

**PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 17**

**ANNEX A**

**I. OUTSTANDING PRIVILEGE CLAIMS IN CLAIMANTS' FIRST PRIVILEGE/CONFIDENTIALITY LOG**

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
1	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as a B-Mex member requesting legal advice from B-Mex outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
2	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as made for purposes of securing legal advice from B-Mex outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
3	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as made for purposes of securing legal advice of B-Mex's corporate counsel and containing legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
4	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 3.
5	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
6	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as made for purposes of securing legal advice of B-Mex's corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
7	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 1.
8	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 1.
17	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from

Document Log Number	Tribunal's Decision
	former NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any parts of the document reflecting legal advice from former NAFTA Counsel.
18	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as attorney work product of B-Mex's outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
19	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 18.
20	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal opinion from outside corporate counsel is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from and attorney work product by B-Mex outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
22	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as attaching attorney work product is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
24	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as B-Mex's outside corporate counsel providing information regarding litigation is fair, the Tribunal orders production of the document only insofar as it reflects information already available to the public from the proceedings before the Denver District Court. The remainder of the document may be redacted.
26	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to terms of the Engagement Agreement that was being negotiated is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting information related to terms of the Engagement Agreement.
27	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting mental impressions and legal strategy of NAFTA Counsel and discussing the terms of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) information related to the confidential fee arrangement or (ii) legal advice.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
28	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 27.
33	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the confidential fee arrangement terms of the Engagement Agreement that was being negotiated is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting information related to terms of the Engagement Agreement.
37	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the confidential fee arrangement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting information related to the confidential fee arrangement.
39	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as requesting and providing information to assist in the preparation of potential litigation is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
69	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document contains legal advice to Mr Conley from his personal counsel (in part), the Tribunal finds that the document is privileged to the extent it contains such legal advice. However, insofar as Mr. Conley has voluntarily shared the document containing such legal advice with a third party without taking any steps to preserve the privilege, the Tribunal finds that the privilege has been waived and the document must be produced unredacted.
70	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document contains legal advice to Mr Conley from his personal counsel (in part), the Tribunal finds that the document is privileged to the extent it contains such legal advice. However, insofar as Mr. Conley has voluntarily shared the document containing such legal advice with a third party without taking any steps to preserve the privilege, the Tribunal finds that the privilege has been waived and the document must be produced unredacted.
71	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as containing mental impressions and legal opinion of outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	portions reflecting mental impressions and legal opinion of outside corporate counsel.
72	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as containing mental impressions and legal opinion of outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting mental impressions and legal opinion of outside corporate counsel.
73	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting confidential terms of the Engagement Agreement and containing mental impressions and legal opinion of corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) reflecting confidential terms of the Engagement Agreement and (ii) containing mental impressions and legal opinion of outside corporate counsel.
86	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
87	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as requesting legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
89	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 87.
92	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 86.
93	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 87.
99	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 86.
102	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 18.
103	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as made for purposes of securing legal advice of B-Mex's corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.

## II. OUTSTANDING PRIVILEGE CLAIMS IN CLAIMANTS' SECOND PRIVILEGE/CONFIDENTIALITY LOG

Document Log Number	Tribunal's Decision
5	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
6	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
16	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as a NAFTA Claimant seeking legal advice from NAFTA counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
17	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects no NAFTA litigation strategy or terms of engagement of NAFTA counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
18	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document does not reflect or seek legal advice from Mr. Ayervais or communicate the terms of the QE Engagement Agreement, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
21	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's acceptance of the QE Claimants' description of the document as discussing documents for preparation of a demand letter, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
23	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting communication with B-Mex outside counsel and reflecting the privileged and confidential terms of the Quinn Emanuel engagement letter is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice and mental impressions from counsel; (ii) NAFTA litigation strategy; and (iii) terms of the Quinn Emanuel engagement letter. To the

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
25	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants have produced the document and applied redactions consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal does not make any further order.
26	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting confidential settlement discussions, legal advice from B-Mex outside counsel, and terms of Quinn Emanuel Engagement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice from B-Mex outside counsel, and (ii) terms of Quinn Emanuel Engagement. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
29	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from Mr Ayervais (in part), which is consistent with the QE Claimants' description, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from Mr Ayervais. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
30	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting details of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting details of the Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
36	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document is contained within Document 5347, the Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 778 in the Annex to PO16.
37	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal strategy and legal advice from NAFTA Counsel and discusses confidential terms of engagement with NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal strategy and legal advice from NAFTA Counsel and (ii) confidential terms of engagement with NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
38	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as following legal advice and strategy from NAFTA counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
44	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the terms of the Engagement Agreement and legal advice and mental impressions from counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) the terms of the Engagement Agreement and (ii) legal advice and mental impressions from counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
46	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document is a duplicate of Document 5860, the Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 358 in the Annex to PO16.
47	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting and requesting legal advice of B-Mex corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting and requesting legal advice of B-Mex corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
51	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting terms of the Quinn Emanuel engagement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
52	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
53	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 825 in this Section.
54	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	the terms of the Engagement Agreement and legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) the terms of the Engagement Agreement and (ii) legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
58	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as discussing NAFTA litigation strategy and the distribution of potential proceeds from the NAFTA litigation is fair (in part), and the Privilege Expert's observation that the document contains details regarding the Engagement Agreement, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and the distribution of potential proceeds from the NAFTA litigation and (ii) details regarding the Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
63	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document contains legal advice, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
64	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to Engagement Agreement and confidential fee arrangement and containing legal advice is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
65	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document contains legal advice to Mr Conley from his personal counsel (in part), the Tribunal finds that the document is privileged to the extent it contains such legal advice. However, insofar as Mr. Conley has voluntarily shared the document with a third party without taking any steps to preserve the privilege, the Tribunal finds that the privilege has been waived and the document must be produced unredacted.
66	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as a NAFTA Claimant seeking legal advice from NAFTA Counsel and containing confidential information pertaining to the NAFTA Arbitration is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice and (ii) containing confidential information

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	pertaining to the NAFTA Arbitration. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
68	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
71	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
74	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 575.
75	While the Tribunal notes that the privilege expert considered the document to reflect legal advice, the Tribunal also notes that the document was a "Cease and Desist Letter" from B-Mex outside counsel to Mr John Williams, which necessarily intended to disclose the privileged information contained therein. The Tribunal therefore finds that privilege has been waived and orders the production of the document unredacted.
77	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document seeks legal advice and reflects discussions concerning a settlement of litigation in Colorado, and discusses the terms of the Engagement Agreement, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking or reflecting legal advice and (ii) the terms of the Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, and taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
78	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice and mental impressions from outside counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from outside counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
80	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as discussing litigation strategy and reflecting legal advice from NAFTA counsel and terms of engagement of NAFTA counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) litigation strategy, (ii) legal advice, and (iii) terms of engagement of NAFTA counsel.
83	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document does not seek or reflect legal advice from Mr. Ayervais, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
93	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 843.
95	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as a NAFTA Claimant seeking legal advice from NAFTA Counsel in regards to the NAFTA Arbitration is fair, the Tribunal orders upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
96	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice and mental impressions from outside counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
97	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice rendered by outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice by outside corporate counsel.
104	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 47.
106	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants have produced the document with Tribunal-ordered redactions, the Tribunal does not make any further order.
109	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as made for purposes of securing legal advice from B-Mex corporate counsel and as reflecting legal advice

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	rendered by outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
111	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relaying legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
112	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
114	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting the confidential terms of the Engagement Agreement and the legal opinion of outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) the legal opinion of outside corporate counsel and (ii) terms of the Engagement Agreement.
117	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
119	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
120	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
121	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
125	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting terms of QEU&S Engagement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. The Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
129	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and details of Claimants' Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice from outside corporate counsel and (ii) details of Claimants' Engagement Agreement.
130	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
131	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting details of Engagement Agreement and legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel and NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) details of Engagement Agreement and (ii) legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel and NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
139	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice rendered by outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice rendered by outside corporate counsel.
143	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as discussing confidential terms of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	reflecting terms of the Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
145	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document does not reflect or seek legal advice from Mr. Ayervais or communicate the terms of the QE Engagement Letter, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
146	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from NAFTA Counsel and corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from NAFTA Counsel and corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
148	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from corporate counsel.
151	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
153	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
159	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 825.
161	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
163	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
164	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
169	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice and mental impressions from outside counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting reflects legal advice and mental impressions from outside counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
170	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the terms of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting the terms of the Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
171	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 821.
172	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. The Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
174	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as mentioning NAFTA case and litigation strategy is fair, and that the document contains legal advice, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
176	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document does not seek or reflect legal advice, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
178	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	confidential terms of engagement with Quinn Emanuel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
180	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
181	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 566.
184	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
191	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
193	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting information related to the Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
194	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 266.
198	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as regarding B-Mex corporate matters is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
202	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as requesting and providing legal advice from B-Mex outside corporate counsel and as regarding B-Mex corporate matters is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
206	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as made for purposes of securing legal advice from B-Mex's corporate counsel is fair, and that the document contains legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
209	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to confidential fee arrangement and legal advice related to the NAFTA Arbitration is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) information related to confidential fee arrangement and (ii) legal advice related to the NAFTA Arbitration. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
210	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document does not seek, and the document does not reflect, legal advice, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
213	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as containing a legal response from outside corporate counsel is fair, and that the document contains legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
214	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as containing legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
216	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
217	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	impressions from NAFTA Counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
220	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
228	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting a request for legal advice and attorney work product and reflecting legal advice from B-Mex corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
232	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that document reflects legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
237	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that document does not seek or reflect legal advice or refer to documents which may contain privileged and confidential information, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
239	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the Engagement Agreement and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
242	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice from outside corporate counsel and (ii) details of the Engagement Agreement.
252	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects terms of the Quinn Emanuel engagement, mental impressions of NAFTA Counsel, and legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	any portions reflecting (i) reflects terms of the Quinn Emanuel engagement, (ii) mental impressions of NAFTA Counsel, and (iii) legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
253	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's acceptance of the QE Claimants' description of the document, and that the Privilege Expert's observation that the document discusses documents for preparing a demand letter in anticipation of litigation, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
254	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
257	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice from NAFTA Counsel and reflecting details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
260	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice and reflecting details of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice and (ii) reflecting details of the Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, and taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
263	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 1.
265	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 106.
266	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants have produced the document with Tribunal-ordered redactions, the Tribunal does not make any further order.
267	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
270	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects NAFTA litigation strategy and legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
272	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice in regards to the NAFTA Arbitration and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
273	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice in regards to the NAFTA Arbitration and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
276	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from Mexican legal counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from Mexican legal counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
279	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that it has not been waived.
292	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 120.
297	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document does not reflect NAFTA litigation strategy or legal advice, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
298	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 3.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
300	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document is contained within Document 5347, the Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 778 in the Annex to PO16.
303	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting substance of a privileged meeting is fair (in part), and contains legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
306	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that it reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
307	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that it seeks legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions seeking legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
312	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that it reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
323	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that it contains legal advice, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
324	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as involving NAFTA litigation strategy and terms of engagement of NAFTA counsel is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice from NAFTA Counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy, (ii) legal advice from

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	NAFTA Counsel and (iii) terms of the engagement of NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
325	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 58.
326	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice from NAFTA Counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of the engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
330	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting details of Engagement Agreement and legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel and NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) details of Engagement Agreement and (ii) legal advice provided by counsel, save insofar as the privileged information was disclosed to the public in the proceedings before the Denver District Court and to that extent privilege has therefore been waived.
334	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 266.
336	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
345	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from NAFTA Counsel and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. The Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
349	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as discussing privileged legal advice related to NAFTA case strategy is fair (in part), and that the document

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	reflects details of the Engagement Agreement, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice related to NAFTA case strategy and (ii) details of the Engagement Agreement.
351	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
354	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
357	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
362	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
363	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
365	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects the terms of the Engagement Agreement (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	reflecting the terms of the Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
367	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
374	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
376	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice rendered by outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice rendered by outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
378	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice rendered by outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice rendered by outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
379	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 58.
380	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants have already produced Document 6323, that Exhibit A to Document 6323 (Document 6322) contains details of Engagement Agreement and mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel (in part), and that Document 4859 does not contain details of the Engagement Agreement or mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of Document 4859 unredacted and the production of Document 6322 subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) details of the Engagement Agreement or (ii) mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel, save insofar as the privileged information was disclosed to the public in the proceedings before the Denver District Court and to that extent privilege has therefore been waived.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
381	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting terms of Quinn Emanuel Engagement is fair (in part), and that the document contains mental impressions of NAFTA Counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) terms of Quinn Emanuel Engagement and (ii) mental impressions of NAFTA Counsel.
392	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
394	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 479.
395	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relaying legal advice and information related to the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
399	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
402	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document is a transmittal email that attached Document 6546 to which no privilege attaches, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
403	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document does not seek or reflect legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
404	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	Claimants' privilege claim. The Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
405	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
408	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects discussions of the NAFTA arbitration and legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) discussions of the NAFTA arbitration and (ii) legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
410	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
412	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and details of Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice and (ii) details of Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
418	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
420	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document is a letter from Mr Taylor to NAFTA Counsel concerning the NAFTA arbitration and reflects details of the Engagement Agreement, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
421	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 25.
423	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice rendered by outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
424	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice, details of the Engagement Agreement and mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) mental impressions and legal advice from counsel and (ii) details of the Engagement Agreement.
426	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relaying legal advice is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
427	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as a communication discussing a privileged matter is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
448	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting details of Engagement Agreement and mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) details of Engagement Agreement and (ii) mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
449	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
451	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as made for purposes of securing legal advice from B-Mex's corporate counsel is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
453	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
454	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as requesting legal advice is fair, and that the document reveals legal advice from outside corporate counsel and attorney work product, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
456	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice and reflecting details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
457	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
458	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document, without attachments, does not reflect details of the Engagement Agreement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants or mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document without the attachments.
460	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relaying legal advice and mental impressions and legal advice from NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	any portions reflecting (i) legal advice and (ii) mental impressions and legal advice from NAFTA Counsel.
464	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting terms of the NAFTA Engagement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting terms of the NAFTA Engagement.
478	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
479	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
482	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice in regards to the NAFTA Arbitration and reflecting details of Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
486	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 449.
487	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the Tribunal has already ruled on the 29 July 2016 email, and that no legal privilege appears to attach to the 30 July 2016 email, the Tribunal orders production of the document subject to its decision in Document Log Number 17 of Annex A to PO13.
489	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice in regards to the NAFTA Arbitration is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice and (ii) reflecting legal advice from NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
491	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
494	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice and (ii) reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
495	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as requesting information and legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions seeking legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
506	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice in regards to the NAFTA Arbitration and reflecting details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
512	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
514	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document is fair, and that the document contains legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
523	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice and reflecting details of Engagement Agreement and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice,

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	(ii) reflecting details of Engagement Agreement and (iii) reflecting legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel, save insofar as it is already available to the public from the proceedings before the Denver District Court.
529	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 174.
533	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to confidential fee arrangement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants and legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) the confidential fee arrangement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants and (ii) legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel.
538	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal strategy and legal advice of Claimants' NAFTA Counsel and discussing confidential terms of engagement with NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal strategy and legal advice of Claimants' NAFTA Counsel and (ii) terms of engagement with NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
539	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relaying legal advice, mental impressions and legal strategy from Claimants' NAFTA Counsel regarding the NAFTA Arbitration is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice, mental impressions and legal strategy from Claimants' NAFTA Counsel regarding the NAFTA Arbitration. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
540	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), but does not contain details of the Engagement Agreement, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
546	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as discussing matters related to the NAFTA Arbitration following legal advice and strategy from NAFTA

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	Counsel is fair, and that the document invites provision of information to counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
547	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relaying legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions relaying legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
548	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from NAFTA Counsel and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
550	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document does not seek or reflect legal advice, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
551	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
554	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting terms of the NAFTA Engagement is fair, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting terms of the NAFTA Engagement.
555	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 64.
556	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as discussing the details of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), and that the document reflect legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) details of the Engagement Agreement and (ii) advice from outside corporate counsel.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
562	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice concerning settlement discussions (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice concerning settlement discussions. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
564	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice and reflecting details of Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice, (ii) reflecting details of Engagement Agreement and (iii) reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
566	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel and legal advice and strategy from NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice from outside corporate counsel and (ii) legal advice and strategy from NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
568	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relaying legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
570	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 174.
572	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice from NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice from NAFTA Counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice from NAFTA Counsel and (ii) reflecting legal advice from NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, and taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
573	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice and reflecting details of Engagement Agreement and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice; (ii) reflecting details of Engagement Agreement and (iii) reflecting legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel from NAFTA Counsel, save insofar as it is already available to the public from the proceedings before the Denver District Court.
575	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document is seeking legal advice from B-Mex outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that it has not been waived.
576	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 25.
577	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to confidential fee arrangement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants and legal advice related to the NAFTA Arbitration is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) the fee arrangement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants and (ii) legal advice related to the NAFTA Arbitration. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
578	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 432.
579	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
580	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting terms of Quinn Emanuel Engagement is fair (in part), and that the document reflects mental impressions of NAFTA counsel and legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) terms of Quinn Emanuel Engagement, (ii) mental impressions of NAFTA counsel and (iii) legal advice from outside corporate counsel.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
582	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
583	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as requesting legal advice and reflecting NAFTA litigation strategy and terms of engagement of NAFTA counsel is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) requesting legal advice from outside corporate counsel, (ii) reflecting NAFTA litigation strategy, (iii) reflecting terms of engagement of NAFTA counsel and (iv) reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
584	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice and reflecting details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds QE Claimants' privilege claim. Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
586	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice and attorney work product by B-Mex outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
588	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relaying legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
596	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
603	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel and mental

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	impressions and legal advice from Claimants' NAFTA Counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
606	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
608	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 887.
612	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
618	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
621	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as made for purposes of securing legal advice from B-Mex's corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal orders the production of the document only insofar as it is already available to the public from the proceedings before the Denver District Court.
622	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice from outside corporate counsel and (ii) details of the Engagement Agreement.
624	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice is fair, and that the document reflects attorney work product, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
625	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 491.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
629	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning legal advice is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) concerning legal advice and (ii) reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
632	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 90 (which relates to a document which is a duplicate of Document ID No 6186).
637	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 210.
643	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
645	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
648	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
649	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice from outside corporate counsel and reflecting details of Engagement Agreement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice from outside corporate counsel, (ii) reflecting details of Engagement Agreement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants, (iii) reflecting legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel, and (iv) reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel, save insofar as the privileged information was disclosed to the public in the proceedings before the Denver District Court and to that extent privilege has therefore been waived.
652	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as discussing strategy for

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	preparation of draft complaint is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
661	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document contains no discussion of the Engagement Agreement or its terms, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
664	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the attachment to the document (Document Number 6618) contains details of the Engagement Agreement and mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel (in part), and that the document itself does not contain details of the Engagement Agreement or mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document unredacted and orders the production of the attachment (Document Number 6618) subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) details of the Engagement Agreement and (ii) mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
668	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 568.
670	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document contains no discussion of the Engagement Agreement, and does not appear to contain or seek legal advice from outside corporate counsel or otherwise reflect attorney work product, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
671	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 184.
672	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
676	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 448.
679	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 494.
681	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
683	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	outside counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
686	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
694	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice.
698	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting a request for legal advice is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice from and attorney work product B-Mex outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
705	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting information related to the Engagement Agreement.
707	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relating to legal advice and NAFTA litigation strategy is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice and (ii) NAFTA litigation strategy.
709	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the terms of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting information related to the terms of the Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
712	The Tribunal refers to its decisions in Document Log Number 432 of the Annex to PO16 and Document Log Number 17 of Annex A to PO13.
714	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relating to the scope of Mr. Ayervais' legal representation is fair (in part), and that the document reflects the terms of the Engagement Agreement, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting the terms of the Engagement Agreement.
716	Taking into account the observation by the privilege expert that the document contains legally privileged information, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
719	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
721	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
723	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relaying legal assessment of Claimants' NAFTA Counsel regarding the NAFTA Arbitration and discussing the terms of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. The Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
725	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice from outside corporate counsel and (ii) details of the Engagement Agreement.
732	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
736	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 572.
737	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 491.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
738	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the terms of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
739	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
741	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as an email exchange involving Mr Orta is fair, and that the document contains legally privileged information (in part), the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim subject to redaction of legally privileged information.
747	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 131.
749	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
755	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as discussing strategy for preparation of draft complaint is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice and attorney work product from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. The Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
756	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting a request for legal advice and attorney work product is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice and attorney work product by B-Mex outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
759	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 412.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
760	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting terms of Quinn Emanuel Engagement is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) legal advice from outside corporate counsel and (ii) terms of Quinn Emanuel Engagement.
762	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
763	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
770	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 172.
771	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting terms of the QE Engagement Letter is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) terms of the QE Engagement Letter and (ii) legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
775	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and details of the Engagement Agreement is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
780	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the confidential fee arrangement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
784	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
785	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 462.
786	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting information related to the fee arrangement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants is fair (in part), and that the document reflects mental impressions from NAFTA counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) information related to the fee arrangement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants and (ii) mental impressions from NAFTA counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
793	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 686.
794	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 270.
797	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects mental impressions and legal advice of NAFTA Counsel and details of Claimants' Engagement Agreement with NAFTA Counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
799	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting a request for legal advice and attorney work product is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice and attorney work product by outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
800	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel.
801	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice and reflecting details of the Engagement Agreement and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice, (ii) reflecting details of the Engagement Agreement and (iii) reflecting legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel, save insofar as the privileged information was disclosed to the public in the proceedings before the Denver District Court and to that extent privilege has therefore been waived.

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
804	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice from outside counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
806	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 72.
809	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 862.
814	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and mental impressions from NAFTA Counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
818	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relating to legal claims and reflecting NAFTA litigation strategy is fair, and that the document reflects legal advice, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
821	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document seeks review on and input to attorney work product, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
825	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as relaying legal advice is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
831	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
835	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice from outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim.
837	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice and

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	attorney work product is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
840	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 120.
843	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document does not disclose details of a possible engagement agreement, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
845	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 458.
854	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
855	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice in regards to the NAFTA Arbitration is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
857	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as requesting legal advice from B-Mex outside corporate counsel is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
862	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting details of Engagement Agreement and mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) details of Engagement Agreement and (ii) mental impressions and legal advice provided by NAFTA Counsel, save insofar as the privileged information was disclosed to the public in the proceedings before the Denver District Court and to that extent privilege has therefore been waived.
863	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 794.
865	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
867	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as discussing documents for preparation of demand letter is fair, and that this was in anticipation of litigation, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
868	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice in regards to the NAFTA Arbitration and containing confidential information pertaining to the NAFTA Arbitration is fair, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim. Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
871	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document does not reflect or seek legal advice from outside corporate counsel or communicate the terms of the Engagement Agreement, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
872	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document contains no discussion of the Engagement Agreement, and the document does not appear to contain or seek legal advice from outside corporate counsel or otherwise reflect attorney work product, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
873	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document contains no discussion of the Engagement Agreement, and the document does not appear to contain or seek legal advice from outside corporate counsel or otherwise reflect attorney work product, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
874	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document contains no discussion of the Engagement Agreement, and the document does not appear to contain or seek legal advice from outside corporate counsel or otherwise reflect attorney work product, the Tribunal orders the production of the document.
875	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel (in

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
876	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document seeks and reflects legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions seeking and reflecting legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
879	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice solicited from outside corporate counsel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice solicited from outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
882	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
883	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy and (ii) details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
884	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 643.
885	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting legal advice as well as information relating to the NAFTA Engagement Letter is fair (in part), and that the document reflects mental impressions of NAFTA counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	any portions reflecting (i) legal advice, (ii) information relating to the NAFTA Engagement Letter and (iii) mental impressions of NAFTA counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
886	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 551.
887	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as involving NAFTA litigation strategy and terms of engagement of NAFTA counsel is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice from NAFTA counsel, the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy, (ii) terms of engagement of NAFTA counsel and (iii) legal advice from NAFTA counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
888	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 52.
889	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 120.
890	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 326.
891	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 6.
892	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as concerning NAFTA litigation strategy and details of engagement of Quinn Emanuel is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting (i) NAFTA litigation strategy, and (ii) terms of engagement of Quinn Emanuel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
893	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 686.
895	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 213.
896	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as reflecting the terms of the Engagement Agreement is fair (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting the terms of the Engagement Agreement. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
897	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the QE Claimants' description of the document as seeking legal advice in regards to

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	the NAFTA Arbitration and reflecting details of Claimants' Engagement Agreement with NAFTA Counsel is fair (in part), and that the document reflects legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions (i) seeking legal advice in regards to the NAFTA Arbitration, (ii) reflecting details of Claimants' Engagement Agreement with NAFTA Counsel and (iii) reflecting legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, and taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the communications concern the NAFTA Claimants and not simply Mr Taylor, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
898	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel, the Tribunal upholds the QE Claimants' privilege claim and finds that privilege has not been waived.
904	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
905	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
906	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
907	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice provided by outside

<b>Document Log Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
	corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
908	Taking into account the observation by the Privilege Expert that the document reflects legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel (in part), the Tribunal orders the production of the document subject to the redaction of any portions reflecting legal advice provided by outside corporate counsel. To the extent privilege attaches, the Tribunal finds that privilege has not been waived.
910	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 643.
911	The Tribunal refers to its decision in Document Log Number 643.

### III. CLAIMANT'S REDACTIONS

<b>Document Log Number / Doc ID Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
390	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
820	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
4779 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
4784 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document ID Number 4779.
348	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
92	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
5477 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document Log Number 820.
8	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
585	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
654	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6785 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.

Document Log Number / Doc ID Number	Tribunal's Decision
6795 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, and in accordance with the Tribunal's ruling at paragraph 11 of PO16 that privilege has not been waived through disclosure in the AAA Arbitration, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
296	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, and in accordance with the Tribunal's ruling at paragraph 11 of PO16 that privilege has not been waived through disclosure in the AAA Arbitration, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
602	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6368 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
246	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
517	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
492	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
85	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
657	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
542	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, and unless the redacted information is already available to the public from the

Document Log Number / Doc ID Number	Tribunal's Decision
	proceedings before the Denver District Court, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
283	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
480	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
696	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
48	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
305	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
816	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
346	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document Log Number 48.
6612 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document ID Number 6368.
432	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
343	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order save that the redaction on page 137 (page 3 of the October 16, 2018 letter from Mr. Ayervais to Mr. Taylor <i>et al.</i> ) does not "reflect[] the details of Claimants' Engagement Agreement with NAFTA Counsel", the Tribunal orders the QE Claimants to remove the redaction on page 137 of the document.
6186	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document Log Number 421.

Document Log Number / Doc ID Number	Tribunal's Decision
(Doc ID)	
82	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
657	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
640	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
364	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
819	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order save that (i) the redaction on page 3, (ii) the initial redaction on page 4, (iii) the redaction on page 18, and (iv) the initial redaction on page 19, all evidently do not "reflect[] (a) the details of Claimants' Engagement Agreement with NAFTA Counsel and (b) mental impressions and legal advice from outside B-Mex corporate counsel", the Tribunal orders the QE Claimants to remove: (i) the redaction on page 3, (ii) the initial redaction on page 4, (iii) the redaction on page 18, and (iv) the initial redaction on page 19 of the document.
6804 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
5519-6808 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to the QE Claimants' correspondence of 7 October 2021 confirming that the documents were disclosed without additional redactions applied by the QE Claimants.
6586 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document Log Number 657.
6472 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the document is substantially similar to Document ID Number 6612, the Tribunal refers to its decision for Document ID Number 6612.

Document Log Number / Doc ID Number	Tribunal's Decision
6759 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to the QE Claimants' correspondence of 7 October 2021 confirming that the documents were disclosed without additional redactions applied by the QE Claimants.
6758 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to the QE Claimants' correspondence of 7 October 2021 confirming that the documents were disclosed without additional redactions applied by the QE Claimants.
6754 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document Log Number 492.
5298 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document ID Number 6795.
215	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6273 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6167 (Doc ID)	No decision required.
5347 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document ID Number 4738.
5328 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6489 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document Log Number 657.
5694 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
5702 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6438	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document Log Number 364.

<b>Document Log Number / Doc ID Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
(Doc ID)	
5720 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6463 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document Log Number 657.
6362 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
5773 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
5860 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order save that the redaction on the part of the second paragraph of the email from Mr Ayervais following the language, "I am sure you are aware...", does not "reflect[] information related to confidential fee arrangement between NAFTA Counsel and Claimants in NAFTA arbitration", the Tribunal orders the QE Claimants to remove the redaction on the part of the second paragraph of the email from Mr Ayervais following the language, "I am sure you are aware...",".
5892 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
5953 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to QE Claimants' redactions.
6647 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document ID Number 6362.
6033 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6299	No decision required.

<b>Document Log Number / Doc ID Number</b>	<b>Tribunal's Decision</b>
(Doc ID)	
6049 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6398 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6051 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6053 (Doc ID)	Taking into account the Privilege Expert's observation that the QE Claimants' redactions are consistent with the Tribunal's order, the Tribunal denies the challenge to the QE Claimants' redactions.
6570 (Doc ID)	The Tribunal refers to its decision for Document Log Number 657.