Directions from the Tribunal to the Parties of October 21, 2013.

Dear Mesdames and Sirs,

The Arbitral Tribunal refers to the Claimants’ letters of 25 September, 9 and 10 October and to Respondent's letter of 3 October 2013.

The Arbitral Tribunal has taken note of Respondent’s schedule in the first half of 2013. It should be recalled that the hearing has been postponed various times (PO17 [sic] of 7 July 2012: Jul/Sep/Oct 2013; PO 15 of 20 November 2012: Oct/Nov 2013; PO17 of 8 February 2013: 18-30 November 2013; PO21 of 2 May 2013: calendar suspended). In order to ensure the progress of this arbitration, the majority of the Arbitral Tribunal has decided to hold the hearing as suggested, i.e., in the last two weeks of June 2014. The September dates appear not to be available to all members of the Tribunal. The Arbitral Tribunal reserves the possibility to provide for additional days of hearing if necessary or appropriate in view of future developments.

Concerning Claimants’ request of 25 September 2013 for discontinuance of withdrawn Claimants, the Arbitral Tribunal notes that Claimants have already submitted a list of the concerned Claimants. As to the ‘revocation documents” requested by Respondent, the Arbitral Tribunal notes that Claimants allege having already submitted these documents in the context of the document production process, and that Respondent has never raised any issue with regard to such production. Respondent is hereby invited to indicate by 24 October 2013 whether it maintains its request, and if so, to state the reasons why the documents produced by Claimants are not sufficient for the purposes of identifying the Claimants and confirming their withdrawal.

Concerning Claimants’ request of 10 October 2013, the Arbitral Tribunal notes that Respondent has not objected to Claimants' request as concerns the ‘Old Data’ within two days as of receipt of Claimants' letter and that Claimants may thus proceed with the relevant updates according to Procedural Order No. 22. As concerns Claimants’ request to update ‘New Data’, Respondent is invited to indicate by 24 October 2013 whether it has any objection to such update, and if so, to state the reasons for such objection.

Best regards,

Pierre Tercier

On behalf of the majority of the Arbitral Tribunal

A Statement of Dissent of Dr. Santiago Bernárdez Torres is attached.