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PROCEEDINGS

PRESIDENT TERCIER: If everybody is ready, we can start.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. It is my honor to open the fifth day in the Hearing, First Session of the Hearings in the ICSID Arbitration Case 15/31 between Gabriel Resources Limited and Gabriel Resources (Jersey) Limited versus Romania. Again, I wish we'll have a very constructive and interesting day.

I will start with a few points--not many.
First, thanks again for our Court Reporters for the Transcript.

Secondly, thanks to our Secretary for having sent the Report of the time used.

Third point, today, the program, we will continue with Mrs. Lorincz's cross-examination, and probably--you will have to make a break somewhere, coffee break somewhere, depending on the time you need, but if we follow the time you're given, and I think it should be possible already to start with Mr. Găman this morning. We'll see, depending on the
time that will be spent, we'll see. And then, in any case, we can start also. We have this afternoon Mr. Găman and Mr. Ariton. If we're not able to finish the cross, and the redirect of Mr. Ariton, we suggest to take it tomorrow morning so that we can comply with it. Is this agreeable with you?

MR. LEW: Yes.
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. On your side?
DR. HEISKANEN: Fine, fine with us as well.
Thank you.
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Thank you very much. And the fourth point would be for us probably to discuss during the course of the day the program for next week. We will first discuss it internally between the draft proposed by our Secretary, and we will then send it to you to give you an opportunity to comment if necessary.

Are there other points, or another point that you would like to raise, Mr. Pham?

MR. PHAM: None for me. Thank you.
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. And your side?
DR. HEISKANEN: Not from us, either.
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today.
There's a document which was submitted, C-2920, with a translation on the 25 th of November. And the translation that was submitted with that document is inconsistent with a translation that the Claimant submitted and which has been on the record since their Reply of 2 November, 2018. So since more than a year. And that's the document at C-2157.

So, it may be that we can fix this now without too much fuss. So, at C-2920--if we can bring that up--it's the fifth bullet point.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Can we have it on the screen? You are excellent in dealing with this.

MS. RADJAI: So, it's the fifth bullet point up. So, this is the email from Ms. Tudorancea to Mr. Găman on the 6th of October attaching Draft Minutes of the 6th October meeting that took place that day, 6 October 2011.

And in this Draft Minute, in the fifth bullet point in the last sentence, which says:
"Consequently, the investors are more willing to increase the state's shares within reasonable limits."

The "more" is the addition which came only with the rebuttal version of this document and which is inconsistent with both the Romanian and with the prior translation that had come with a--with a slightly different document that the Claimant submitted, which is $\mathrm{C}-2157$. And if we can go to that to compare, if you like.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. Before, I would like to ask Claimant whether--

MS. COHEN SMUTNY: Well, I'm not--we're not--I'm not an expert Romanian translator. So before--we're certainly not going to agree and verify anything before we look at the original.

And, actually, translation should not be much of an issue. The English is really not the key; it's--it's Romanian. If this is translation-PRESIDENT TERCIER: Is it--

MS. COHEN SMUTNY: --we should be looking at the original. We'll look at the two original documents, and we'll see if there is an actual disagreement among those who are expert in translation what the word should be.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Is it--
MS. COHEN SMUTNY: So, we'll take a look at this. We'll take a look at the original. And, certainly, we can't comment just looking at the language on the screen in English.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Isn't it possible for somebody from your team that knows Romanian to do that?

MS. COHEN SMUTNY: Of course we're going to look at it. But we're working on pulling those documents up, and we'll consult with our co-counsel, and we'll then be able to respond. Maybe not in the moment because $I$ think we weren't expecting this. This wasn't mentioned to us before this moment so--

MS. RADJAI: As I said--

MS. COHEN SMUTNY: --we might need--we might need a few minutes to take a look.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Do you wish that this should be clarified before the start? Or can we when we come to the river, when you come there, to other questions?

MS. RADJAI: We would have preferred to
clarify this now because the word is important, whether the investors are willing or more willing. It's not a huge difference, but it's inconsistent with the Romanian so--excuse me. Can I--I'll finish.

We would have preferred to clarify this before the testimony of these witnesses that you will hear now, a series of witnesses talking about exactly what these commercial negotiations entail.

We take note that the Claimants are unwilling to look at this one word at this time, would like to do it later. But that means that we reserve the right to raise that, if need be, with the witness on the moment when this document comes up.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: I'm not especially--I'm not especially up on Romanian, though. But is it really so difficult to just check it on the document that you have on the screen?

MR. GREENWALD: The witness is not going to be cross-examined on the translation in any event, so this is really irrelevant.

The witness is going to be looking at the Romanian version of these documents. So I don't--

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay.
MR. GREENWALD: --even see the point to this intervention at this point, which was just made now and not brought to us sooner. So...

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. We will not lose much time on it. If we come to this passage, we will. In the meantime, I would be really very grateful if you could check it. Because it doesn't seem to me so complicated to see whether one word is in the original version. Yes, I know.

MR. LEW: Since this was just announced and I--they must have known about it sooner. But since they just presented it, may we just take a few minutes to just talk to a Romanian speaker and confirm it?

MS. RADJAI: It--it might be helpful if I can refer the Claimants to their own translation at C-2157.

MR. LEW: No. We understand what the documents are, ma'am.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. I think the only question now that should be sorted out--two questions. The first is we want to have, on the point, an answer
whether there is a "more" or no "more." And the second question: How long does it take to check it? MR. LEW: I'm not in a position to say that.

I just have to figure out who we can speak to to confirm it. So, if we could just take a few minutes.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Ah. Here we have it.
MS. RADJAI: Yes. So, that's C-2--C-2920 and C-2--there's the Romanian and the English. And if we can have C-2157 as well, which is the previous translation that was submitted at--

PRESIDENT TERCIER: I think I'm progressing
in Romanian.
MS. RADJAI: --at Page 3.
So, in the English version, it's the top of Page 4. "As a result the investors would be willing to increase the state's participation."

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Yep.
MS. RADJAI: And the Romanian is on
Page--Page 4 as well of $C-2157$. If--
PRESIDENT TERCIER: It's okay. I think they are looking at the document, and we will have an answer in a few seconds. Could we have, again, the

Romanian version, please.
So, the word, if $I$ understand it
correctly--my pronunciation being not a problem--"fi
dispuși," no? It's in the "fi."
I don't know what "fi" means.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
MR. GREENWALD: So, I think there's agreement that 2157 has the preferred translation.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. Good.
MS. RADJAI: For the record, then, from C-2920 translation, we can remove the word "more"-PRESIDENT TERCIER: Yeah.

MS. RADJAI: --from the sentence "The investors would be willing to increase the state's participation in the project within reasonable limits."

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Absolutely.
MS. RADJAI: Thank you.
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Good. So--do you have another?

MS. RADJAI: Just--yes. The other one is that the Tribunal is now about to hear the set of

Respondent's witnesses on the topic of the commercial negotiations that took place between the Parties, between the shareholders, in 2011 and then in 2013.

There are matters of dispute between the Parties' witnesses as to the content and nature of some of those discussions, as the Tribunal knows.

We're in the Tribunal's hands. We would just like to preserve the Tribunal's possible option of having witness conferencing, if it would consider it helpful on some of these points, if it deems it determinative.

So as such, our proposal would be that the sequestration of witnesses continue to apply just for this portion of the taking of evidence. Not the direct examination, which we have all agreed will not be subject to sequestration, but only for the cross-examination of these witnesses. And we--that would apply, of course, to our own witnesses as well. MR. GREENWALD: No. This should have been raised before any of the testimony. Were this to be desired by the Respondent, it's not right to be raised now after all of Claimants' witnesses have testified.

They are free to be here in the hearing room. That's what was agreed in the procedural discussions and decided by the Tribunal. It's not appropriate to raise this now.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. First--sorry. I'm trying to go forward in taking the decision when, really, we cross a bridge--or we cross a river. Sorry.

So, I think we're going to start with the direct in any case because your request does not concern the request. We'll see whether it is the--where we are so far to have the break--the lunch break. If not, we will discuss it.

So, the two points are, first, whether it could be appropriate to have a conferencing. And for that hypothesis or that opportunity, you would like to have sequestration of the witnesses. Am I correct?

MS. RADJAI: We think the Tribunal should be free to take the decision on conferencing whenever it deems fit.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay.
MS. RADJAI: We don't think that decision
need be taken now. It's only to preserve the option that--

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Yes.
MS. RADJAI: --you may wish to do that, that we may want to apply the sequestration for cross-examination already now, to leave that option open.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Yes.
MS. RADJAI: The only Claimants' witness that has testified on these matters is Mr. Tănase, and we have not had any witnesses yet. So, they would all be sequestered for the cross-examinations going forward as well.

MR. GREENWALD: Well, that wasn't even an accurate statement. Obviously, Mr. Henry also provided testimony on this--

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Yes.
MR. GREENWALD: --topic, and he's not even here. He left. So, he's not available to testify for the rest of the day.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: I think we have now the--we have the--the argument made by both Parties,
and I suggest--unless have you a third point to raise. So, could you invite Mr. Găman to come in. (Pause.)

MS. RADJAI: So, to the Claimants' query earlier, we will be distributing binders for the direct examination of Mr. Găman.

To make the work of everybody easier, we have consolidated the direct binders for our next--for our four witnesses who deal with this subject, so that is Mr. Găman, Mr. Ariton, Prime Minister Boc and

Mr. Bode, so this is one set of binders which will apply for the direct examination for those witnesses, at least as far as we can foresee at the current time.

We have a set for the witness. We have a set for the Claimants. If the Tribunal would like a set, of course, we are happy to have one prepared for the time being. We have prepared an index to those binders which we are distributing to everybody, including the Members of the Tribunal.

The Tribunal will see that these binders have been prepared in chronological order, so you will see the exhibits in a slightly different sequence than
what you have seen so far. These are what we consider to be the relevant exhibits for the direct examinations on this topic.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. GREENWALD: Which are obviously well beyond the two exhibits that were described as "rebuttal," so to the extent that they're not those two rebuttal documents that Mr. Găman was notified he would be speaking about, the rest should be summarizing what's already in his statement.

MS. RADJAI: That's correct.
ARBITRATOR GRIGERA NAÓN: I would like to have the Witness Statements in our copy, of course.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. It would be a happy situation to have one?

MS. RADJAI: We will arrange for that to be printed. Only for Mr. Găman or for all these next witnesses?

ARBITRATOR GRIGERA NAÓN: For all the witnesses that are going to be referred today--

MS. RADJAI: And Mr. Ariton. And then we'll
have to see how we go on.
Mr. Bode is by video conference next week. ARBITRATOR GRIGERA NAÓN: Mr. Găman. Just these statements.

MS. RADJAI: Yes. We will arrange that at the earliest.

SORIN MIHAI GĂMAN, RESPONDENT'S WITNESS, CALLED PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. Good morning, Mr. Găman.

I would like first to ask you a question concerning the language in which you will testify. That's the first question. In your Witness Statement you wrote: I provide this Witness Statement in Romanian. And I understand that Romania's counsel will provide an English translation.

You wish to testify in Romanian, wouldn't you?

THE WITNESS: It is correct.
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. Good.
Mr. Găman, you will be heard in this procedure as a witness. I would like to introduce you the Members of the Arbitral Tribunal: On my left-hand
side, Professor Horacio Grigera Naón; on my right-hand side is Professor Zachary Douglas. My name is Pierre Tercier, and we have the Secretary of the Tribunal and the Assistant to the Tribunal.

You will be heard as a witness. As such, I would like to invite you to read the Declaration that must be on the table.

You know sufficient English to do it and to read it and understand what it's in.

THE WITNESS: It would be quite difficult for me to do that, and I would like someone to read it for me, and I would just confirm that this is the case.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. Who is ready to become the reader?

DR. LEAUA: So, to make sure that $I$

PRESIDENT TERCIER: You read it in Romanian?
DR. LEAUA: I will read it in Romanian. So, I will be the one making the translation, and not the official Interpreter in this arbitration.
"I hereby solemnly declare upon my honor and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole
truth, and nothing but the truth."
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Can you confirm this?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Good.
Mr. Găman, you have prepared for this proceeding a witness statement dated the 21 st of February 2018.

Have you this document before you?
THE WITNESS: It is correct.
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Can you confirm the content of this document, or do you want to make amendments?

THE WITNESS: The content of my First Witness Statement of the 21 st of February was put together and modified on the 10 th of May 2019.

I would just like to make one amendment to my last statement; namely, that in the statement, I am referring at some point to a meeting in March 2019. That happened, indeed, in March 2019, but the note transmitted by Mr. Suciu by e-mail to me concerning the status of the Project was dated 5th of April.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Just a moment, please.

Okay. For the first--sorry, I have to check here.

And the second, so that $I$ can follow you.
Okay. Second, 10 May 2019.
And now if you can repeat what is the correction that you propose.

THE WITNESS: The correction I want to propose is that the note of 2012 requested by Minister Bode was drafted by me in March, and the amendment is April because the discussions on the drafting of the note with Mr. Suciu, happened in March and the outcome of the discussions was on 5 April.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Could you be more specific and tell us exactly where it is, where we have to change it.

ARBITRATOR GRIGERA NAÓN: The second.
THE WITNESS: 185, one-eight-five.
And 186. This is the note requested by Mr. Bode.

And it was completed in April, not in March. PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. Good.

You know how the testimony will be conducted.

Probably you have been informed. I will ask you one or two questions, and then I will give the floor to counsel for Respondent for direct, then cross-examination and then a redirect.

Just in order to have a clear Transcript, I would like first to mention the fact that you should avoid to speak at the same time as somebody else in order to avoid any overlapping; and, secondly, that you, because of the translation, you should wait a few seconds before starting to speak.

Is that clear?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Good.
Can you, in a few words, tell us what was, what has been, and what is your position in connection with the entities involved in this case?

THE WITNESS: Starting in 2005 until 2017, I was the Director of the Department for Mineral Resources within the Ministry of the Economy. I held several positions, depending on how the Ministry was organized. I was Director General, Deputy Director General.

And in the same period of time, starting from 2006 until 2009, with an interruption between 2009 and 2010, in June, and then from 2010 until this year in June, I was a member of the Rosia Montana Gold Corporation Board.

In both my capacities, I was charged with the mining sector, which meant that $I$ was aware of the mining Project.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. And what is now your position?

THE WITNESS: Currently, I'm Head of the Investment Department in the County Council of Hunedoara because I moved with my family out of Bucharest and to Deva town.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. And I will certainly come back to that in a moment.

My second question is to ask you to describe in broad terms the way you have prepared your Witness Statement.

THE WITNESS: My Witness Statement was prepared during many meetings with the counsels who presented to me many documents that were not available
to me since I was not working in the Ministry of the Economy. I reviewed the documents; and, based on what I knew and from what $I$ saw in the documents, the two statements were drafted. I reviewed them, confirmed and signed them.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: But you wrote them in Romanian?

THE WITNESS: In Romanian, as this is the language that I fluently speak.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Did you have an
opportunity to check the translation into English?
THE WITNESS: Taking into account that I worked with a team of counsels, I checked, to the best of my abilities, and I believed I can fully trust the counsels in order to confirm that what I said is also reflected in my statement.

PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. Thank you.
So, Ms. Radjai, I give you the floor.
MS. RADJAI: Thank you, Mr. President. It will be Mr. Bonifacio leading the direct examination today. We had estimated 15 minutes, I believe, in our last summary for Mr. Găman and Mr. Ariton. We may be
slightly more, maybe 20,30 minutes with each of them.
We'll see how it goes.
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Please, Mr. Bonifacio.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
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PRESIDENT TERCIER: Thank you, Mr. Bonifacio. And now the question, if we start with the cross right now, we have to decide on the request made by counsel for Respondent concerning the sequestration of the witnesses, only one.

Do you want to start right now, in which case we'll have to decide? After you.

MR. GREENWALD: Well, I think that maybe then in the circumstances it makes sense if the Tribunal--well, if the Tribunal can decide quickly,
then we could do a short amount of cross, and if it
would prefer to confer, we could break now from 12:30
to 1:30 and resume at 1:30.
PRESIDENT TERCIER: Okay. The Tribunal
rejects the proposal made by counsel for Respondent,
and we may proceed.

MR. GREENWALD: Thank you, Mr. President.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
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## AFTERNOON SESSION

PRESIDENT TERCIER: We may proceed.
Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Before going further, I would like to make two points.

The first point, for the second time in this Hearing, I forgot to mention to one of the witnesses that he was under testimony. We did it specially, in the presence of our Secretary, just right after he had left the room. My apologies.

The second point is Ms. de Germiny made a request. We, therefore, seek permission to show him--that is, Mr. Jurca--the transcript from what we just heard on redirect.

To show it before is not allowed. But this does not exclude you to show him when you are in direct. Okay? Good.

So, Mr. Greenwald, you have the floor.
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Secondly, tomorrow morning we will have the examination of Mr . Boc, and we start at 9:30, exceptionally, on a Saturday.

And the third point will be for us, tomorrow morning, to try to adapt again our schedule for the Hearing. It will not be easy. We'll have to make--yeah, it will not be easy, especially because we have some fixed points. Mr. Bîrsan, if I'm not mistaken, will be heard at a certain time, as well as Mr. Bode.

But I think we will have a new version that we will try to submit to you and, if possible, with your agreement, that you will be able to hear all the witnesses and experts in time.

Good. Have you another point you would like to raise? No other point on your side?

Thank you very much. I wish you a very pleasant evening.
(Whereupon, at 6:34 p.m., the Hearing was adjourned until 9:30 a.m. the following day.)
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