20	participating in?
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION	21	A. At leastI think he participated in at least
22	BY MR. STERN:	22	two of the meetings when I was there.

	439		441
03:13:11 1	Q. Whose interest did you understand Mr. Pinto	03:16:11 1	PRESIDENT RIGO: Okay.
2	was representing at the Railroad Commission meetings?	2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	A. Yes, I recall that he mentioned that he was	3	BY MR. SALINAS-SERRANO:
4	representing the sugar sector and some relationship	4	Q. Ms. Hernández, thank you very much for being
5	with a real estate project called Ciudad del Sur in	5	here today. My name is Daniel Salinas. I'll be
6	the area where work was taking place.	6	asking you some questions about your testimony here
7	Q. And was it your understanding that the	7	today.
8	interests Mr. Pinto purported to be representing were	8	You mentioned to questions from Mr. Stern
9	interested in the restoration of rail service on the	9	that there wasn't sufficient money to implement the
10	South Coast?	10	plan to remove the squatters; is that correct?
11	A. Evidently, yes, because the proposal for	11	A. That's right, there wasn't enough money.
12	rehabilitation for them was going to mean taking the	12	Q. Do you know why FEGUA didn't put the money
13	merchandise, and it had to do with this real estate	13	down to remove the squatters or why there wasn't
14	development project.	13	sufficient funding?
15	0. Was the Railroad Commission ever successful	15	A. I don't know what the motive was. It's just
	~	15	that that's what we were told in the Commission, and
16	in coming up with and implementing a plan to relocate squatters from the South Coast right of way?		my participation was merely as representative of an
17		17	
18	A. No, it was not successful in that regard.	18	academic institution.
19	There was a need to do many things, obtain lots,	19	Q. You were also asked just at the end of
20	negotiate with the squatters, and the Commission	20	Mr. Stern's questions whether you knew whether the
21	failed in that dialogue.	21	failure of the Commission, as you called it, was due
22	Q. Was there an issue about having sufficient	22	to Ferrovías' failure to obtain financing to rebuild
	440		442
03:14:58 1	financing and money available to remove and relocate	03:17:15 1	
2	the squatters?	2	A. Yes, I recall, but could you repeat to me
3	A. That's right. A very large sum was needed	3	your exact question?
4	which the Government did not have so as to be able to	4	Q. Only if you remembered that line of
5	make an investment and make effective the eviction of	5	questions.
6	the squatters, because it was necessary to purchase	6	A. Yes, I do remember.
7	new lands, build housing, provide infrastructure and	7	Q. Thank you, Ms. Hernández.
8	equipment, and the Government didn't have that.	8	With regards to those questions, you have no
9	 Did the Commission fail because Ferrovias had 	-	knowledge, isn't it true, what it would have taken for
	~		Ferrovías to rebuild the Southern Coast; correct?
10	indicated that it did not have sufficient financing or investment lined up to rehabilitate the South Coast	10 11	•
	-		A. Could you repeat the question, please?
12	right of way?	12	Q. Sure.
13	A. I think that the Commission did not fail	13	You don't know what it is that Ferrovías
14	because Ferrovías didn't have the resources, but	14	would have had to do to rebuild the entire Southern
15	rather because the Government did not want to continue	15	Coast; correct?
16	the dialogue for making the large investment that was	16	A. I understand that participation in the
17	required.	17	Commission was precisely to reach agreement and for
18	Q. Thank you, Ms. Hernández. I have no further	18	there to be a consensus so as to be able to carry out
19	questions.	19	the project. Nonetheless, the one that had to make
20	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Orta? Mr. Salinas?	20	the investment for this part, eviction of the
21	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Very briefly,	21	squatters, was the Government of Guatemala, not
22	Mr. President.	22	Ferrovías.
1		1	

		1	
	443		445
03:18:36 1	Q. But the one obligated to invest, to	03:21:20 1	5 5 1
2	rehabilitate the Southern Coast of the railroad,	2	There are many examples.
3	wasn't FEGUA; it was Ferrovías; correct?	3	BY MR. SALINAS-SERRANO:
4	A. On this part, yes, the part that we're	4	Q. Of course, but with respect to squatters or
5	talking about of relocation of the squatters, yes.	5	invasions along or on the right of way, you would
6	All the other technical aspects, I don't know.	6	agree with me that one of the factors that contributes
7	0. You don't know how much it would have cost	7	to that is the train not moving through the right of
8	Ferrovías to rebuild the railroad to the Southern	8	way; correct?
9	Coast; correct?	9	A. In part. I can't agree with you fully for
10	A. No, I don't know that information, just what	10	the reasons that I've stated. There are many
11	was discussed in the Commission having to do with	11	circumstances.
12	relocation of the squatters.	12	Q. And just to be clear, I think we're in
13	0. You also don't know whether Ferrovías had	13	agreementI'm not saying it's the only factorbut it
13	funds or financing to rebuild the Southern Coast;	13	is certainly one factor; correct?
15	correct?	14	A. Yes, and not just in Guatemala but in other
15	A. I don't know that because there wasn't time	15	countries as well.
10	for discussion of that in the Commission.	10	Q. Absolutely.
18	Q. Ms. Hernández, you would agree with me,	18	Now, you would also agree with me that, for
19	right, that the origin of the problem of the squatters	19	example, with respect to squatters, both people and
20	on the right of way is because the train had stopped	20	any other type of squatter right along the right of
21	working; correct?	21	way, if the train is passing, it is harder for those
22	A. Not necessarily. In Guatemala, there are	22	squatters to remain there; correct?
	444		446
03:20:02 1	many other social reasons that could be addressed at	03:22:32 1	A. Not in the case of Guatemala. Squatters
2	greater length, but I can't affirm that.	2	continue being along many risky placesin many risky
3	Q. But certainly if the train doesn't operate,	3	places including in the right of way, even when the
4	it's easier for the squatters to be on the right of	4	train is moving through. This has been a problem
5	way; correct?	5	forever, ever since this form of transportation has
6	MR. STERN: Objection; beyond the scope of	6	existed in the country.
7	her direct.	7	Q. So then even if the Government removed the
8	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: She testified about	8	squatters, and even if FVG rehabilitated the right of
9	who's faultI'm sorry, about why the Commission	9	way, and even if the train was operating along the
10	failed, and about whether FVG had or had not financing	10	Southern Coast, there still would have been a squatter
11	to rebuild the Southern Coast. This is directly	11	problem in your opinion?
12	related to the squatter problem, what would have	12	A. I cannot state that with certainty. It would
13	happened if the train and the rehabilitation had never	13	be a problem that might or might not come up. I don't
13	happened.	13	think it would necessarily have to be the case. It's
15	PRESIDENT RIGO: Answer the question.	15	likely that the population, if they see that they're
15	THE WITNESS: I think, as I indicated, that	15	in a risky area, and if trains, especially higher
10	it's a social problem in the country due to conditions	10	speed trains, begin to run through there, will leave
18	of poverty and development, so it's not necessarily	17	the right of way.
10	the case that it has to be on the right of way. We	10	MR. SALINAS-SERRANO: Thank you,
20	have many situations of squatters in Guatemala in	20	Ms. Hernández. Mr. President, I have no further
21 22	different areas, both privately held and Government-owned, that have been invaded. So it's not	21 22	questions.
42	Government-owned, that have been invaded. So it's not	22	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, Mr. Salinas. No

	447		449
03:24:01 1	1 1 5	03:39:25 1	A. That is correct. It's also right here.
2	else yourself?	2	Q. Okay. So have you a copy of that statement
3	MR. STERN: No further questions.	3	in front of you?
4	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you, again. You may	4	A. Yes, I have a copyright right before me.
5	stand down.	5	MR. DEBEVOISE: I'd like to say at this
6	PRESIDENT RIGO: We will have a 10-minute	6	pointand I apologize for interrupting this
7	pause and come back at 3:30 on that clock.	7	directbut we have some serious questions about one
8	(Brief recess.)	8	witness ratifying another witness's Statement,
9	PRESIDENT RIGO: We will continue our	9	particularly when the other Statement was really a
10	session.	10	Statement of two witnesses. And we'll explore that on
11	RICARDO SPIEGELER, CLAIMANT'S WITNESS, CALLED	11	cross-examination, but I just didn't want to let it
12	Good afternoon, Mr. Spiegeler.	12	pass unnoticed at this point.
13	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.	13	Thank you.
14	PRESIDENT RIGO: I'm going to ask you to read	14	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you.
15	the statement that you have before you. It's a	15	Continue, Mr. Stern.
16	Witness Statement. You have a statement before you,	16	MR. STERN: Thank you.
17	please read it. It's on the table.	17	BY MR. STERN:
18	THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare on my honor	18	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, do you ratify the Statement of
19	and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole	19	June 17, 2009, and affirm its truthfulness before the
20	truth and nothing but the truth.	20	Tribunal?
21	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you very much.	21	A. Yes, I do, and I fully corroborate it.
22	Mr. Foster? Mr. Stern?	22	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, what types of businesses do
	448		450
03:38:01 1	MR. STERN: Thank you, Mr. President.	03:40:27 1	
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION	2	A. Planos y Puntos are companies that develop
3	BY MR. STERN:	3	electricity programs for generation/distribution of
4	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Spiegeler. How are you	4	electricity in the Republic of Guatemala.
5	doing?	5	Q. In paragraph 3 of your Statement, you
6	A. Very well, thank you.	6	describe a preliminary electricity transmission
7	Q. Do you have in front of you a copy of the	7	easement agreement that GESUR had with Ferrovias which
8	Statement you have submitted in this arbitration on	, 8	GESUR backed out of because of Declaration of
9	behalf of Generadora del Sur, S.A. and Planos y Puntos	9	Lesividad.
10	dated March 14, 2011?	10	Could you explainplease explain what were
10	A. Yes, it's right in front of me.	10	the terms of this Preliminary Agreement?
11	0. And Generadora del Sur is also referred	11	A. There are different trenches of railway, and
12	tois also often referred to as GESUR; correct?	12	we have a contract with Ferrovías for its useand
13	A. That is correct. It is known as GESUR, also.		
14	Q. Do you ratify that Statement and affirm its	14 15	usufruct. We put up posts and we have electricity power lines that is currently operating. We had five
15	truthfulness before the Tribunal?	-	contracts that we had for 52 kilometers of railway.
10	A. Yes, I fully ratify its contents.	16 17	Q. Okay. Now, could you describe the
	· ·		
18	Q. Now, in Paragraphs 1 and 3 of your March 14,	18	Preliminary Agreement that you reference in
19	2011, Statement, you reference and ratify the First	19	Paragraph 3 of your Statement that you backed out of because of the Declaration of Lesividad.
20	Statement that was rendered in this arbitration on	20	
21	behalf of GESUR and Planos y Puntos dated June 17,	21	What were the terms of that Agreement?
22	2009; correct?	22	A. That's correct. After the Government

	451		453
03:42:20 1	declared lesividad of the Contract that it had with	03:45:51 1	· •
2	Ferrovías, both GESUR and Planos y Puntoswell, we	2	GESUR would have gone on with the construction of
3	had an agreement to extend our Usufruct Contract for	3	lines south to the city of Escuintla. That was aa
4	railway for another 32 kilometers. This Contract that	4	project that we had in mind.
5	we had was canceled because of the Declaration of	5	Q. Is GESUR still paying Ferrovías for the
6	Lesividad and in connection with the Agreement that we	6	easement agreements it entered into prior the
7	originally had with Ferrovías.	7	Declaration of Lesividad?
8	Q. What was the financial terms of that	8	A. That is correct. Both GESUR and Planos
9	Preliminary Agreement that you backed out of with	9	y Puntos continued performing under the Contracts that
10	Ferrovías?	10	they had entered into because we felt that the risk of
11	What was the price you had negotiated?	11	not performing under our Contracts would have been
12	A. This was an agreementand initially it was	12	much larger if we had stopped payment. We felt that
13	going to be at a price of \$1,000 per kilometer. And	13	since we are still paying under these Contracts, we're
14	during the life of the contract, it was going to be,	14	going to have the possibility of continue to use the
15	on average, \$3200 per kilometer of each line that we	15	line.
15	had under the Usufruct Contract.	16	Q. Now, Guatemala's counsel, at the beginning of
10	Q. And why didyour company refuse to conclude	10	your testimony, referenced that they have some issues
18	this further easement agreement after the Declaration	18	or some questions about the circumstances which led
10	of Lesividad?	10	you to executing your Statement when the First
20	A. In order to try and continue with this line,	20	Statement on behalf of your company was executed by
20	we needed to have the legal certainty that Ferrovias	20	two other individuals.
21	was going to continue using the train and the railway	21	Could you explain the circumstances which led
22	was going to continue using the train and the railway	44	courd you explain the tirtumstances which led
	452		454
03:44:14 1	because what we needed for placing the posts and the	03:47:22 1	1 5
2	power lineswell, that entailed a very high price.	2	two individuals who executed the First Statement on
3	And at that time, we didn't want to run the risk until	3	behalf of your companies?
4	such time as we knew the conditions that Ferrovías was	4	A. Yes. The people who had signed this First
5	going to be operating in in Guatemala, and if it was	5	Statement are individuals who are still working there
6	going to be still operating in Guatemala.	6	for the organization. These are individuals that were
7	Q. And why was your company concerned about	7	not at the time able to come here and ratify this, and
8	whether Ferrovías was going to still be operating in	8	that is why I am doing it on their behalf, fully.
9	Guatemala?	9	MR. STERN: Thank you. I have no further
10	A. Basically, because we already have 52	10	questions.
11	kilometers, and we are using the line there. We have	11	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Debevoise.
12	customers and users there, electricity users, and they	12	MR. DEBEVOISE: Thank you, Mr. President.
13	are expecting to us continue providing them with	13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	service.	14	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:
15	If this Agreement between Ferrovías and the	15	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, my name is Whitney Debevoise
16	Government and was no longer was valid, we hadwe	16	and, I'm here on behalf of the Republic of Guatemala,
17	would have had a problem, and we would no longer be	17	and I'll be asking you some questions about your
18	able to provide power for our clients.	18	Statement and the questions that you've just had from
10	Q. But for the Declaration of Lesividad, would	19	counsel for the Claimants.
20	GESUR have gone forward with its Preliminary User	20	I'll be asking you these questions in
20	Agreement with Ferrovias?	20	English, and there'll be a simultaneous translation
21	A. That is correct. If this Declaration of	21	for you into Spanish.
44			tor for inco openion.
		1	

	455		457
03:48:54 1	Mr. Spiegeler, looking at your Statement, the	03:52:43 1	-
2	one that you signed, could you point me to a place	2	members of the group. Those companies are not
3	where you identify who your employer is?	3	mentioned here. They are not my direct employer.
4	A. Yes. If you go to the Statement and you go	4	Generadora del Este is my direct employer, so I felt
5	to Point 2, I am saying that I am testifying on behalf	5	that it wasn't worth it to mention them.
6	of GESUR and behalf of Planos y Puntos. These are the	б	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, I'm trying to find out exactl
7	institutions that have given me express authorization	7	on whose behalf you are speaking here today, and I
8	to appear on behalf of these proceedings.	8	think it's relevant to know the full reach of the
9	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, let me ask you: From whom do	9	group with which you are associated. So could you
10	you receive your paycheck?	10	please answer the question?
11	A. I work for a company that is now called	11	A. Yes. Basically, this group is made up of
12	Generadora del Este, S.A.	12	different companies that have hotels, real estate
13	It is a part of this group that is also made	13	developments and other kinds of companies that are no
14	up of GESUR and Planos y Puntos.	14	necessarily related to the power-generation sector.
15	Q. Could you please explain the relationship	15	can talk about the section that refers directly to the
16	between Planos y Puntos and your current employer?	16	generation, transmission and distribution of
17	A. Planos y Puntos is a company that mainly	17	electricity. That is my field.
18	deals in realty, and it is the owner of the land that	18	Q. Is there a textile company in this the group
19	holds the power plant for Generadora del Este, and it	19	A. That's correct, there is a textile company i
20	also holds Generadora del Sur. It is a real estate	20	this group.
21	company that is the owner of the land in which these	21	Q. What is the name of that company?
22	facilities are located.	22	A. The name of the company is Industrias
	150		
	456		458
03:51:09 1		03:54:04 1	
03:51:09 1 2	Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur.	03:54:04 1 2	Textiles del Lago, SA.
	$\ensuremath{\underline{Q}}$. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur	2	Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that
2	Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur.	2	Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you?
2 3	Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer?	2	Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of
2 3 4	<pre>Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart</pre>	2	Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that
2 3 4 5	Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes	2	Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del
2 3 4 5	 Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from 	2	Textiles del Lago, SA.Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you?A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that 	2	Textiles del Lago, SA.Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you?A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from 	2	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current employer. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group? A. Basically, there is a location where there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current employer. Could you tell us, again, the name of that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group? A. Basically, there is a location where there are 120 megawatts of power that is being generated by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current employer. Could you tell us, again, the name of that company, the company from which you get your paycheck? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group? A. Basically, there is a location where there are 120 megawatts of power that is being generated by different power plants in one single location.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current employer. Could you tell us, again, the name of that company, the company from which you get your paycheck? A. The name is Generadora del Este, Sociedad 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group? A. Basically, there is a location where there are 120 megawatts of power that is being generated by different power plants in one single location. Q. And is that near the textile factory?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current employer. Could you tell us, again, the name of that company, the company from which you get your paycheck? A. The name is Generadora del Este, Sociedad Anomima. It is company that, together with these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group? A. Basically, there is a location where there are 120 megawatts of power that is being generated by different power plants in one single location. Q. And is that near the textile factory? MR. STERN: I'm going to object to this line
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current employer. Could you tell us, again, the name of that company, the company from which you get your paycheck? A. The name is Generadora del Este, Sociedad Anomima. It is company that, together with these other the companies, is a part of a group dedicated to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group? A. Basically, there is a location where there are 120 megawatts of power that is being generated by different power plants in one single location. Q. And is that near the textile factory? MR. STERN: I'm going to object to this line of question. I'm not sure what the relevance is of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current employer. Could you tell us, again, the name of that company, the company from which you get your paycheck? A. The name is Generadora del Este, Sociedad Anomima. It is company that, together with these other the companies, is a part of a group dedicated to the generation, transmission and distribution of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group? A. Basically, there is a location where there are 120 megawatts of power that is being generated by different power plants in one single location. Q. And is that near the textile factory? MR. STERN: I'm going to object to this line of question. I'm not sure what the relevance is of textile factories and what power plants do and their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current employer. Could you tell us, again, the name of that company, the company from which you get your paycheck? A. The name is Generadora del Este, Sociedad Anomima. It is company that, together with these other the companies, is a part of a group dedicated to the generation, transmission and distribution of electrical power.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group? A. Basically, there is a location where there are 120 megawatts of power that is being generated by different power plants in one single location. Q. And is that near the textile factory? MR. STERN: I'm going to object to this line of question. I'm not sure what the relevance is of textile factories and what power plants do and their locations and all these other matters have to do with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current employer. Could you tell us, again, the name of that company, the company from which you get your paycheck? A. The name is Generadora del Este, Sociedad Anomima. It is company that, together with these other the companies, is a part of a group dedicated to the generation, transmission and distribution of electrical power. Q. And is this group comprised only of the three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group? A. Basically, there is a location where there are 120 megawatts of power that is being generated by different power plants in one single location. Q. And is that near the textile factory? MR. STERN: I'm going to object to this line of question. I'm not sure what the relevance is of textile factories and what power plants do and their locations and all these other matters have to do with who he's testifying for in this proceeding.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And you also referred to Generadora del Sur or Azur. What is the relationship between that company and your employer? A. Generadora del Sur is a company that, apart from generating electricity, also distributes electricity. And our clients receive electricity from Generadora. It is the company which is a company that also puts up posts and transmission and distribution lines. Q. You mentioned a company whose name has not appeared in these proceedings before as your current employer. Could you tell us, again, the name of that company, the company from which you get your paycheck? A. The name is Generadora del Este, Sociedad Anomima. It is company that, together with these other the companies, is a part of a group dedicated to the generation, transmission and distribution of electrical power.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Textiles del Lago, SA. Q. Does that company own the company that generates electricity, that employs you? A. Each of the companies has a board of directors, and I wouldn't be able to assure that Industrias del Lago is the owner of Generadora del Este. These are companies that belong to a group of investors who are the owners of a number of companies Industrias Textiles del Lago is not necessarily the owner of Generadora del Este. Q. How many electricity-generating plants are there in this group? A. Basically, there is a location where there are 120 megawatts of power that is being generated by different power plants in one single location. Q. And is that near the textile factory? MR. STERN: I'm going to object to this line of question. I'm not sure what the relevance is of textile factories and what power plants do and their locations and all these other matters have to do with

	459		461
03:55:44 1	5	03:58:40 1	1 1 1
2	MR. DEBEVOISE: Mr. Stern, this is not a	2	appear on their behalf in these proceedings, referri
3	fishing expedition. I happen to know some facts, and	3	to GESUR and Planos y Puntos.
4	I think if the witness will cooperate, we will bring	4	Who authorized to you appear?
5	them out quickly and you'll see the relevance.	5	A. Basically the individuals who are director
6	PRESIDENT RIGO: Go ahead.	6	of Planos y Puntos and GESUR. They're the ones who
7	MR. DEBEVOISE: Thank you.	7	authorized me to appear here because I am one of th
8	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:	8	people who has the most experiencehas the longest
9	Q. The electricity-generating facilities, does	9	experience in this line of work. I know the histor
10	these begin as cogeneration facilities for the textile	10	of the transportation lines in the area that we're
11	plant there?	11	working in.
12	A. There is a place called Parques del Lago.	12	Q. And I believe you testified in response to
13	It is an industrial complex, and apart from textile	13	question from Mr. Stern that you provided a Second
13	plants, they have "maquiladoras" and also power	13	Affidavit because the two gentlemen who provided th
	generation plants. This is an industrial complex, and		First Written Statement were not available to come
15	5 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
16	all these elements are incorporated into it.	16	here today and testify; is that correct?
17	You talked about cogenerator. That	17	A. Yes, that is correct.
18	designation is no longer used for these generation	18	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, I'd ask you to take a look
19	plants. We just call them generators.	19	Statement that you did sign at the second page near
20	A cogenerator is a company generating power	20	your signature.
21	for itself and selling the remains of the power to the	21	Would you please read for me the date righ
22	network or to other users. In the case of Generadora	22	before your signature?
	460		462
03:57:01 1	, 1 1	04:00:36 1	A. March 14, 2011.
2	line and also to users that are connected to it by	2	Q. So your appearance with this Written
3	itsby their own lines.		
	TOD DJ CHOIL OWN LINCD.	3	Statement has nothing do with whether the two
4	Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're	, v	•
4 5	-	, v	gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h
	$\mathbb{Q}.$ Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not	4	gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h
5	Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're	4	gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct?
5	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the 	4	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why</pre>
5 6 7 8	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power 	4	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement</pre>
5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the 	4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements.</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed by </pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation plants. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed h Mr. Rolando Paredas Sarmiento on one hand, and by</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation plants. Q. And Generadora del Sur generates more 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed h Mr. Rolando Paredas Sarmiento on one hand, and by Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez on the other hand; correct </pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation plants. Q. And Generadora del Sur generates more electricity than can be used by that park in that 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed b Mr. Rolando Paredas Sarmiento on one hand, and by Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez on the other hand; correct A. That is correct.</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation plants. Q. And Generadora del Sur generates more electricity than can be used by that park in that plant, so it has electricity left over which it sells 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed b Mr. Rolando Paredas Sarmiento on one hand, and by Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez on the other hand; correct A. That is correct. Q. Who is Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation plants. Q. And Generadora del Sur generates more electricity than can be used by that park in that plant, so it has electricity left over which it sells to other customers; correct? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed b Mr. Rolando Paredas Sarmiento on one hand, and by Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez on the other hand; correct Q. Who is Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez? A. Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez is an executive</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation plants. Q. And Generadora del Sur generates more electricity than can be used by that park in that plant, so it has electricity left over which it sells to other customers; correct? A. That's correct. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed b Mr. Rolando Paredas Sarmiento on one hand, and by Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez on the other hand; correct A. That is correct. Q. Who is Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez? A. Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez is an executive worked for the GESUR generation company and Planos</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation plants. Q. And Generadora del Sur generates more electricity than can be used by that park in that plant, so it has electricity left over which it sells to other customers; correct? A. That's correct. Q. This electricity is not sold through the main 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed b Mr. Rolando Paredas Sarmiento on one hand, and by Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez on the other hand; correct A. That is correct. Q. Who is Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez? A. Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez is an executive worked for the GESUR generation company and Planos y Puntos.</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation plants. Q. And Generadora del Sur generates more electricity than can be used by that park in that plant, so it has electricity left over which it sells to other customers; correct? A. That's correct. Q. This electricity is not sold through the main Guatemalan grid; correct? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed b Mr. Rolando Paredas Sarmiento on one hand, and by Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez on the other hand; correct A. Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez? A. Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez is an executive worked for the GESUR generation company and Planos y Puntos. Q. What exactly is his position?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation plants. Q. And Generadora del Sur generates more electricity than can be used by that park in that plant, so it has electricity left over which it sells to other customers; correct? A. That's correct. Q. This electricity is not sold through the main 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed b Mr. Rolando Paredas Sarmiento on one hand, and by Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez on the other hand; correct A. That is correct. Q. Who is Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez? A. Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez is an executive worked for the GESUR generation company and Planos y Puntos.</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Tell me by Generadora del Sur, because you're purporting to speak today on behalf of del Sur, not Generadora del Este, your actual employer? A. That's correct. Generadora del Sur is the company that at the time installed the power distribution system privately for the plants in the Parque del Lago area. Generadora del Sur transfers and distributes electricity from its generation plants. Q. And Generadora del Sur generates more electricity than can be used by that park in that plant, so it has electricity left over which it sells to other customers; correct? A. That's correct. Q. This electricity is not sold through the main Guatemalan grid; correct? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>gentlemen who signed the First Statement could be h today or not because you signed this Statement eigh months ago; correct? A. Yes. At this point, I cannot answer why they're not here, but I am confirming my statement also their statements. Q. Well, let's talk a little bit about their Statement for a minute. The Statement was signed b Mr. Rolando Paredas Sarmiento on one hand, and by Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez on the other hand; correct A. Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez? A. Mr. Edgar Alfredo Ordonez is an executive worked for the GESUR generation company and Planos y Puntos. Q. What exactly is his position?</pre>

	100		175
04:02:45 1	463	04.06.14 1	465
	Ordonez is the only manager and legal representative		responsible for signing the Contract.
2	for Planos y Puntos.	2	Q. I understand he is the person who signs the
3	Q. What does that really mean, Mr. Spiegeler?	3	Contract, but who makes the decision to enter into the
4	Is he the gentleman who commands the company,	4	Contract?
5	who runs the company and makes all the decisions?	5	A. The decision to sign a Contract or not, or
6	A. The manager is the person who represents the	б	the decision to make ato decide something of this
7	interests of the owner, and the person who also has to	7	nature is related to the responsibility of the owner
8	conduct work that has to do with the administration,	8	of the company or in conjunction with the engineers
9	with the management of the premises that are part of	9	and the people who are in charge of making sure when
10	Planos y Puntos.	10	the power has to be conveyed.
11	Q. What about Mr. Rolando Paredes Sarmiento?	11	Whenever there is a request by users to hav
12	What is his position at GESUR?	12	electrical services electric services, the differer
13	A. Along the same lines, there is a paragraph	13	paths to get to that goal are analyzed and the
14	that says that this person, Rolando Sarmiento, is the	14	Contracts are entered so as to provide coverage to t
15	only manager of these generatinggeneration companies	15	clients. This is not only the decision by the owner
16	for the south.	16	or the managers; rather, this is a decision made
10	Q. What does that mean that his real	10	jointly by all the staff working for a company.
18	responsibilities are?	18	0. Same is true for a decision to cancel a
10	A. Their responsibilities as managers, they're	10	Contract?
	the ones who need to monitor the assets of company.	20	A. That is correct. When a decision is made
20			
21	Q. I understand he has responsibility for that. Does he also make all the decisions about how to do	21	about the inadequacy of a Contract, for example,
22	Does he also make all the decisions about now to do	22	because there is no longer a user or a client, it is
	464		466
04:04:39 1	464 that?	04:07:48 1	466 not proper to continue to have the electric power
	that?	04:07:48 1 2	not proper to continue to have the electric power
2	that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by	2	not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to
2 3	that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work		not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null.
2 3 4	that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them.	2	not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the
2 3 4 5	<pre>that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the</pre>	2	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct?</pre>
2 3 4	<pre>that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company?</pre>	2	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No.</pre>
2 3 4 5	that?A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them.Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company?A. When you're saying that this is not the	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos</pre>
2 3 4 5	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. So you're referring to this in a very general 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed? A. The Statement I signed was signed as managed</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. So you're referring to this in a very general 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed? A. The Statement I signed was signed as manage in charge of power generation, but also of power</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. So you're referring to this in a very general way, and you're not referring to what you mean by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed? A. The Statement I signed was signed as manage in charge of power generation, but also of power</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. So you're referring to this in a very general way, and you're not referring to what you mean by saying "the one making the decisions." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed? A. The Statement I signed was signed as manage in charge of power generation, but also of power distribution to our users. That is to say, the personal service, so the service of the service of the say of the service of the servi</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. So you're referring to this in a very general way, and you're not referring to what you mean by saying "the one making the decisions." Q. Let's talk about easements, easements for electric wires. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed? A. The Statement I signed was signed as manage in charge of power generation, but also of power distribution to our users. That is to say, the person in charge of managing Contracts, that would be me.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. So you're referring to this in a very general way, and you're not referring to what you mean by saying "the one making the decisions." Q. Let's talk about easements, easements for electric wires. Would he, alone, make a decision about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed? A. The Statement I signed was signed as manage in charge of power generation, but also of power distribution to our users. That is to say, the pers in charge of managing Contracts, that would be me. Q. So are you Mr. Ordoñez's boss? A. Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. So you're referring to this in a very general way, and you're not referring to what you mean by saying "the one making the decisions." Q. Let's talk about easements, easements for electric wires. Would he, alone, make a decision about whether to enter into a Contract for an easement for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed? A. The Statement I signed was signed as manage in charge of power generation, but also of power distribution to our users. That is to say, the pers in charge of managing Contracts, that would be me. Q. So are you Mr. Ordoñez's boss? A. Yes. Q. Are you Mr. Sarmiento's boss?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. So you're referring to this in a very general way, and you're not referring to what you mean by saying "the one making the decisions." Q. Let's talk about easements, easements for electric wires. Would he, alone, make a decision about whether to enter into a Contract for an easement for electricity transmission? </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed? A. The Statement I signed was signed as manage in charge of power generation, but also of power distribution to our users. That is to say, the pers in charge of managing Contracts, that would be me. Q. So are you Mr. Ordoñez's boss? A. Yes. Q. Are you Mr. Sarmiento's boss? A. Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. So you're referring to this in a very general way, and you're not referring to what you mean by saying "the one making the decisions." Q. Let's talk about easements, easements for electric wires. Would he, alone, make a decision about whether to enter into a Contract for an easement for electricity transmission? A. Basically, as part of the mandate of the sole 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed? A. The Statement I signed was signed as manage in charge of power generation, but also of power distribution to our users. That is to say, the pers in charge of managing Contracts, that would be me. Q. So are you Mr. Ordoñez's boss? A. Yes. Q. Are you Mr. Sarmiento's boss? A. Correct. Q. Mr. Spiegeler, you said thatin your</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>that? A. This is a decision made jointly, not only by them, but also by the owners and the people who work with them. Q. So he's not really the person who makes the decisions in the company? A. When you're saying that this is not the person who makes decisions in the company, I don't know what you're referring to because I don't know whether these are decisions to hire staff or to buy other shares or what to do. So you're referring to this in a very general way, and you're not referring to what you mean by saying "the one making the decisions." Q. Let's talk about easements, easements for electric wires. Would he, alone, make a decision about whether to enter into a Contract for an easement for electricity transmission? </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>not proper to continue to have the electric power service, so, therefore, a joint decision is made to render a Contract null. Q. Now, Mr. Spiegeler, you're not the administrator of GESUR; correct? A. No. Q. You are not the administrator of Planos y Puntos; correct? A. Correct, I'm not. Q. So in what capacity did you sign the Statement that you signed? A. The Statement I signed was signed as manage in charge of power generation, but also of power distribution to our users. That is to say, the pers in charge of managing Contracts, that would be me. Q. So are you Mr. Ordoñez's boss? A. Yes. Q. Are you Mr. Sarmiento's boss? A. Correct.</pre>

	467		469
04:09:41 1	A. That is correct.	04:12:58 1	, 1
2	Q. If I direct you to Paragraph 2 of your	2	evidence admitted, we'll make our own judgment about
3	Statement, the one that you signed, you said that they	3	it.
4	were based on your personal knowledge?	4	MR. DEBEVOISE: Thank you, Mr. President.
5	A. Correct.	5	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:
6	Q. And the two gentlemen who signed the other	6	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, I believe that in your
7	Statements said that their Statement was based on	7	Statement you indicated that there was a Preliminary
8	their personal knowledge; correct?	8	Agreement between GESUR and Ferrovías for a new
9	A. That's correct.	9	easement; is that correct?
10	Q. So how can your personal knowledge ratify	10	A. That is correct.
11	their personal knowledge?	11	Q. What are the characteristics of a Preliminary
12	A. As I mentioned before, when a Statement of	12	Agreement? Is this an Agreement between gentlemen?
13	this sort is made, this is beyond one person. There	13	Unwritten?
14	are several persons making a Statement now to	14	A. I usually think that Agreements have to be
15	determine whether this is appropriate or not for the	15	drawn among gentlemen, but when the there is a
16	investment group.	16	Preliminary Agreement, it is based on the
17	In this case, if we have users that need to	17	distancesince this is an easement, the distance to
18	be provided service, electric power service, and as	18	be establishedand also the valuethe duration of
19	manager I need to guarantee that that service	19	the Contract, as well as the final price or the
20	continues to be provided to the users. Before I need	20	average price for this Contract.
21	to have I need to be aware of all the factors that	21	This is a Preliminary Agreement, and the
22	may impact the feasibility of continuing to offer	22	reasons why the Contract is to be extended are
	400		470
04:11:26 1	468 service to our users.	04:14:53 1	470
2	MR. DEBEVOISE: Mr. President, I don't know	2	Let me remind you that so far we have five
3	whether you want this to count against my	3	Contracts with them, and this would have been the
4	cross-examination time or whether we should take this	4	sixth one to be signed between Planos y Puntos, GESUR
5	up later, but I think we've established on the record	5	and Ferrovías had there been no Lesividad Declaration
6	that Mr. Spiegeler gave a Statement in his name, but	6	by the Government.
7	that he really should not be incorporating a Statement	7	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, thank you. I understand the
8	of two other gentlemen who are not here and who	8	generic, but you stated in your Statement that this
9	manifestly were just changed because that was	9	Agreement would have been negotiated. That means the
10	convenient.	10	Agreement had not yet been negotiated; correct?
11	I don't believe that this is an appropriate	11	A. The arrangement was negotiated in terms of
12	type of proof to have in a proceeding like this.	12	distance, in terms of price, but after the Lesividad
	The second secon		
	MR. STERN: With all due respect, this is	13	Declaration, was it not possible to sign the Contract
13	MR. STERN: With all due respect, this is nonsense. He has personal knowledge. The people that		Declaration, was it not possible to sign the Contract because it was a financial risk, a significant risk
13 14	nonsense. He has personal knowledge. The people that	13 14 15	because it was a financial risk, a significant risk
13 14 15	nonsense. He has personal knowledge. The people that worked underneath him, his inferior employees, have	14 15	because it was a financial risk, a significant risk for Planos y Puntos as well as for GESUR. It was
13 14 15 16	nonsense. He has personal knowledge. The people that worked underneath him, his inferior employees, have personal knowledge of the same facts. They know the	14 15 16	because it was a financial risk, a significant risk for Planos y Puntos as well as for GESUR. It was risky to sign a new Contract on something that is
13 14 15 16 17	nonsense. He has personal knowledge. The people that worked underneath him, his inferior employees, have personal knowledge of the same facts. They know the same thing, and that's what he's testified to.	14 15 16 17	because it was a financial risk, a significant risk for Planos y Puntos as well as for GESUR. It was risky to sign a new Contract on something that is being discussed and whether the concession is going to
13 14 15 16 17 18	nonsense. He has personal knowledge. The people that worked underneath him, his inferior employees, have personal knowledge of the same facts. They know the same thing, and that's what he's testified to. This has nothing to do with him lacking	14 15 16 17 18	because it was a financial risk, a significant risk for Planos y Puntos as well as for GESUR. It was risky to sign a new Contract on something that is being discussed and whether the concession is going to continue or not.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	nonsense. He has personal knowledge. The people that worked underneath him, his inferior employees, have personal knowledge of the same facts. They know the same thing, and that's what he's testified to. This has nothing to do with him lacking personal knowledge of the same facts that his inferior	14 15 16 17 18 19	because it was a financial risk, a significant risk for Planos y Puntos as well as for GESUR. It was risky to sign a new Contract on something that is being discussed and whether the concession is going to continue or not. Likewise, the Contracts that we currently
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	nonsense. He has personal knowledge. The people that worked underneath him, his inferior employees, have personal knowledge of the same facts. They know the same thing, and that's what he's testified to. This has nothing to do with him lacking personal knowledge of the same facts that his inferior employees have knowledge of.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because it was a financial risk, a significant risk for Planos y Puntos as well as for GESUR. It was risky to sign a new Contract on something that is being discussed and whether the concession is going to continue or not. Likewise, the Contracts that we currently have entail the same concern; that is to say, whether
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	nonsense. He has personal knowledge. The people that worked underneath him, his inferior employees, have personal knowledge of the same facts. They know the same thing, and that's what he's testified to. This has nothing to do with him lacking personal knowledge of the same facts that his inferior employees have knowledge of. PRESIDENT RIGO: I think on behalf of the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because it was a financial risk, a significant risk for Planos y Puntos as well as for GESUR. It was risky to sign a new Contract on something that is being discussed and whether the concession is going to continue or not. Likewise, the Contracts that we currently have entail the same concern; that is to say, whether this is going to be valid or not in the upcoming
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	nonsense. He has personal knowledge. The people that worked underneath him, his inferior employees, have personal knowledge of the same facts. They know the same thing, and that's what he's testified to. This has nothing to do with him lacking personal knowledge of the same facts that his inferior employees have knowledge of.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because it was a financial risk, a significant risk for Planos y Puntos as well as for GESUR. It was risky to sign a new Contract on something that is being discussed and whether the concession is going to continue or not. Likewise, the Contracts that we currently have entail the same concern; that is to say, whether

	471		473
04:16:43 1	we entered into with them are going to be continued	04:20:16 1	simply an administrative matter, please pause because
2	and they will continue to be valid while they require	2	of the interpretation.
3	our services.	3	MR. DEBEVOISE: Well, if the witness would
4	Q. Your existing Contracts are 50-year	4	just answer my question and not give a speech, it
5	Contracts; correct?	5	would be easier.
6	A. I don't have here the terms for each of the	6	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:
7	Contracts, but they're about 50 years.	7	Q. You have clients, customers, to whom you sell
8	Q. And the so-called Preliminary Agreement, was	8	electricity, correct, at GESUR?
9	there an Agreement on the term for that Contract?	9	A. That is correct. Those are clients and
10	A. Yes. The term of the Contracts that we had	10	customers that are from the private sector and they
11	signed, the previous ones and the one that we were	11	are part of the easement.
12	thinking of signing, could not last longer than the	12	Q. And does GESUR have a license to sell that
13	Easement Contract that Ferrovias had. That is to say,	13	electricity?
14	they were going to conclude at the same time.	14	MR. STERN: I'm going to object to the
15	Q. Thank you. And you said that the reason that	15	relevance of that question.
16	the company did not proceed with this Preliminary	16	MR. DEBEVOISE: Mr. President, I think he has
17	Agreement was because of legal uncertainty about the	17	testified to his concern about the legal insecurity of
18	continuation of the rights of Ferrovias.	18	proceeding with a relationship with Ferrovías.
19	You also said that there was a question about	19	I think there could be a reciprocal side of
20	the continuing rights of Ferrovias with respect to	20	that insecurity if they are not authorized to sell
21	your existing Contracts; correct?	21	electricity. They might be thrown out of their
22	A. Before the Lesividad Declaration by the	22	business as electricity distributor, and Ferrovías
	472		474
04:18:43 1	5	04:21:19 1	
2	Ferrovías, we had the utmost certainty in guarantees	2	think this is entirely fair game.
3	that the Contract we had signed with them was going to	3	The question of legal security has been
4	be fully valid during the concession period.	4	raised by them.
5	But when the time came to have a new	5	MR. STERN: I'm sorry. Did you overrule the
6	Agreement, if there was no legal certainty that the	6	objection?
7	Contracts were going to be fulfilled, we were unable	7	I don't know whyhow the issue of whether
8	to start a new Contract since we had some doubts as to	8	GESUR has a license to sell electricity to whomever
9	the continuation of the Contract.	9	has anything to do with their decision to continue or
10	Q. You continue to pay rents under the old	10	enter into an additional Agreement with Ferrovías or
11	Contracts; correct?	11	to continue to pay rent under existing Agreements.
12	A. That is correct. Planos y Puntos and GESUR	12	I don't see the connection or the relevance
13	always fulfill their Contracts, and that's the reason	13	whatsoever.
14	why we considered that it was better to continue with	14	PRESIDENT RIGO: The objection is sustained.
15	the payments as agreed consistently rather than	15	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:
16	suspend payment, because the risk was lower by paying	16	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, you indicated that GESUR does
17	than failing to pay and then have other problems in	17	not sell electricity into the grid, but that the other
18	the future.	18	company that is your current employer does sell
19	Q. (Overlapping translation.)and is a class	19	electricity into the grid; correct?
20	of its own, people who whom it sells electricity;	20	A. I did not mention whether they sold to the
21	correct?	21	grid or not. I barely indicatedbasically indicated
22	PRESIDENT RIGO: JustMr. Debevoise, just	22	that GESUR, as such, has individual clients
1			

		1	
	475		477
04:22:54 1	throughthroughout the railway line, and that's the	04:25:49 1	, 15
2	reason why we are working on that.	2	something that addresses the relevant issues and,
3	Q. And is the reason that they don't sell into	3	later on, these issues are reflected in a Contract
4	the grid because they're not authorized to do so?	4	when it gets finally decided that it is feasible.
5	MR. STERN: Again, same objection that the	5	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, I would like to refer you to
6	Tribunal sustained. There is no relevance whatsoever.	6	documentdocumentwell, we'll wait. We'll lay a
7	He's talking aboutthis has nothing to do with the	7	predicate for that.
8	issues before the Tribunal.	8	How did you learn about the Lesivo
9	MR. DEBEVOISE: I don't know how Ferrovías	9	Declaration?
10	can understand that they're going to continue to	10	A. The Lesividad Declaration was basically
11	receive rents for an easement for 50 years from a	11	something very public in Guatemala. It became known
12	company that is not licensed to provide electricity.	12	through statements in the press, of the radio. And
13	MR. STERN: They have a Contract, first of	13	before we learned about this publicly, we had talked
13	all. And, again, this is trying to smear GESUR and,	13	to Ferrovias. And, initially, they had given us the
14	by extension, smearing Ferrovías.	14	certainty that we would be able to continue, as we
15	MR. DEBEVOISE: The witness has stated that	15	have continued so far with our Contracts, but that
10	legal security was their concern. Legal security can	10	they were concerned because they did not know whether
17	work both ways.	17	they were going to have some continuity in their
10	MR. STERN: Excuse me. I didn't know I was		
		19	dealings with the Government.
20	engaged in colloquy with Guatemala's counsel.	20	Q. Did they tell you that their legal rights
21	Again, I object to the relevance of this	21	under their Concession were still in force,
22	question.	22	notwithstanding the lesivo?
	476		478
04:24:18 1	PRESIDENT RIGO: Basically we sustain the	04:28:06 1	A. They mentioned to us that as long as the
2	objection as we have sustained the previous one.	2	possibility to reach an agreement with the Government
3	If you could move on.	3	was still valid, we could continue with our Contracts
4	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:	4	as we have done so far.
5	Q. All right. Well, Mr. Spiegeler, why don't	5	Q. Were you aware that, with the Declaration of
6	we talk about your personal involvement in negotiation	6	Lesivo, they didn't lose their legal right to the
7	of this Preliminary Agreement.	7	concession?
8	How many meetings did you attend in	8	A. The Lesividad Declaration in a country such
9	connection with the negotiation of this Agreement?	9	as Guatemala is very concerning because it means that
10	A. This Preliminary Agreement, as well as the	10	a Government, at that point in time, may have the
11	previous Agreements, were carried out with Mr. Senn,	11	desire to terminate a specific Concession; that is to
12	as well as Mr. Juan Pablo Carrasco at one point, to be	12	say, it is something that cannot be taken lightly, but
13	able to indicate the requirement we were going to have	13	it is quite concerning, in particular, if part of our
15	in terms of easement for the remaining 32 kilometers.	13	business is a Contract that we have with Ferrovías.
14	And, clearly, we had several meetings to be able to	14	Therefore, we were also very concerned, and we
15	continue with thewith the expansion of our easement	15	continue to be concerned to date, in the sense that
10	requirement.	10	the Government could make a unilateral decision to
17	-	17	terminate these Contracts.
	Q. Did you have a signed term sheet for this deal?		
19		19	Q. These Contracts you have in GESUR with
20	A. There is an Agreement with them, but the	20	Ferrovías, these are in what we refer to in this
21	Contract was not signed because of lesividad. The	21	proceeding as Phase 2, the southern line, Southwest of
22	Contract is drafted anduntil all the legal	22	Guatemala City; correct? Or Escuintla?

		479		481
04:29:45	1	A. That is correct.	04:32:43 1	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:
01120113	2	Q. And the Declaration of Lesivo was with	2	Q. You'll see a photograph there of the right of
	3	respect to a Concession for rolling stock, for railway		way, of Ferrovias' Concession between Palín and
	4	cars and engines; correct?	4	Escuintla, the area where you had your easement. And
	5	A. The Lesividad Declaration that prevents a	5	you'll see that the poles are right in the middle of
	6	company from continuing their business is the	6	the right of way; correct?
	7	beginning of the end of a Global Contract. It is not	7	MR. STERN: I'm going to object. The witness
	, 8	just a small portion of what was declared lesivo, but	, 8	says he has never seen this document. He's not an
	9	since they cannot operate, there are some other	9	author of the document. He's asking to him to
	10	problemsand we have already experienced them, and it	10	authenticate and agree to photographs that he didn't
	11	has to do with the squatters along the lines, along	10	take and hasn't had any involvement in.
	12	the railway lines, since the train is no longer	11	MR. DEBEVOISE: Well, he can certainly say
	13	operating.	12	whether these poles are in the middle of the easement.
	14	Q. You said in your Statement that GESUR and	13	The photograph is right there.
	15	Planos y Puntos had invested more than \$10 million in	14	MR. STERN: Then I object to the relevance to
	16	the easement; is that correct?	15	the question.
	10	A. Whatwhat is invested for that amount that	10	MR. DEBEVOISE: The relevance will become
		you indicated refers to the line for transmission,		
	18 19	distribution and substations that are installed along	18 19	quite obvious with my next question. MR. STERN: Then I would request that you get
		the railway line. That's the amount that's been spent		to the relevance.
	20 21	thus far; not only on the line, but on all the	20 21	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Debevoise, why don't you
	21 22	equipment required for being able to distribute to the	21	explain to the witness what exactly the document is,
	44	equipment required for being able to distribute to the	22	explain to the witness what exactly the document is,
		480		482
04:31:21	1	users.	04:33:53 1	1 ,
	2	MR. DEBEVOISE: Could we have Exhibit R-259,	2	after the other.
	3	please.	3	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:
	4	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:	4	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, this report, as you can read
	5	Q. And would you please confirm for me that this	5	here, is a report to the Overseer of FEGUA from the
	6	document dated June 10, 2003, Guatemala City. It's	6	Chief Engineer reporting on encroachments on the right
	7	addressed to Mr. Sarceno, the Overseer of FEGUA; is	7	of way. And it shows photographs taken during a
	8	that correct?	8	survey of the right of way, including electric poles
	9	A. That is correct.	9	and wires in the right of way.
	10	And I can also ratify for you that that's the	10	Now, you said that your company invested
	11	firstthis is the first time I'm looking at this	11	\$10 millionnot all of it in polesbut a
	12	document. I don't know what it's about, and I don't	12	considerable sum of money, and if the poles are in the
	13	know what its relevance is.	13	middle of the right of way, how will you have any
	14	MR. DEBEVOISE: Can we scroll down, please.	14	security if Ferrovías constructs a railroad?
	15	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:	15	You're going to have to move those poles,
	16	Q. And this is coming from Mr. Miguel Angel	16	won't you?
	17	Samayoa, Chief, Engineering Planning and Projects	17	MR. STERN: Objection; no foundation.
	18	Department at FEGUA; correct?	18	MR. DEBEVOISE: The photographs are there for
	19	A. Okay. Yes, that's how it appears here.	19	everyone to see.
	20	MR. DEBEVOISE: If we could scroll back to	20	MR. STERN: No foundation to connect any of
	21	Page 3, please.	21	this evidence to this witness or knowledge of this
	22		22	witness.

		1	
	483		485
04:35:16 1	MR. DEBEVOISE: I believe we're talking about	04:38:48 1	would there be any threat at that point to your
2	the investment that would bethat has been made and	2	easements?
3	would be wasted if Ferrovías were to comply with its	3	A. That's why I'm here, because at this time,
4	Contract.	4	they there could eventually be some kind of problem
5	MR. STERN: Again, there is no foundation for	5	with the Declaration of Lesividad that would impair
6	this document to ask the witness questions about this;	6	our interest. As you yourself is showing in the
7	none.	7	photos, we are using the right of way which we
8	PRESIDENT RIGO: We will dismiss the	8	havewhich has been recognized with Ferrovias for the
9	objection, and you may go ahead.	9	use and usufruct of this part of the transmission
10	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:	10	line. So we are concerned that, in effect, this could
11	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, then, the question is: If	11	come to pass.
12	Ferrovías decided to construct Phase 2 under their	12	Q. And you're also concerned about your existing
12	Concession, GESUR would need to move any poles that	13	easement?
13	were in the right of way; correct?	13	A. That is correct. What's mostwhat concerns
	• •		
15	A. That is correct. If at a given point in time	15	us most at this time is the existing easement.
16	there is a postsuch as that you're indicating	16	Logically, for that very reasonand it's because of
17	therethat's in the middle of the right of way and	17	the uncertaintywe were unable to enter into the
18	someand it needs to be moved in order to have the	18	Contract for extension of the line.
19	way cleared, once the squatter settlementswhich you	19	Q. But if the Court were to rule in favor of
20	can see right thereare no longer there, at that	20	Ferrovías, would you resume negotiations?
21	time, GESUR would have no problem whatsoever moving	21	A. I would answer in the affirmative,
22	any type of post which, at a given point in time,	22	immediately.
	484		486
04:37:07 1	might need to be moved in order to leave a clear	04:40:16 1	Q. I think in your Statement you indicated that
2	passageway for the train.	2	you learned about this Declaration of Lesivo in the
3	Q. And that would cost your company a lot of	3	press; is that correct?
4	money; correct?	4	A. That is correct. We had just recently signed
5	A. What needs to be done to guarantee that	5	the last Contract that we have with Ferrovias, and if
6	Ferrovías will continue using the rail linewell, we	6	you look at the documents, it's from the same year,
0 7	have no difficulty whatsoever doing what needs to be	7	2006, as the Declaration of Lesividad.
0	done because it figures in our Contracts that once	8	For that very reason, we are very muchwe're
0	Ferrovías requires the moving of some posts, we want	9	looking at any situation that might affect the
ד 10			
10	to make sure it's not in the way, there is no problem	10	interests that we had to this right of way.
11	doing that.	11	Q. And I think you also indicated in your
12	Q. Are you aware that there was a pending court	12	Statement that what you heard in the press indicated
13	decision in which a judge is determining whether the	13	to you that the Government was against Ferrovías, and
14	Declaration of Lesividad properly issued?	14	you attributed that to statements of from people in
15	Yes or no. Are you aware?	15	the Government; is that correct?
16	A. No, I'm not aware of it.	16	A. That is correct, beginning with the President
17	Q. Are you aware that the Court can find that	17	of the Republic, who was the first one who came out
18	the Lesivo Declaration should not have been issued?	18	publicly to make such indications to both the written
19	Yes or no?	19	and broadcast media.
20	A. No, I'm not aware of that.	20	Q. Right. And I'd like to show you a little
21	Q. Under Guatemalan Law, the Court can overturn	21	video and see if you ever saw this video.
22		l	-
	the Declaration of Lesivo. If that were to happen,	22	(Video shown.)
	the Declaration of Lesivo. If that were to happen,	22	(Video shown.)

	487		489
04:43:01 1	MR. DEBEVOISE: For the record, by the way,	04:45:57 1	clarifying.
2	that is Exhibit C-132.	2	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you.
3	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:	3	Do you have any?
4	Q. Did you recognize any of the people in that	4	MR. STERN: Briefly, please.
5	video, Mr. Spiegeler?	5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6	A. Yes. Mr. Juan Pablo Carrasco, who is here in	6	BY MR. STERN:
7	the room, appears there and also Mr. Henry Posner and	7	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, do you have personal knowledge
8	Jorge Senn.	8	of all the facts contained in your Statement?
9	Q. Thank you very much. Did you recognize the	9	A. Where I have made a Statement, yes.
10	backdrop in that video, what was written on the wall	10	Q. And do you have personal knowledge of all the
11	behind the people who were speaking?	11	facts contained in the First Statement that was
12	A. No, I didn't notice that.	12	rendered by Mr. Ordoñez and Mr. Paredes?
13	Q. We can put it back up, if you like, but I	13	A. That is correct. I also have such knowledge.
14	think it's clear to all that it said "Ferrovías."	14	Q. And were you personally involved in the facts
15	So this was a press conference that was being	15	that are stated in both of these Statements?
16	conducted at some premise where they wanted the press	16	A. That is correct, in the affirmative.
17	to know that it was Ferrovias' press conference.	17	Q. And that would include the decision of your
18	Does that look right, in the frame you're	18	companies not to go forward with the Preliminary
19	seeing now?	19	Agreement with Ferrovías which is described in both of
20	A. Correct. I can see it says "Ferrovías"	20	the Statements?
21	behind him.	21	A. It was decided not to continue until there
22	Q. News doesn't get into newspapers and radio	22	were legal certainty that, in effect, it would be
	488		490
04:44:12 1	and TV unless someone puts it there, someone releases	04:47:23 1	possible to continue with the already existing
2	it; correct?	2	Contracts and with a new Contract.
3	A. I can't say for sure.	3	Q. Again, have you personal knowledge of that
4	Q. Okay. Thank you.	4	decision? You were involved in that; correct?
5	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Debevoise, your time is	5	A. That is correct.
6	up.	6	MR. STERN: Thank you. Nothing further.
7	MR. DEBEVOISE: Our time calculator gave me	7	PRESIDENT RIGO: Thank you.
8	eight more minutes, but I apologize.	8	QUESTIONS FROM THE TRIBUNAL
9	PRESIDENT RIGO: I should say, as a matter of	9	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: We saw a video of the
10	administration of the proceedings, that we are	10	Ferrovías press conference, and I believe there was
11	counting the time; while if the Tribunal would	11	also a press release.
12	interrupt and ask questions, we are not counting this	12	Did you first learn of the Lesivo Declaration
13	time as the Parties' time.	13	from this press conference and from the press release
14	Nonetheless, we count any incident during the	14	from Ferrovías?
15	cross-examination in which there are objections or the	15	THE WITNESS: No. I had already learned of
16	Tribunal has to decide as part of the	16	it prior to this press release at the time when the
17	cross-examination. So that may be the reason.	17	Declaration of Lesividad was made. The President of
18	But if you have one question morealso, as I	18	the Republic, Óscar Berger, well, when he made that
19	said, we have to sort of stop just shortly before	19	Declaration, that's when we found out about it. This
20	5:00, and I would like if the Tribunal has any	20	video came long after the date of Declaration of
21	question or the other Party for redirect.	21	Lesividad by the President.
22	MR. DEBEVOISE: Thank you, Mr. President, for	22	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Was the President's

	491		493
04:48:46 1	Declaration publicized by the media at the time?	04:51:48 1	lines.
2	THE WITNESS: That is correct. It was widely	2	PRESIDENT RIGO: Any questions, Mr. Stern, on
3	publicized.	3	the questions of Mr. Eizenstat?
4	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Mr. Debevoise asked	4	MR. STERN: Nothing further.
5	about the different Contracts.	5	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Debevoise?
6	Were you aware that, under two of these	6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
7	Deeds, 143 and 158, that Ferrovías continued to have	7	BY MR. DEBEVOISE:
8	the right to operate the railway, notwithstanding the	8	Q. Mr. Spiegeler, you were asked again by
9	Declaration?	9	Mr. Eizenstat about how you learned about the Lesivo
10	THE WITNESS: Our last Contract is dated	10	Declaration.
11	August 2006, and it was entered into before the	11	Did you read the Official Gazette when this
12	Declaration of the Lesividad of the Contracts.	12	came out?
13	In other words, the Contracts that we have	13	A. That is to say, before it came out of the
14	signed were before this was declared by the President.	14	Official Gazette, it was already publicly known that
15	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Did Ferrovías, when	15	President Óscar Berger was against this Concession.
16	you indicated that you were not going to proceed with	16	Subsequently, since it was a very important
17	this next Contract, try to dissuade you and tell you	17	matter for us, we were paying close attention to any
18	that they still maintained the right to the equipment	18	matter that might be related to the easements we had;
19	and, under Deed 402, could legally have continued to	19	and anything that might affect Ferrovías would
20	operate?	20	indirectly affect us as well.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, but not so much with	21	MR. DEBEVOISE: I have no further questions.
22	respect to the equipment because the equipment isn't	22	PRESIDENT RIGO: Very good. Thank you.
	492		494
04:50:12 1	1 , , , 5	04:53:16 1	How do you pronounce your name? Do you say
2	way for the train, along the train line. In other	2	Speegler (ph.) or Speigeler (ph.)?
3	words, what's of interest for us is to be able to	3	THE WITNESS: (Pronouncing)
4	continue with the Territorial Concession, which is	4	PRESIDENT RIGO: Mr. Spiegeler, thank you
5	where our line runs. The difficulty, once one no	5	very much for your testimony. You may stand down.
6	longer has the equipment, is that operations are	6	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Very kind of you.
7	suspended and this entails people beginning to invade	7	PRESIDENT RIGO: As I said at the beginning
8	the land, squatters. So the one thing brings along	8	of the session, that we would adjourn shortly before
9	the other.	9	5:00, and this is sort of right on the spot. And we
10	So once the train no longer run, then people	10	will reconvene on Sunday, 2 o'clock in the afternoon
11	invade the lands, and this stands in the way of us	11	fromour session from 2:00 to 6:00.
12	maintaining our transmission and distribution lines.	12	Thank you so much. Enjoy the break.
13	That is the problem subsequent to this	13	(Whereupon, at 4:55 p.m., the hearing was
14	Declaration.	14	adjourned.)
15	ARBITRATOR EIZENSTAT: Who told you that the	15	
16	trains would no longer run?	16	
17	THE WITNESS: With the Declaration of	17	
18	Lesividad by the President, logically, the company,	18	
19	Ferrovías, as such, did not continue operating its	19	
20	trains, and, therefore, this led people to begin to	20	
21	invade places where they had not done so before. And	21	
22	this is prejudicial to us as regards maintaining the	22	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Dawn K. Larson, RDR, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were stenographically recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewritten form by computer-assisted transcription under my direction and supervision; and that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this action in this proceeding, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this litigation.

DAWN K. LARSON

B&B Reporters 529 14th Street, S.E. Washington, DC 20003 (202) 544-1903

495